

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California
Hearing Department
San Francisco

kwiktag®

018 038 557



Counsel For The State Bar Wonder J. Liang Deputy Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 538-2372 Bar # 184357	Case Number (s) 10-O-02633-PEM RECEIVED DEC 13 2010 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO	(for Court's use) PUBLIC MATTER FILED <i>[Signature]</i> JAN 05 2011 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO
In Pro Per Respondent William J. S. Wong 331 Egret Place Pittsburg, California 94565 (415) 433-3771 Bar # 75571	Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	
In the Matter Of: WILLIAM JAKE SUN WONG Bar # 75571 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted September 27, 1977.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Do not write above this line.)

- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.
 - costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
 - costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
 - costs entirely waived

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.

- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Respondent had no prior record of discipline in his approximate 32 years of practice before this instance.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances

D. Discipline:

(1) **Stayed Suspension:**

(a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of TWO (2) YEARS.

i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

iii. and until Respondent does the following:

(b) The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2) **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of TWO (2) YEARS, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3) **Actual Suspension:**

(a) Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of THIRTY (30) DAYS.

i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct

ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

iii. and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

(1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

(2) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.

(3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

(4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and

(Do not write above this line.)

conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.

- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.**
- No MPRE recommended. Reason:

(Do not write above this line.)

- (2) **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.

- (3) **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.

- (4) **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:

- (5) **Other Conditions:**

In the Matter of	Case number(s):
WILLIAM JAKE SUN WONG SBN 75571	10-O-2633-PEM
A Member of the State Bar	

Financial Conditions

a. Restitution

- Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From

- Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than

b. Installment Restitution Payments

- Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reprobation), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency

c. Client Funds Certificate

1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
- a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
- i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 1. the name of such client;
 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 1. the name of such account;
 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
- i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

- Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: William Jake Sun Wong, SBN 75571

CASE NUMBER(S): ET AL. 10-O-2633-PEM

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

FACTS: COUNT TWO:

1. On or between October 19, 2009 and February 2, 2010 U.S. Bank notified the State Bar of several insufficient funds (hereinafter, "NSF") transactions in respondent's attorney-client trust account, account number 15345741XXXX at U.S. Bank (hereinafter, "CTA account").

2. On or between October 9, 2009 and February 23, 2010 respondent issued the following checks and/or electronic funds transactions against insufficient funds:

<u>Date</u> ¹	<u>Check Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Balance</u> ²	<u>Payee</u>
10/9/09	electronic	\$230.00	-97.19	Chase
2/23/2010	1325	\$2,160.00	-91.46	Citibank

3. The State Bar subpoenaed a portion of respondent's CTA account, including October 1, 2009 through the closing of the account (on or about April 14, 2010). A review of the records subpoenaed revealed that respondent was issuing funds for personal items from his CTA account, including, but not limited, to the following:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Check #</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Payee</u>
10/9/09	electronic	\$230.00	Chase
11/16/09	electronic	\$23.00	credit card
12/2/09	electronic	\$489.26	Chase
12/16/09	electronic	\$20.00	American Express
1/11/10	1314	\$276.18	Kohl's Dept. Store
1/13/10	electronic	\$54.67	Macy Web payment
1/20/10	1318	\$24.69	PG&E
2/16/10	electronic	\$160.92	AT&T
2/16/10	1321	\$408.25	Old Town Sq. Owner Assoc.
3/4/10	electronic	\$628.00	Kaiser (dues)

¹This is the date that the check or electronic debit was presented for payment.

²The balance in respondent's CTA account when the check was presented for payment.

4. A review of the CTA account also revealed that there were funds deposited or disbursed from the account behalf of clients, as follows:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Check</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Notation</u>
1/11/10	deposit	\$2,000	spousal petition (W.D.C.) ³
1/14/10	1316	\$33.00	Clerk of the Court

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: COUNT TWO:

By the aforementioned transactions, respondent commingled client and personal funds in his CTA account, in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

FACTS: COUNT FOUR:

5. The allegations of Count Two are hereby incorporated by reference.

6. On or about October 19, 2009 and again on February 2, 2010, U.S. Bank notified the State Bar regarding respondent's CTA activities. The State Bar opened an investigation against respondent based upon the reports from U.S. Bank.

7. On or about November 6, 2009, State Bar Investigator Willis Shalita sent a letter via United States Mail, postage pre-paid, to respondent at his official membership records address, maintained by the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code, section 6002.1.

