State Bar Court of California kwiktag * 018 040 429 **Hearing Department** San Francisco Counsel For The State Bar (for Court's use) Case Number (s) PUBLIC MATTER 10-O-03545 Susan I. Kagan [10-O-08920] Deputy Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2037 Bar # 214209 Counsel For Respondent DEC 2:0 2010 Megan Zavieh STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE 39827 San Moreno Ct SAN FRANCISCO Fremont, CA 94539 (510) 209-0755 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 206446 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter Of: James J. Murray

(Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

ACTUAL SUSPENSION

☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

Bar # 66952

- Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 18, 1975.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Do not write above this line.)					
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."				
(7)		o more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any nding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.			
(8)		yment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):			
	\boxtimes	relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.			
		(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure) costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"			
ı	Profe		ng Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for nal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances ed.		
(1)	\boxtimes	Prior	record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]		
	(a)	\boxtimes	State Bar Court case # of prior case : 05-O-03820 & 06-O-14375		
	(b)	\boxtimes	Date prior discipline effective : currently pending in State Bar Court		
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Rules 3-110(A), 3-700 and 4-100 /Sections 6068(m) and 6068(i)		
	(d)	\boxtimes	Degree of prior discipline : currently pending in State Bar Court		
	(e)	\boxtimes	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.		
			State Bar Court case # of prior case: 82-O-210 AL Date prior discipline effective: October 18, 1984 Rules of Professional Conduct/State Bar Act violations: Former Rules 6-101 and 8-101/Sections 6068 and 6103 Degree of prior discipline: private reproval		
(2)	· 🗀		onesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, ealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.			
(4)		Harm	n: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.		
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			

(Do not write above this line.)					
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.			
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.			
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Add	ition	al aggravating circumstances:			
		ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.			
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)	\boxtimes	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. See page 9.			
(4)	\boxtimes	Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. See page 9.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities. See page 9.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			

(Do not write above this line.)						
(13)	(13) No mitigating circumstances are involved.					
Addi	tiona	al mit	igatin	g circumstances		
D. I	Disc	iplin	ie:			
(1)	\boxtimes	Stayed Suspension:				
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	condent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two (2) years.		
		I.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.		
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.		
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:		
	(b)	\boxtimes	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.		
(2)	\boxtimes	Prol	bation	:		
				ust be placed on probation for a period of three (3) years, which will commence upon the of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)		
(3)	\boxtimes	Actual Suspension:				
	(a)	Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of six (6) months. The actual suspension imposed herein shall run consecutive with the actual suspension imposed in Case Nos. 05-O-03820 & 06-O-14375.				
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct		
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.		
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:		
E. Additional Conditions of Probation:						
(1)		If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.				
(2)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.				

(Do no	t write	above	this line.)			
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.				
(4)	\boxtimes	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.				
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.				
·					ning the same information, is due no earlier than obation and no later than the last day of probation.	
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.				
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.				
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.				
		No Ethics School recommended. Reason: If respondent is ordered to provide proof of attendance at Ethics School as a condition of his probation in State Bar Case Nos. 05-O-03820 & 06-O-14375, and respondent complies with the above referenced probation condition, he will not be required to attend Ethics School in this matter. If respondent is not ordered to provide proof of attendance at Ethics School in State Bar Case Nos. 05-O-03820 & 06-O-14375, respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session within one (1) year of the effective date of discipline herein.				
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.				
(10)		The f	following conditions are attached hereto a	nd inco	rporated:	
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions	

(5)

 \boxtimes

F. C	F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:				
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.			
com matt 0382	plies ver. If 20 & (No MPRE recommended. Reason: If respondent is ordered to provide proof of passage of the a condition of his probation in State Bar Case Nos. 05-O-03820 & 06-O-14375, and respondent with the above referenced probation condition, he will not be required to take the MPRE in this respondent is not ordered to provide proof of passage of the MPRE in State Bar Case Nos. 05-O-06-O-14375, within one (1) year of the effective date of discipline herein, respondent must provide ice of Probation satisfactory proof of passage of the MPRE.			
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.			
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.			
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of			

