State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco **REPROVAL** Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 10-O-10735 **PUBLIC MATTER** TREVAR. STEWART 180 HOWARD STREET FILED SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 OCT 20 2011 Bar # 239829 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE In Pro Per Respondent SAN FRANCISCO VICKI L. ST. JOHN kwiktag * 018 038 094 281 W. HILTON DRIVE **BOULDER CREEK, CA 95006** Submitted to: Settlement Judge Bar # 139625 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND In the Matter of: DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING VICKI L. ST. JOHN **PUBLIC REPROVAL** Bar # 139625 PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

(Respondent)

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 6, 1989.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 8 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Do no	t write	above	this line.)			
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."					
(7)	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.					
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):					
		rep Cas	sts are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public roval). se ineligible for costs (private reproval). sts are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three			
		billi god abd	ng cycles following the effective date of discipline. (Hardship, special circumstances or other of cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described ove, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable neediately.			
		Cos	sts are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". sts are entirely waived.			
(9)	(9) The parties understand that:		es understand that:			
	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.			
	(b)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.			
	(c)	\boxtimes	A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.			
Pro		iona	ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for I Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances			
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]			
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case			
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective			
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:			
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline			
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.			

(Do no	ot write	e above this line.)	
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.	
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.	
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.	
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.	
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.	
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.	
(8)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.	
Addi	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:	
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.	
(1)	\boxtimes	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Respondent had over 21 years of discipline free practice at the time of misconduct.	
(2)	\boxtimes	No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct. No client funds were involved.	
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.	
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.	
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.	
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.	
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.	
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of	

(Do not write above this line.)					
		any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature. During the relevant time period, Respondent experienced several difficulties in her personal life which contributed to her misconduct. Her husband suffered substantial injuries in a motocycle accident and subsequently a stroke. Her mother, who resides out of state, suffered heart damage following an allergic reaction to chemotherapy and was hospitalized on two separate occasions. Her step father passed away unexpectedly.			
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances:			
D. E)isci	pline:			
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)			
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).			
or	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).			
<u>or</u> (2)	\boxtimes	Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)			
E. C	,OH	litions Attached to Reproval:			
(1)	\boxtimes	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one year.			
(2)	\boxtimes	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)	\boxtimes	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.			
(4)	\boxtimes	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the			

(Do not write above this line.)					
			ition deputy either in-person or by telepho otly meet with the probation deputy as dire		ing the period of probation, Respondent must nd upon request.
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.			
			y (20) days before the last day of the cond		ning the same information, is due no earlier than eriod and no later than the last day of the condition
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.			
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.			
(8)	\boxtimes	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.			
		· 🔲	No Ethics School recommended. Reaso	n:	•
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.			
(10)		Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.			
No MPRE recommended. Reason:					
(11)		The f	ollowing conditions are attached hereto a	nd inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions
F. O	the	r Cor	ditions Negotiated by the Partie	s:	
See	Stipu	ulation	Attachment		

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

VICKI ST. JOHN

CASE NUMBER(S):

10-O-10735

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 10-O-10735 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

- 1. On November 9, 2010, the State Bar of California received notice from Bank of America of non-sufficient fund activity in Respondent's client trust account number xxxxx-x2682 (the account number has been partially omitted to protect the account from identity theft).
- 2. On September 29, 2010, check number 1073, payable to Animal Hospital of Soquel, was presented for payment in the amount of \$433.78. At the time of the presentation of the check, Respondent's account had a balance of \$203.57. Bank of America paid the check, which resulted in a balance of -\$230.21 in Respondent's client trust account.
- 3. Records of Respondent's client trust account number xxxxx-x2682 for the period January 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011 reflect that Respondent regularly utilized the account for personal purposes.
- 4. Respondent repeatedly received electronic deposits of non-client funds into her trust account from Kelly Services and the California Employment Development Department, funds identified as "pensions" and checks from Morgan & White Draft Trust, et. al. (on behalf of David St. John), thereby commingling these funds into her client trust account.
- 5. Respondent made recurring electronic payments and wrote numerous checks to various entities.
- 6. Between April 15, 2010 and August 12, 2011 multiple notices of insufficient funds were issued in account number xxxxx-x2682, the majority of which resulted from electronic debit attempts by one creditor. Respondent denies that all of these debit attempts were authorized by her.
- 7. Respondent was advised in September 2010 to close her account to prevent fraudulent activity. Respondent did not close the account until September 2011.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

By depositing non-client funds into her trust account, and generally using her client trust account as a personal account, Respondent deposited and commingled funds belonging to Respondent in a bank

account labeled "Trust Account," "Client's Funds Account" or words of similar import in willful violation of rule 4-100 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was October 12, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 2.2(b) imposes a minimum three-month actual suspension for a violation of rule 4-100, irrespective of mitigating circumstances, however, it is not necessary to impose actual suspension as called for by the standards when the nature of the misconduct and mitigating circumstances warrants a lesser sanction. *In the Matter of Whitehead* (Review Dept. 1991) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 354; *In the Matter of Respondent F* (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 17; *In the Matter of Bleecker* (Review Dept. 1990) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 113.

ADDITIONAL MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

After being contacted by the State Bar Respondent expressed remorse and subsequently took steps to prevent further misuse of her client trust account. The account is now closed. Although Respondent's mishandling of her client trust account extended over a long period of time, there is no evidence of any client funds having ever been deposited into the account. Respondent also experienced severe financial difficulty due to being laid off work and unable to find employment for several months. After being employed for a year, Respondent was laid off again and had to go on unemployment. Her husband was also unable to work due to his injuries

CLIENT TRUST ACCOUNTING SCHOOL

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of October 12, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$1,983. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)				
In the Matter of VICKI ST. JOHN	Case number(s): 10-0-10735			

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

10/13/2011	Nichi 2+ Jun	VICKI ST. JOHN
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
		N/A
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
10/17/11	MR Stat	TREVA R. STEWART
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

In the Mat	bove this line.)	Coop Number(a):	
	er of: ST. JOHN	Case Number(s): 10-O-10735	
	REPRO	VAL ORDER	
	the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the requ	he interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions ested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without	
\boxtimes	The stipulated facts and disposition are A	PPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.	
	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.		
\boxtimes	All court dates in the Hearing Departmen	t are vacated.	
within 15 da	ays after service of this order, is granted; or (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure	nless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved .) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after	
Failure to o	comply with any conditions attached to t g for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of	nis reproval may constitute cause for a separate Professional Conduct.	
	30, JON	Luy Ad	
Date		CY ARMENDARIZ \ lge of the State Bar Court	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on October 20, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

VICKI LYNN STJOHN 281 W HILTON DR BOULDER CREEK, CA 95006

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TREVA R. STEWART, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on October 20, 2011.

Bernadette C.O. Molina Case Administrator State Bar Court