8. In his November 6, 2009 letter, Investigator Shalita informed respondent of the NSF transaction and requested a written response no later than November 20, 2009. The United States Postal Service did not return the November 6, 2009 letter as undeliverable or for any other reason.

9. Respondent failed to respond to Investigator Shalita's November 6, 2009 letter and respondent failed to otherwise respond to the State Bar investigation of this matter.

10. On or about January 19, 2010, Investigator Shalita sent respondent a second letter, also via United States Mail, postage pre-paid, to respondent at his official membership records address, maintained by the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code, section 6002.1.

11. In his second letter of January 19, 2010, Investigator Shalita again advised respondent of the pending investigation and requested a written response. The United States Postal Service did not return the January 19, 2010 letter as undeliverable or for any other reason.

12. Respondent failed to respond to Investigator Shalita's January 19, 2010 letter and respondent failed to otherwise respond to the State Bar investigation of this matter.

13. On or about April 1, 2010, Investigator Shalita sent respondent a third letter, also via United States Mail, postage pre-paid, to respondent at his official membership records address, maintained by the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code, section 6002.1.

³ The State Bar is redacting the client's name for privacy purposes

14. In his third letter of April 1, 2010, Investigator Shalita again advised respondent of the pending investigation, and the additional, February 2010 NSF transaction, and requested a written response. The United States Postal Service did not return the April 1, 2010 letter as undeliverable or for any other reason.

15. Respondent failed to respond to Investigator Shalita's April 1, 2010 letter and respondent failed to otherwise respond to the State Bar investigation of this matter.

16. On or about April 16, 2010, Investigator Shalita sent respondent a fourth letter, also via United States Mail, postage pre-paid, to respondent at his official membership records address, maintained by the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code, section 6002.1.

17. In his fourth letter of April 16, 2010, Investigator Shalita again advised respondent of the pending investigation, and the additional, February 2010 NSF transaction, and requested a written response. The United States Postal Service did not return the April 16, 2010 letter as undeliverable or for any other reason.

18. Respondent failed to respond to Investigator Shalita's April 16, 2010 letter and respondent failed to otherwise respond to the State Bar investigation of this matter.

19. During the course of his investigation (date not recorded) Investigator Shalita also sent respondent an email, to trust&attorney@hotmail.com, and requested that respondent contact him. Respondent failed to contact Investigator Shalita.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW: COUNT FOUR:

By failing to respond to Investigator Shalita's letters of February 3, 2010; February 25, 2010; April 1, 2010; and April 16, 2010, and by failing to otherwise respond to the State Bar investigation of this matter, respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent, in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was December 7, 2010.

DISMISSALS.

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss the following alleged violations in the interest of justice:

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Count</u>	<u>Alleged Violation</u>
10-O-2633	ONE	Rule 4-100(A)
10-O-2633	THREE	Section 6106

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of December 7, 2010, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$3,062.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

In the Matter of Bleecker (Review Dept. 1990) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 113, 125, the respondent was found to be culpable of mishandling his trust account which resulted in commingling, misappropriation of client funds (\$270), and using his client trust account to conceal his assets from levy by the Internal Revenue Service as an act of moral turpitude. The Review Department recommended to the Supreme Court, among other conditions of probation, that respondent be actually suspended for sixty days.

In this instance, there are no client funds involved, no misappropriation and no concealment of assets.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

Respondent admits that the above facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of WILLIAM JAKE SUN WONG SBN 75571	Case number(s): 10-O-2633-PEM
--	---

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

<u>12/10/2010</u> Date	<u>William J. S. Wong</u> Respondent's Signature	<u>William J. S. Wong</u> Print Name
<u> </u> Date	<u>N/A</u> Respondent's Counsel Signature	<u>N/A</u> Print Name
<u>12/13/10</u> Date	<u>Wonder J. Liang</u> Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	<u>Wonder J. Liang</u> Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter Of WILLIAM JAKE SUN WONG SBN 75571	Case Number(s): 10-O-2633-PEM
--	---


ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

Jan. 5 2011
Date



Judge of the State Bar Court
LUCY ARMENDARIZ

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on January 5, 2011 I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

WILLIAM JAKE SUN WONG
331 EGRET PL
PITTSBURG, CA 94565

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

WONDER LIANG , Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on January 5, 2011.


Laurretta Cramer
Case Administrator
State Bar Court