Other Conditions: The parties agree that the actual suspension imposed herein shall run

consecutive with the actual suspension imposed in Case Nos. 05-O-03820 & 06-O-14375.

commencement of interim suspension:

Attachment language begins here (if any):

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Case No. 10-O-03545 (The Torres matter)

Facts

- 1. On May 12, 2009, respondent was hired by Luis Torres ("Torres") to set aside a default judgment.
- 2. In October 2009, Torres terminated respondent's services and requested return of his client file. Soon thereafter, respondent received Torres' request, but failed to return the client file to Torres.
- 3. In October 2009, Torres hired a new attorney to represent him in the default judgment matter. In October 2009, Torres' new attorney contacted respondent to request Torres' client file. Soon thereafter, respondent received the new attorney's request, but failed to return the client file to Torres.
- 4. It was not until January 2010, that respondent returned the client file to Torres.
- 5. On February 6, 2010, Torres filed a complaint against respondent with the State Bar ("Torres complaint").
- 6. On May 4, 2010, and on June 28, 2010, a State Bar Investigator sent letters to respondent regarding the Torres complaint. The State Bar Investigator's letters requested that respondent respond in writing to the specified allegations of misconduct being investigated by the State Bar in the Torres complaint. Soon after mailing, respondent received the State Bar Investigator's letters, but failed to provide a written response to the allegations of misconduct in the Torres complaint.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. By failing to return the client file to Torres for more than two months after Torres terminated respondent's services and requested return of the client file, respondent failed to release promptly, upon termination of employment, to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers and property in willful violation of rule 3-700(D)(1) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.
- 2. By not providing a written response to the State Bar Investigator's letters regarding the allegations in the Scott complaint, or otherwise cooperate in the investigation of the Scott complaint, respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against respondent in willful violation of section 6068(m) of the Business and Professions Code.

Case No. 10-O-08920 (The Moyosore matter)

Facts

1. In 2009, respondent was hired by Isiak Moyosore ("Moyosore") to file a complaint on behalf of Moyosore in relation to a real property dispute.

- 2. On November 6, 2009, Moyosore paid respondent \$2,000.00 as advanced fees. On December 18, 2009, Moyosore paid respondent \$1,000.00 as advanced fees. In total, Moyosore paid respondent \$3,000.00 as advanced fees.
- 3. Thereafter, respondent performed services of limited value on behalf of Moyosore. Respondent did not earn \$1,500.00 of the \$3,000.00 paid as advanced fees by Moyosore.
- 4. On May 13, 2010, Moyosore terminated respondent's services and requested a refund of unearned fees and return of his client file. Soon thereafter, respondent received Moyosore's requests, but failed to refund unearned fees and failed to return the client file to Moyosore.
- 5. It was not until November 2010, that respondent returned the client file to Moyosore.
- 6. To date, respondent has not refunded any portion of \$1,500.00 in unearned fees to Moyosore.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. By failing to return the client file to Moyosore for more than five months after Moyosore terminated respondent's services and requested return of the client file, respondent failed to release promptly, upon termination of employment, to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers and property in willful violation of rule 3-700(D)(1) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.
- 2. By failing to refund \$1,500.00 in unearned fees to Moyosore, respondent failed to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned in willful violation of rule 3-700(D)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS

The disclosure date referred to on page two, paragraph A (7) was November 16, 2010.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL

If respondent attends State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation (see page 5), respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

Respondent acknowledges that the State Bar has informed respondent that as of November 10, 2010, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$2,602.00. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

FACTS SUPPORTING AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Standard 1.2(b)(i). Respondent has two prior records of discipline.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Standard 1.2(e)(v). Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the State Bar during the disciplinary proceedings.

Standard 1.2(e)(vii). Respondent displayed remorse for his misconduct.

Standard 1.2(e)(iv). Respondent suffered from extreme emotional and physical problems which expert testimony would establish were directly responsible for the misconduct and have since been resolved. During the time of the misconduct, respondent was undergoing treatment for multiple medical issues which was physically and mentally debilitating. Respondent has since recovered.

SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

Standard 2.6 requires that a violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i) shall result in disbarment or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purpose of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

Standard 2.10 requires that a violation of any provision of the Rules of Professional Conduct not specified in the standards (e.g., rules 3-700(D)(1) and 3-700(D)(2)) shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purpose of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

Standard 1.7(b) requires disbarment if a member has a record of two prior impositions of discipline unless the most compelling mitigating circumstances clearly predominate.

In view of the compelling mitigation in this matter, the parties agree that it is appropriate to deviate from standard 1.7(b) (see, e.g., *Arm v. State Bar* (1990) 50 Cal.3d 763), and that the appropriate level of discipline is a six-month actual suspension to run consecutive with the discipline imposed in Case Nos. 05-O-03820 & 06-O-14375.

Respondent is aware that should he commit any additional misconduct, or violate the conditions of probation in this matter, disbarment is likely.

In the Matter of				Case number(s):		
James J. Murray				10-O-03545 [10-O-08920]		
Α	Mer	nber of t	he State Bar			
Fir	nan	cial Co	onditions		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
_	_					
a.	Res	stitution				
	\boxtimes			on (including the principal amoun		
				below. If the Client Security Fund		
				or all or any portion of the principa stitution to CSF in the amount(s) p		
			and costs.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	And Pres approximation	
	Г <u>р.</u>	ayee		Deinainal Amount	Interest Accrues From	
		iak Moy	nenra	Principal Amount \$1,500.00	12/18/09	
		iak moy	03010	Ψ1,300.00	12/10/03	

	Ш		ident must pay above-i it to the Office of Proba	referenced restitution and provide	satisfactory proof of	
		paymen	it to the Office of Frobe	ation not later than		
b.		Installr	nent Restitution Payn	nents		
	\Box	Pesnor	ident must now the abo	ve-referenced restitution on the p	soumant achadula eat forth	
	ш			ride satisfactory proof of payment		
				report, or as otherwise directed by		
		No late	r than 30 days prior to	the expiration of the period of pro	bation (or period of	
				nake any necessary final payment	t(s) in order to complete	
		trie pay	ment of restitution, incl	uding interest, in full.		
		Payee	/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency	
c.		Client	Funds Certificate			
		□ 1.		ses client funds at any time durin		
				ort, Respondent must file with eac indent and/or a certified public ac		
				I by the Office of Probation, certif		
			provident approved	- 27 the office of Freedom, certify	,g	
				naintained a bank account in a ba		
				ate of California, at a branch loca		
			California, and tha "Clients' Funds Ad	at such account is designated as	a "Trust Account" or	
			Chemia i unus At	occurit,		

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client:
 - the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account;
 and.
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
- i. each item of security and property held;
- ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
- iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
- iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
- v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during
 the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of
 perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In
 this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate
 described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent	
must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance		
	session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same	
	period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.	

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of James J. Murray	Case number(s): 10-0-03545 [10-0-08920]	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

Nov. 22, 2010	Sun Muney	James J. Murray
Date	Respondent's \$ignature	Print Name
11/22/10	CETI	Megan Zavieh
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
11/29/10	H	Susan I. Kagan
Date	Deputy Frial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

(Da t						
In the James	Matte		Case Number(s): 10-O-03545 [10-O-08920]			
-		ORD	ER			
Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:						
	Ø	The stipulated facts and disposition a RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Co	re APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE ourt.			
		The stipulated facts and disposition a below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECO	re APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth DMMENDED to the Supreme Court.			
		All Hearing dates are vacated.				
			f .			
The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)						
Date	ece	mber 20,2010	Judge of the State Bar Count			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on December 20, 2010 I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

MEGAN E. ZAVIEH 39827 SAN MORENO CT FREMONT, CA 94539

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

SUSAN I. KAGAN, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on December 20, 2010.

Lauretta Cramer Case Administrator State Bar Court