

# ORIGINAL

WHAT THE SECOND THE THE SECOND A ATTACK AND	Bar Court of Califorr Hearing Department Los Angeles REPROVAL	nia
Counsel For The State Bar Mia R. Ellis	Case Number(s): 10-O-02914	For Court use only
Deputy Trial Counsel		FILEO
Office of the Chief Trial Counsel		
1149 South Hill Street		MAR 03 2011
Los Angeles, CA 90015		
Tel: (213)765-1380		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
Bar # 228235		
In Pro Per Respondent		
Luis E. Vasquez		
1820 E. Garry Avenue, Suite 107 Santa Ana, CA 92705		
	Submitted to: Assigned Jud	ae
Bar # 162798		
In the Matter of:	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING	
Luis E. Vasquez	DISPOSITION AND ORDER	APPROVING
Eurs E. Vasquez		
	PUBLIC REPROVAL	
Bar # 162798	PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 14, 1992.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 8 pages, not including the order.

- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
  - Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval).
    - Case ineligible for costs (private reproval).
    - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
    - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
    - Costs are entirely waived.
- (9) The parties understand that:
  - (a) A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
  - (b) A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
  - (c) A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

# B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
  - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
  - (b) Date prior discipline effective
  - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
  - (d) Degree of prior discipline

- (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.
- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.

#### Additional aggravating circumstances:

# C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would

establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.

- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature. Please see stip attachment.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

#### Additional mitigating circumstances:

## **D. Discipline:**

- (1) **Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)** 
  - (a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
  - (b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

#### <u>or</u>

(2) Z Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)

## E. Conditions Attached to Reproval:

- (1) Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of 2 years.
- (2) During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of

Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

No Ethics School recommended.	Reason:
-------------------------------	---------

- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.

No MPRE recommended.	Reason:
----------------------	---------

- (11) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
  - Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions
  - Medical Conditions
    - Financial Conditions
- F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

# ATTACHMENT TO

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: Luis E. Vasquez

CASE NUMBER(S): 10-O-02914

## FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

1. On April 29, 2009, Josie Shapiro ("Shapiro") employed Respondent to file a Chapter 7 bankruptcy petition and represent Shapiro in the bankruptcy proceeding. At Respondent's request, Shapiro paid Respondent \$1,799 in advanced attorney's fees. Shapiro also gave Respondent original income and expense documentation for use in preparing her bankruptcy petition.

 2. On July 23, 2009, Shapiro emailed Respondent asking for the status of the bankruptcy petition filing. Respondent received the email and replied that he would call Shapiro. Respondent did not call Shapiro.
3. On July 31, 2009, Shapiro emailed Respondent that a judgment had been filed against Shapiro and that she expected to talk with Respondent soon. Respondent received the email but did not respond.
4. On October 19, 2009, Shapiro emailed Respondent asking for the status of her case. Respondent received the email but did not respond.

5. In November 2009, Shapiro wrote two letters to Shapiro requesting that Respondent inform her of the status of her bankruptcy filing or otherwise return her documents and fees. Respondent received the letters but did not respond.

6. Respondent did not prepare and file a bankruptcy petition on behalf of Shapiro and did not perform any legal services for Shapiro.

7. When Respondent did not respond to Shapiro's letters of November 2009, Respondent effectively withdrew from representation of Shapiro.

8. Respondent did not release the original documentation to Shapiro despite her request that he return documents and fees.

9. On November 25, 2009, Shapiro submitted a complaint to the State Bar regarding Respondent's conduct in her case.

10. On May 11, 2010 and May 27, 2010, a State Bar Investigator mailed a letter to Respondent at his address maintained in the State Bar's member records requesting a response to allegations raised by Shapiro's complaint. Respondent received the letters. Respondent did not provide the State Bar with a written response to Shapiro's complaint or otherwise cooperate in the State Bar's investigation. 11. On January 10, 2011, Respondent paid Shapiro \$2000 as a refund for attorney fees.

#### **Conclusions of Law**

By failing to respond to emails, telephone messages, and letters from Shapiro, Respondent failed to respond promptly to reasonable status inquiries of a client in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

By not preparing and filing a bankruptcy petition on behalf of Shapiro or otherwise provide legal services to her, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

By not returning her original documents to Shapiro as requested in her letters, Respondent failed to release promptly, upon termination of employment, to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers and property, Respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1).

By not refunding any part of the \$1,799 received from Shapiro for a bankruptcy filing and proceeding, Respondent failed to promptly refund any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, Respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).

By not providing a written response to Shapiro's complaint or otherwise cooperating with the State Bar's investigation, Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

## PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was February 16, 2011.

# **AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.**

Standard 2.4 provides culpability of a member of a pattern of wilfully failing to perform services in an individual matter not demonstrating a pattern of misconduct shall result in reproval or suspension depending upon the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client.

Standard 2.6 provides that culpability of a member of a violation of any of the following provisions of the Business and Professions Code 6068 shall result in disbarment or suspension depending on the gravity of the offense or harm.

Standard 2.10 provides culpability of a member of a violation of any provision of the Business and Professions Code not specified in these standards or of a wilful violation of any Rule of Professional Conduct not specified in these standards shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm.

# **MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES**

Although the misconduct is deemed seriuous, Respondent has had no prior record of discipline since being admitted to the practice of law on December 14, 1992.

At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his personal life with his wife. The State Bar file includes Respondent and wife's declarations, as well as supporting medical evidence.

# COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of February 16, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$ 2515.73. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

J

In the Matter of:	Case number(s):
Luis E. Vasquez	10-O-02914

# SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

2435/11	an a	Luis E. Vasquez
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
$e^{i\frac{2\pi}{4}}$		
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
3/1/11	Mak. Ul	Mia R. Ellis
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name



In the Matter of:	Case Number(s):
Luis E. Vasquez	10-O-02914

## **REPROVAL ORDER**

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.

All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.** 

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

03-02-11

Date

Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARDA PLATE

Page

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 3, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

LUIS E. VASQUEZ 1820 E GARRY AVE STE 107 SANTA ANA, CA 92705

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Mia R. Ellis, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on March 3, 2011.

Johnnie Lee Smith Case Administrator State Bar Court

11108