## PUBLIC MATTER

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 ACTING CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL PATSY J. COBB, No. 107793 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 INTERIM SUPERVISING TRIAL COUNSEL 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1066	FILED  DEC 1 4 2011  STATE BAR COURT  CLERK'S OFFICE  LOS ANGELES	
9			
10	STATE B	SAR COURT	
11	HEARING DEPART	MENT - LOS ANGELES	
12			
13	In the Matter of:	) Case No. 10-O-9584, 10-O-9587, 11-O- ) 10118, 11-O-10326, 10-O-10336, 10-O-10381	
14	KERRY STEIGERWALT, No. 116264,	) 10-O-10535, 11-O-10867, 11-O-10869, 11-O- ) 11522, 11-O-12350, 11-O-12557, 11-O-13266	
15		) 11-O-13525, 11-O-15850, 11-O-16053, 11-O- ) 16305, 10-O-5123	
16	A Member of the State Bar	) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES	
17 18	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!		
19	WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVIC	TTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE E, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT	
20	THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:		
21		HANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU	
22	WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITT THESE PROCEEDINGS UNITED THESE PROCEEDINGS UNITED	ED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN	
23	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET AS		
24	(4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL	TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE T, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN	
25	ORDER RECOMMENDING		
26	RULES OF PROCEDURE OF T	HE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.	
27	The State Bar of California alleges:	kwiktag <sup>®</sup> 018 043 701	
28			

-1-

#### **JURISDICTION**

1. Kerry Steigerwalt ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 3, 1984, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

#### **GENERAL BACKGROUND ALLEGATIONS**

- 2. In or about February 2008, Respondent opened Kerry Steigerwalt's Pacific Law Center ("KSPLC") in the San Diego, California area. KSPLC primarily handled bankruptcy, criminal, and loan modification cases. Respondent widely advertised KSPLC including through television ads. The advertising attracted a significant number of clients.
- 3. In or about late 2009, Respondent became aware that KSPLC was suffering financial difficulties. Those difficulties continued into June 2010, at which time, Respondent decided to close KSPLC.
- 4. In or about late June 2010, Respondent sent a letter to some current clients of KSPLC in which he said "numerous economic factors" had impacted KSPLC and that KSPLC was "winding down" "future operations" but would "devote all of our time and energy to the completion of our existing cases" (hereinafter, "the letter"). However, after in or about June 2010, Respondent provided no legal services to the KSPLC clients named below.
- 5. In or about late June 2010, Respondent entered into an agreement with J. Kevin Benjamin of Benjamin Legal Services ("BLS"), to handle some of KSPLC's bankruptcy clients.

  J. Kevin Benjamin was an attorney admitted to practice law in the state of Illinois. J. Kevin Benjamin was not an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of California and was not admitted to practice in the United States District Court, Southern District of California.
- 6. Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California ("the Bankruptcy Court") require attorneys appearing before the Bankruptcy Court to be admitted to the Bankruptcy Court. Admission to the United States District Court, Southern District of California, is a pre-requisite for admission to the Bankruptcy Court.
- 7. Prior to entering into the agreement with BLS, Respondent made no reasonable inquiry into J. Kevin Benjamin's ability to appear before the Bankruptcy Court. Respondent did

not tell any of his clients that J. Kevin Benjamin was not admitted to practice in the Bankruptcy Court.

#### **COUNT ONE**

Case No. 10-O-9584
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2)
[Improper Withdrawal from Employment]

- 8. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), by failing, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows:
- 9. On or about May 21, 2010, Albert Valdez and Maria Teresa Valdez ("the Valdezes") employed Respondent to prepare and file a joint petition for bankruptcy. Between May and June 2010, the Valdezes paid Respondent \$2,190 in advanced fees.
  - 10. On or about June 28, 2010, the Valdezes received the letter from Respondent.
- 11. On or about August 23, 2010, the Valdezes went to Respondent's law office where a representative from BLS told them and others who were present that BLS would take over their bankruptcy case. The Valdezes agreed to employ BLS. Thereafter, Albert Valdez telephoned BLS on numerous occasions and left messages but received no return telephone calls.
- 12. Neither BLS nor Respondent performed any legal services of value on behalf of the Valdezes. Respondent did not earn any of the \$2,190 the Valdezes paid in advanced fees.
- 13. As of on or about June 28, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment. Upon doing so, Respondent did not release the Valdezes' file to them, did not provide them with an accounting of the advanced fees, and did not refund any of the unearned fees.
- 14. On or about September 30, 2011, Respondent refunded \$802 to the Valdezes. In so doing, Respondent charged the Valdezes a \$250 "cancellation" or "administration fee" and a "monthly maintenance fee" totaling \$150.
- 15. By failing to make a reasonable inquiry into J. Kevin Benjamin's ability to appear before the Bankruptcy Court, failing to tell the Valdezes that J. Kevin Benjamin was not admitted to practice in the Bankruptcy Court, and failing to provide the Valdezes with their file,

