(Do not write above this line.)

State	Bar Court of Califor Hearing Department Los Angeles REPROVAL	nia
Counsel For The State Bar	Case Number(s):	For Court use only
Rosalba L. Gutierrez	10-O-06990-DFM, 11-O-11393,	
Deputy Trial Counsel	1	
State Bar of California	11-0-12540	BLIC MATTER
1149 S. Hill Street		
Los Angeles, CA 90015		FILED
Bar # 270469		MAR 13 2012
Counsel For Respondent		STATE BAR COURT L
David C. Carr		LOS ANGELES
530 B Street, Suite 1410		
San Diego, CA 92101		
(619) 696-0526		1
	Submitted to: Settlement J	udge
Bar # 124510	STIPULATION RE FACTS, ODISPOSITION AND ORDER	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND A APPROVING
In the Matter of:		
Ameer Ali Shah	PUBLIC REPROVAL	
Bar # 225176	☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATIO	ON REJECTED
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 6, 2003.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 9 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

kwiktag \* 018 044 912

(Effective January 1, 2011)

(DO	HOL WIT	te abov	/e this line.)
(5)	Co Lav		ions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of
(6)			ies must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading ting Authority."
(7)			than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
(8)			t of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & (Check one option only):
		rep Ca Ca (H Re Ca Ca	osts are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public proval).  ase ineligible for costs (private reproval).  asts are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:  ardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If  asspondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar  burt, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  asts are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
(9)	The	e parti	ies understand that:
•. <b>∳</b> . •	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's wet page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
	(b)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
	(c)	$\boxtimes$	A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
Pro	fess		ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Indicate
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline

(Do n	ot writ	e above this line.)
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.
(2)		<b>Dishonesty:</b> Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)		<b>Trust Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
(5)		<b>Indifference:</b> Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		<b>Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:</b> Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:
*		
		ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice eoupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		<b>Good Faith:</b> Respondent acted in good faith. Respondent provided an accounting in the Alvarez case to his former counsel. Respondent believed in good faith that his former counsel would deliver the accounting to Ms. Alvarez.

(Do n	ot wri	te above this line.)
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		<b>Family Problems:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		<b>Good Character:</b> Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tion	al mitigating circumstances:
	into	Respondent acknowledged his misconduct and has cooperated with the State Bar by entering a stipulation to settle this matter.
D. D	isci	ipline:
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
<u>or</u>	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)
E. C	ond	litions Attached to Reproval:
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one (1) year.
(2) <sup>-</sup>	$\boxtimes$	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)	$\boxtimes$	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(4)	$\boxtimes$	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.

(001	IOL WIT	e abov	e this line.)		
(5)	$\boxtimes$	July Res Prof mus Bar less	10, and October 10 of the condition perion spondent must state whether Respondent fessional Conduct, and all conditions of the stalso state in each report whether there a Court and if so, the case number and current.	d attacl has con e reprov ire any rent stat	the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, ned to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, nplied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of val during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent proceedings pending against him or her in the State tus of that proceeding. If the first report would cover ed on the next following quarter date, and cover the
		In a twer peri	nty (20) days before the last day of the cor	t, conta ndition p	nining the same information, is due no earlier than period and no later than the last day of the condition
(6)		cond Duri the d	ditions of probation with the probation mor ng the period of probation, Respondent m	itor to e ust furn	despondent must promptly review the terms and establish a manner and schedule of compliance. ish such reports as may be requested, in addition to office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully
(7)		inqu direc	iries of the Office of Probation and any pro	bation relatin	lent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any monitor assigned under these conditions which are g to whether Respondent is complying or has
(8)	$\boxtimes$	Prob	in one (1) year of the effective date of the eation satisfactory proof of attendance at a e end of that session.	discipli sessio	ne herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of n of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason	on:	•
(9)		mus	condent must comply with all conditions of t so declare under penalty of perjury in cor obation.	probat njunctio	ion imposed in the underlying criminal matter and n with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office
(10)	$\boxtimes$	("MP	condent must provide proof of passage of RE"), administered by the National Confer of the effective date of the reproval.	the Mul rence o	tistate Professional Responsibility Examination f Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one
			No MPRE recommended. Reason:		
(11)	$\Box$	The	following conditions are attached hereto a	nd inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	. 🗆	Financial Conditions
F. O	ther	Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Partie	s:	

# **ATTACHMENT TO**

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

Ameer Ali Shah

CASE NUMBER(S):

10-O-06990-DFM, 11-O-11393, 11-O-12546

# A. WAIVER OF VARIANCE BETWEEN NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES AND STIPULATED FACTS AND CULPABILITY:

The parties waive any variance between the Notice of Disciplinary Charges ("NDC") filed on December 6, 2011 and the facts and/or conclusions of law contained in this stipulation. Additionally, the parties waive the issuance of an amended NDC and waive the right to a formal hearing on any charges herein.

