State Bar Court of California Hearing Department San Francisco



Counsel For The State Bar

Tammy M. Albertsen-Murray 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2527

Bar # **154248**

In Pro Per Respondent

Burton C. Allyn, IV. 1010 'B' Street, #350 San Rafael, CA 94901 (415) 459-5223 Case Number (s) 10-H-00399-LMA

(for Court's use)

PUBLIC MATTER

FILEDRA

JUL 1 4 2010

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

Submitted to: Settlement Judge

Bar # 96273

In the Matter Of:

BURTON CHARLES ALLYN, IV.

Bar # 96273

A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION

☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals." "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 23, 1980.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **8** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

(Do L	ot write	e above	this line.)
(8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§608 6140.7. (Check one option only):			of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & Check one option only):
		COS (har COS	ats added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline. Its to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: Its waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" Its entirely waived
1	Profe	avat essio	ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.
(1)	\boxtimes	Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
	(a)	\boxtimes	State Bar Court case # of prior case 08-O-14535
	(b)	\boxtimes	Date prior discipline effective September 28, 2009
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) and rule 3-700(D)(1); Business and Professions Code section 6068(i).
	(d)	\boxtimes	Degree of prior discipline Public reproval
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.
(2)			onesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, ealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)			t Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account e client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or erty.
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.	
(5)			ference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the equences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		Lack misc	onduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)			iple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing monstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)		No a	ggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	litiona	al agg	ravating circumstances

_		ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.			
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)	\boxtimes	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances			
	Res	spondent stinulated to the imposition of discipline, thus relieving the State Bar and State Bar Court			

Respondent stipulated to the imposition of discipline, thus relieving the State Bar and State of the expense of further proceedings.

Respondent suffered serious physical injuries in January, 2010. He has since recovered from his injuries, but was away from work and his office for approximately seven (7) weeks in and around January and February, 2010 - a period which was encompassed by probationary compliance deadlines. Respondent's physical injuries did not, however, prevent his compliance.

D.	Disc	sipline:
(1)		Stayed Suspension:
	(a)	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of six (6) months.
		I. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii. and until Respondent does the following:
	The	e above-referenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	\boxtimes	Probation:
		spondent is placed on probation for a period of one (1) year , which will commence upon the effective date of Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court)
Ε.	Addi	tional Conditions of Probation:
(1)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(2)	\boxtimes	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(3)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(4)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.
(5)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.

(Do no	ot write	above	this line.)			
(6)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.				
(7)	\boxtimes	Proba	n one (1) year of the effective date of the ation satisfactory proof of attendance at a given at the end of that session.	discipli sessio	ne herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of n of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the	
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason	n:		
(8)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.				
(9)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:				
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions	
			nditions Negotiated by the Partie			
Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide protein the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to paresults in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.		on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE ring until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California				
			No MPRE recommended. Reason:			
(2)	\boxtimes	Oth	er Conditions:			
		con Sch the Hov nun	ijunction with case number 08-O-14535 lool within the time allowed in case nu same requirements in this Stipulation; wever, if he fails to timely comply with	i. If resomber 0 he ne the MP it take	e and pass the MPRE and Ethics school in spondent takes and passes the MPRE and Ethics 8-O-14535, such successful passages will satisfy ed not repeat either the MPRE or Ethics School. PRE and Ethics School requirements in case and pass both the MPRE and Ethics School within	

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

BURTON C. ALLYN, IV

CASE NUMBER:

10-H-00399-LMA

Respondent pleads noto contendere to the following facts and violations. Respondent completely understands that the plea for noto contendere shall be considered the same as an admission of the stipulated facts and of his or her culpability of the statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct specified herein.

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Facts.

On August 18, 2009, respondent signed a stipulation in case number 08-O-14535 in which he agreed to receive a public reproval and promised to comply with conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one year. The conditions attached to the reproval were specified in the stipulation that respondent signed.

On August 31, 2009, the State Bar Court of California issued an order imposing a reproval upon respondent in case number 08-O-14535. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 9.19, the State Bar Court order required respondent to comply with the stipulated conditions attached to the reproval. The Court found that the stipulation "... protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval..."

On September 8, 2009, the stipulation and order were filed with the State Bar Court Clerk's office. Soon after September 8, 2009, respondent received notice of the reproval order and reproval conditions and had actual knowledge thereof. The reproval order and reproval conditions became effective on or about September 28, 2009 and have remained in full force at all times thereafter.

One of the conditions of the reproval required respondent to contact and meet with the Office of Probation within thirty (30) days from the effective date of the discipline. Respondent violated this condition because, during the thirty day period beginning on or about September 28, 2009, he both failed to contact the Office of Probation to schedule the meeting and failed to participate in such a meeting. To date, respondent has neither scheduled nor participated in the required meeting.

One of the conditions of the reproval required respondent to submit reports to the Office of Probation on a quarterly basis and in those reports, to state whether he had complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent was also required, in addition to the quarterly reports, to submit to the Office of Probation a final report, containing the same information, no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period. Respondent violated this condition because he failed to timely submit the report due on or before January 10, 2010 to the Office of Probation. Respondent has since submitted his January 2010 report.

Conclusion of Law.

By failing to comply with the conditions attached to the public reproval, respondent violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-110(A).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(6), was June 15, 2010.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of June 15, 2010, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,296.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted; the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standards for Attorney Discipline, standards 1.7(a) and 2.9;

In the Matter of Gorman (Rev. Dept. 2003) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 567 [Attorney in Gorman received 30 days actual suspension for misconduct associated with probation conditions, including failing to timely make restitution payments. Respondent in the instant case had less severe misconduct, in that the instant respondent was not untimely in restitution payments, but rather has misconduct focused on untimely filing of quarterly reports.]

(Do not write above this line.)	
In the Matter of	Case number(s):
BURTON C. ALLYN, IV.	10-H-00399-LMA
BORTON O. ALLTIN, IV.	10-H-00333-LIMA

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

6/22/2010	Buston C. ally	Burton C. Allyn, IV.
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
6/29/2010 Date	July 1	Tammy M. Albertsen-Murray
Date	Deputy Frial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

Do not write above this line.) In the Matter Of	Case Number(s):
BURTON C. ALLYN, IV	10-H-00399-LMA
	ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
7	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.

- 1. On page 5 of the stipulation, the "X" in the box next to paragraph E.(7) is deleted, and an "X" is inserted in the box stating "No Ethics School recommended. Reason:" In addition, the following language is inserted after the word "Reason:" Respondent has already been ordered to attend the State Bar Ethics School in State Bar Court Case No. 08-O-14535.
- 2. On page 5 of the stipulation, the "X" in the box next to paragraph F.(1) is deleted, and an "X" is inserted in the box stating "No MPRE recommended. Reason:". In addition, the following language is inserted after the word "Reason:": Respondent has already been ordered to take and pass the MPRE in State Bar Court Case No. 08-O-14535.
- 3. On page 5 of the stipulation, the "X" in the box next to paragraph F.(2) is deleted, and the paragraph listed under "Other Conditions:" is deleted.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

July 13 2010

PATRICE E. MCELROY

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on July 14, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

BURTON CHARLES ALLYN IV JOHNS & ALLYN 1010 B ST #350 SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901 - 2919

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TAMMY A. ALBERTSEN-MURRAY, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on July 14, 2010.

Bernadette C.O. Molina Case Administrator State Bar Court