

			TITTT	
STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORM HEARING DEPARTMENT	NIA FOR (CLERK'S USE ONLY:	MAR - 3 2017	
845 S. Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017-2515			STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES	
in the Matter of:	Case	e Nos: 10	0-O-4457, etc.	
GARY LANE, Member No.: 50960,	OF		ING AND DENYING MOTION OR COST RELIEF	
A Former Member of the State Bar				

On January 31, 2017, Petitioner filed a second letter/petition seeking relief from his existing obligation(s) to pay costs to the State Bar and reimburse the Client Security Fund for monies paid out to former clients. Although the letter attached other letters in which Petitioner described his financial and medical difficulties, none of these statements was supported by a declaration under penalty of perjury or by any documentation other than the financial statement submitted by Petitioner on the form provided by this court. Because Petitioner receives some public assistance, that financial statement provides very little corroboration of the various hardship claims being made by Petitioner. The letter/petition again did not reference the specific matter(s) in which relief was sought, but the attached financial statement relate to the captioned matters in which Petitioner was ordered to pay costs and make restitution payments totaling \$123,576.

On February 28, 2017, the State Bar filed an opposition to the motion, arguing that there is no legal basis for this court to reduce Petitioner's obligation to reimburse the Client Security Fund and also providing copies of a LinkedIn page by Petitioner in which he is advertising various financial products and services being marketed by him as "Owner of the Lane Agency." That information conflicts dramatically with the factual statements made by Petitioner in his letter/petition. However, although the State Bar opposes any reduction of Petitioner's financial obligations, it does not oppose an extension of the time for him to pay the disciplinary costs owed to the State Bar.

No authority has been shown for this court to grant any relief from Petitioner's obligation to reimburse the Client Security Fund for payments made by it to individuals to whom Petitioner has been ordered to make restitution. (Cf., Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.133.) Therefore, that request in Petitioner's letter/petition is DENIED.

GOOD CAUSE having been shown, Petitioner's obligation to pay costs is hereby modified and extended as follows: the sum of the disciplinary costs currently still owed ("Present Balance") will be due and payable in five equal installments, with one-fifth of the Present Balance to be paid by Petitioner on or before each of the deadlines for payment of membership fees for the years 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022. If Petitioner fails to pay any of the above installment payments more than 10 days after its due date without the prior written approval of the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining unpaid balance of the costs will be due immediately and be enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

To the extent that Petitioner asks that his entire obligation to pay disciplinary costs be extinguished, that request is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March <u>3</u>, 2017

FII ED.

DONALD F. MILES Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 3, 2017, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER GRANTING AND DENYING MOTION FOR COST RELIEF

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

GARY LANE 2 INGLENOOK IRVINE, CA 92602

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ANTHONY J. GARCIA, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on March 3, 2017.

Mazie Yip Case Administrator State Bar Court