1	Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonable		
2	foreseeable prejudice to his client.		
3	COUNT TWO		
4 5	Case No. 10-O-9584 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]		
6	16. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by		
7	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:		
8	17. Count one is incorporated by reference.		
9	18. By failing to refund the \$2,190 in unearned advanced fees, Respondent failed to		
10	refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.		
11	COUNT THREE		
12 13	Case No. 10-O-9584 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]		
14	19. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by		
15	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
16	possession, as follows:		
17	20. Counts one and two are incorporated by reference.		
18	21. By failing to provide the Valdezes with an accounting of their advanced fees,		
19	Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into		
20	Respondent's possession.		
21	<u>COUNT FOUR</u>		
22	Case No. 10-O-9584		
23	Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A) [Unconscionable Fee]		
24	22. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A), by		
25	entering into an agreement for, charging, or collecting an unconscionable fee, as follows:		
26	23. Counts one through three are incorporated by reference.		
27	24. The \$250 "cancellation" or "administrative fee" was described in Respondent's		
28	retainer agreement with the Valdezes as a "minimum non-refundable flat fee" to cover		

1 administrative costs. The "cancellation" or "administrative fee" was not tied to any legal 2 services performed on behalf of the Valdezes and Respondent did not specifically account for the 3 costs. 4 25. The "file maintenance fee" was not tied to any legal services performed on behalf of 5 the Valdezes and was wholly disproportionate to any time and labor required in maintaining the 6 Valdezes' file. 7 26. By charging the Valdezes a \$250 "cancellation" or "administrative fee" and a \$150 8 "file maintenance fee," Respondent entered into an agreement for, charging, or collecting an 9 unconscionable fee. 10 **COUNT FIVE** 11 Case No. 10-O-9587 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) 12 [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees] 13 27. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by 14 failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows: 15 28. On or about April 16, 2010, Randy Thompson ("Thompson") employed Respondent 16 to prepare and file a petition for bankruptcy. Thompson agreed to pay \$3,425 in advanced fees 17 in monthly installment payments beginning on or about April 16, 2010. Thompson and 18 Respondent agreed that once the \$3,425 was paid in full, the petition for bankruptcy would be 19 filed. 20 29. Between on or about April 16, 2010, and on or about July 25, 2010, Thompson made 21 monthly installment payments towards the advanced fees. By on or about July 25, 2010, 22 Thompson had paid \$3,425. 23 30. On or about June 28, 2010, Thompson received the letter from Respondent. 24 31. Respondent performed no legal services of value on behalf of Thompson and did not 25 earn the \$3,425 in advanced fees. 26 32. On or about August 9, 2010, Thompson submitted a letter to Respondent, which he 27 received, terminating Respondent's employment and requesting a refund of the \$3,425 in 28 advanced fees.

- 42. Between on or about March 17, 2010, and July 15, 2010, the Estradas paid a total of \$1,295 in monthly installment payments.
  - 43. On or about June 28, 2010, the Estradas received the letter.
- 44. As of on or about June 28, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment and referred the Estradas to BLS. The Estradas initially agreed to employ BLS but shortly thereafter, terminated BLS.
- 45. Respondent provided no legal services of value to the Estradas. Upon withdrawing, Respondent did not release the Estradas' file to them, did not provide an accounting of the advanced fees, and did not refund any of the unearned fees.
- 46. By failing to make a reasonable inquiry into J. Kevin Benjamin's ability to appear before the Bankruptcy Court, failing to tell the Estradas that J. Kevin Benjamin was not admitted to practice in the Bankruptcy Court, and failing to provide the Estradas with their file, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client.