## B. FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

# Case No. 11-O-12546 (Complainant: Francisca Alvarez)

## **FACTS:**

- 1. On July 15, 2010, Francisca Alvarez ("Alvarez") employed Respondent to represent her son in a criminal case (the "Alvarez case"). Alvarez paid Respondent a total of \$9,200 in legal fees.
- 2. In October 2010, Mrs. Alvarez and her husband went to Respondent's office seeking information about the status of her son's case. While at the office, Mr. Alvarez aggressively pressured a member of Respondent's staff to provide them with the copy of the police report. Under pressure from Mr. Alvarez, Respondent's staff member provided Alvarez with an un-redacted copy of the police report related to Alvarez case, which is precluded by section 1054.2(a)(1) of the Penal Code.
- 3. On January 10, 2011, Alvarez terminated Respondent's services and requested an accounting of Respondent's legal services. On June 1, 2011, Respondent provided a written accounting to his former counsel in this matter. Alvarez has finally received the accounting and notice of her right to arbitrate Respondent's fees.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 4. By the foregoing, Respondent did not supervise his staff member in violation of Rule of Professional Conduct 3-110(A).
- 5. By the foregoing, Respondent did not timely render appropriate accounts to Alvarez in violation of Rule of Professional Conduct 4-100(B)(3).

### **DISMISSAL OF CHARGES**

The parties respectfully request that the Court, in the interest of justice, dismiss the following alleged violations from the NDC:

Case No.	Count	Alleged Violation
10-O-06990	One	Section 6106, Business and Professions Code
10-O-06990	Two	Section 6068(i), Business and Professions Code
11-O-11393	Three	Rule 4-100(B)(1), Rules of Professional Conduct
11-O-11393	Four	Rule 4-100(B)(3), Rules of Professional Conduct
11-O-11393	Five	Section 6068(i), Business and Professions Code
11-O-12546	Eight	Rule 3-700(D)(2), Failure to Refund Unearned Fees
11-O-12546	Nine	Section 6068(i), Business and Professions Code

## AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 1.3 provides that the primary purposes of attorney discipline are, "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high legal professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession."

Standard 2.2(b) provides that culpability of a member of commingling of entrusted funds or property with personal property of the commission of another violation of rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Corduct, none of which offenses result in the willful misappropriation of entrusted funds or property shall result in at least a three month actual suspension from the practice of law, irrespective of mitigating circumstances.

Standard 2.4(b) provides that culpability of a member of willfully failing to perform services in an individual matter or matters not demonstrating a pattern of misconduct or culpability of a member of willfully failing to communicate with a client shall result in reproval or suspension depending upon the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client.

The purpose of State Bar disciplinary proceedings is not to punish the attorney, but to protect the public, to preserve public confidence in the profession, and to maintain the highest possible professional standards for attorneys. (*Chadwick v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal. 3d. 103, 111).

Failure to account for or refund an unearned fee has resulted in discipline of a public reproval for a single violation or actual suspension up to 6 months where there are repeated violations or other misconduct. *Matthew v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal. 3d. 784. In that case, the respondent received a 60-day actual suspension for failing to account for and/or refund unearned fees in three matters and failing to perform services diligently in two matters. The respondent did not have a prior record of discipline.

Here, a public reproval is sufficient to achieve the purposes of attorney discipline in light of Respondent's time in practice with no prior record of discipline.

# PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was February 29, 2012.

# COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of, February 23, 2012, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,269. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

In the Matter of: Ameer Ali Shah	Case number(s): 10-O-06990-DFM, 11-O-11393, 11-O-12546

# SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

03/05/2012	Ameer Shah	Ameer Ali Shah
Date ( /	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
3/7/12	Thill. h	David C. Carr
Date',',	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
3/8/12	1/8	Rosalba L. Gutierrez
Date' '	Deputy Trial Coupsel's Signature	Print Name

	ter of:	Case Number(s):
Ameer Al	li Shah	10-O-06690-DFM, 11-O-11393, 11-O-12546
	R	EPROVAL ORDER
		nd that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions ne requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without
		re requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without
orejudice, a	nd:	on are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
	nd:  The stipulated facts and disposition	

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.** 

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

03-09-12

Date

Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARDA PLATEIL

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 13, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

DAVID C. CARR LAW OFFICE OF DAVID CAMERON CARR 530 B ST STE 1410 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ROSALBA GUTIERREZ, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on March 13, 2012.

Rose Luthi

Case Administrator State Bar Court