#### **COUNT EIGHT**

# Case No. 11-O-10118 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]

- 47. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:
  - 48. Count seven is incorporated by reference.
- 49. On or about October 8, 2010, Maureen Enmark ("Enmark"), an attorney representing the Estradas, sent Respondent a letter, which he received, requesting he return the Estradas' unearned fees. Respondent failed to do so.
- 50. On or about December 21, 2010, Enmark sent a second letter to Respondent, which he received, requesting he return the Estrada's unearned fees. Respondent failed to do so.
- 51. By failing to refund the unearned fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent failed to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.

#### **COUNT NINE**

Case No. 11-O-10118
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3)
[Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]

- 52. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's possession, as follows:
  - 53. Counts seven and eight are incorporated by reference.
- 54. By failing to provide the Estradas with an accounting of the advanced fees, Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's possession.

#### **COUNT TEN**

Case No. 11-O-10118
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A)
[Unconscionable Fee]

- 55. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A), by entering into an agreement for, charging, or collecting an unconscionable fee, as follows:
  - 56. Counts seven through nine are incorporated by reference.
- 57. On or about June 8, 2011, refunded \$346 to the Estradas. In so doing, Respondent charged the Estradas a \$250 "administrative fee for costs associated with opening the file" and a \$50 monthly "file maintenance fee" per month for six months for a total of \$300.
- 58. The \$250 "administrative fee" was described in Respondent's retainer agreement with the Estradas as a "minimum non-refundable flat fee" in the event the client terminated the Respondent. The "administrative fee" was not tied to any legal services performed on behalf of the Estradas and was effectively a penalty if the Estradas terminated the Respondent. The Estradas did not terminate the Respondent. Instead, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment.
- 59. The "file maintenance fee" was not tied to any legal services performed on behalf of the Estradas and was wholly disproportionate to any time and labor required in maintaining the Estradas' file.

60. By charging the Estradas a \$250 "administrative fee" and a \$300 "file maintenance fee," Respondent entered into an agreement for, charging, or collecting an unconscionable fee.

#### **COUNT ELEVEN**

Case No. 11-O-10326
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2)
[Improper Withdrawal from Employment]

- 61. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), by failing, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows:
- 62. On or about November 30, 2009, Ernest Ruiz ("Ruiz") employed Respondent to prepare and file a petition for bankruptcy. Ruiz agreed to pay \$2,590 in advanced fees in monthly installments until paid in full. Ruiz and Respondent agreed that once the fees were paid in full, the bankruptcy petition would be filed.
- 63. Between on or about December 20, 2009, and June 20, 2010, Ruiz paid a total of \$2,590 in monthly installment payments.
- 64. On or about October 6, 2010, Ruiz contacted KSPLC and informed them he was ready to proceed with filing the bankruptcy petition. Ruiz was directed to BLS. As of at least on or about October 6, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment. Prior to on or about October 6, 2010, Respondent had not informed Ruiz he was closing KSPLC.
- 65. In or around the first of November 2010, Ruiz met with a representative of BLS who told Ruiz he would have to pay an additional \$599 for BLS to represent him. Ruiz did not employ BLS.
- 66. Respondent did not provide any legal services of value to Ruiz. Upon withdrawing, Respondent did not release Ruiz's file to him, did not provide an accounting of the advanced fees, or refund any of Ruiz's unearned fees.
- 67. By failing to make a reasonable inquiry into J. Kevin Benjamin's ability to appear before the Bankruptcy Court, failing to tell Ruiz that J. Kevin Benjamin was not admitted to practice in the Bankruptcy Court, failing to notify Ruiz he was closing KSPLC, and failing to

	11		
1	provide Ruiz with their file, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take		
2	reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client.		
3	COUNT TWELVE		
4 5	Case No. 11-O-10326 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]		
6	68. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by		
7	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows		
8	69. Count eleven is incorporated by reference.		
9	70. By failing to refund the unearned fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent failed to		
10	refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.		
11	COUNT THIRTEEN		
12 13	Case No. 11-O-10326 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]		
14	71. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by		
15	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
16	possession, as follows:		
17	72. Counts eleven and twelve are incorporated by reference.		
18	73. By failing to provide Ruiz with an accounting of his advanced fees, Respondent faile		
19	to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
20	possession.		
21	COUNT FOURTEEN		
22	Case No. 10-O-10336		
23	Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m) [Failure to Inform Client of Significant Development]		
24	74. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m), by		
25	failing to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which		
26	Respondent had agreed to provide legal services, as follows:		
27	75. On or about June 9, 2010, Sandra Gehringer ("Gehringer") employed Respondent to		
28	prepare and file a petition for bankruptcy. Gehringer agreed to pay \$1,890 in advanced fees in		

1 84. Respondent never provided Gehringer with her file or a refund of unearned fees. 2 85. By failing to return the unearned fees, Respondent failed to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned. 3 4 COUNT SIXTEEN 5 Case No. 10-O-10336 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) 6 [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds] 7 86. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by 8 failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's 9 possession, as follows: 10 87. Counts fourteen and fifteen are incorporated by reference. 11 88. By failing to provide Gehringer with an accounting of her advanced fees, Respondent 12 failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's 13 possession. 14 COUNT SEVENTEEN 15 Case No. 10-O-10336 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1) 16 [Failure to Release File] 17 89. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1), by 18 failing to release promptly, upon termination of employment, to the client, at the request of the 19 client, all the client papers and property, as follows: 20 90. Counts fourteen through sixteen are incorporated by reference. 21 91. By failing to release Gehringer's file to her, Respondent failed to release promptly, 22 upon termination of employment, to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers 23 and property. 24 **COUNT EIGHTEEN** 25 Case No. 10-O-10381 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2) 26 [Improper Withdrawal from Employment] 27

failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:

1	110. As of in or about late July 2010, Respondent had effectively withdrawn from
2	employment. Respondent had not preformed any legal services of value to the Comos.
3	Respondent did not release the Como's file to them, did not provide them with an accounting,
4	and did not refund any of the unearned advanced fees upon his withdrawal.
5	111. On or about August 4, 2010, the Comos were advised that BLS was taking over
6	their bankruptcy case. The Comos did not agree to employ BLS.
7	112. By failing to make a reasonable inquiry into J. Kevin Benjamin's ability to appear
8	before the Bankruptcy Court, failing to tell the Comos that J. Kevin Benjamin was not admitted
9	to practice in the Bankruptcy Court, failing to notify the Comos he was closing his office, and
10	failing to provide the Comos with their file, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment,
11	to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client.
12	COUNT TWENTY-TWO
13	Case No. 10-O-10535 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2)
14	[Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]
15	113. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by
16	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:
17	114. Count twenty-one is incorporated by reference.
18	115. By failing to return the Comos unearned fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent
19	failed to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.
20	COUNT TWENTY-THREE
21	Case No. 10-O-10535  Pulse of Professional Conduct rule 4 100(P)(2)
22	Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]
23	116. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by
24	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's
25	possession, as follows:
26	117. Counts twenty-one and twenty-two are incorporated by reference.
27	

1	118. By failing to provide the Comos with an accounting of their advanced fees,	
2	Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into	
3	Respondent's possession.	
4	COUNT TWENTY-FOUR	
5 6	Case No. 11-O-10867 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]	
7	119. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by	
8	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:	
9	120. On or about September 5, 2008, Liza Melchor ("Melchor") employed Respondent	
10	to prepare and file a petition for bankruptcy. Melchor agreed to pay a total of \$2,290 in	
11	advanced fees in monthly installment payments. Respondent and Melchor agreed that once the	
12	advanced fees were paid in full, a petition for bankruptcy would be filed.	
13	121. Between on or about September 5, 2008, and January 15, 2009, Melchor paid	
14	\$2,290 in monthly installments.	
15	122. By in or around June 2010, Melchor had not yet provided all of the necessary	
16	documents to Respondent in order to prepare and file the petition for bankruptcy.	
17	123. On or about June 28, 2010, Melchor received the letter.	
18	124. Respondent provided no legal services of value to Melchor. As of on or about	
19	June 28, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment. Respondent did not return	
20	any of the unearned advanced fees.	
21	125. By failing to return the unearned advanced fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent	
22	failed to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.	
23	COUNT TWENTY-FIVE	
24	Case No. 11-O-10867  Pulse of Professional Conduct, rule 4, 100(P)(3)	
25	Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]	
26	126. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by	
27	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's	
28	possession, as follows:	

- 127. Count twenty-four is incorporated by reference.
- 128. By failing to provide Melchor with an accounting of her advanced fees,
  Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into
  Respondent's possession.

#### COUNT TWENTY-SIX

Case No. 11-O-10869
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2)
[Improper Withdrawal from Employment]

- 129. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), by failing, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows:
- 130. On or about June 24, 2010, Jeffrey Bliesath and Denise Grimsley ("Bliesath and Grimsley") employed Respondent to prepare and file a joint petition for bankruptcy. On that same date, Bliesath and Grimsley paid Respondent \$2,390 in advanced fees.
  - 131. On or about June 28, 2010, Bliesath and Grimsely received the letter.
- 132. On or about August 26, 2010, Bliesath and Grimsely went to KSPLC for a prearranged appointment. When they arrived they were met by a representative from BLS who told them BLS was now assigned to their bankruptcy. Bliesath and Grimsely agreed to employ BLS.
- 133. As of on or about June 28, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment. Respondent provided no legal services of value to Bliesath and Grimsely. Upon his withdrawal Respondent did not return Bliesath and Grimsely's file, did not provide them with an accounting, and did not refund their unearned fees.
- 134. Bliesath and Grimsley did not hear from BLS until in or around December 2010. At that time, a representative from BLS requested they provide BLS with certain documents and requested they pay an additional \$395.
- 135. By failing to make a reasonable inquiry into J. Kevin Benjamin's ability to appear before the Bankruptcy Court, failing to tell Bliesath and Grimsely that J. Kevin Benjamin was not admitted to practice in the Bankruptcy Court, and failing to provide Bliesath and Grimsley

1	with their file, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to		
2	avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client.		
3		COUNT TWENTY-SEVEN	
5		Case No. 10-O-10869 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]	
6	136.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by	
7	failing to refu	and promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:	
8	137.	Count twenty-six is incorporated by reference.	
9	138.	On or about December 28, 2010, Bliesath and Grimsely sent Respondent a letter,	
10	which he received, requesting Respondent return the \$2,390 in unearned fees.		
11	139.	Between in or about August 2011 and November 2011, Respondent refunded the	
12	unearned fees.		
13	140.	By failing to return the unearned fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent failed to	
14	refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.		
15		COUNT TWENTY-EIGHT	
16 17		Case No. 11-O-10869 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]	
18	141.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by	
19	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
20	possession, as follows:		
21	142.	Counts twenty-six and twenty-seven are incorporated by reference.	
22	143.	By failing to provide Bliesath and Grimsely with an accounting of their advanced	
23	fees, Respond	lent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into	
24	Respondent's possession.		
25		COUNT TWENTY-NINE	
26		Case No. 11-O-11522	
27		Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]	

	<b>{</b>		
1	153. By failing to provide an accounting the Moreys for the \$3,460 they paid,		
2	Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into		
3	Respondent's possession.		
4	COUNT THIRTY-ONE		
5	Case No. 11-O-12350 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2)		
7	[Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]  154. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), b		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
8	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows		
9	155. On or about June 25, 2010, Ruben Camacho Sr., employed Respondent to		
10	represent his son, Ruben Camacho, in a misdemeanor criminal matter in San Diego Central		
11	Court. Ruben Camacho Sr. paid Respondent a \$4,000 "flat fee."		
12	156. On or about June 30, 2010, the Camachoes learned through a news report that		
13	KSPLC was closing.		
14	157. On or about June 30, 2010, the Camachoes went to Respondent's office and		
15	requested a refund, effectively terminating Respondent. Respondent had not performed any leg		
16	services of value and had not earned the \$4,000.		
17	158. Between on or about June 30, 2010, and on or about January 15, 2011, the		
18	Camachoes made repeated requests for a refund of the unearned fees. Respondent received the		
19	requests but failed to refund the unearned fees and failed to provide an accounting.		
20	159. By failing to refund the unearned fees, Respondent failed to refund promptly any		
21	part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.		
22	COUNT THIRTY-TWO		
23	Case No. 11-O-12350 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]		
25	160. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), b		
26	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
27	possession, as follows:		
28	161. Count thirty-one is incorporated by reference.		
-U I	101. Could differ one is incorporated by follower.		

1 162. By failing to provide the Camachoes with an accounting of the \$4,000, 2 Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into 3 Respondent's possession. 4 COUNT THIRTY-THREE 5 Case No. 11-O-12557 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2) 6 [Improper Withdrawal from Employment] 7 163. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), by 8 failing, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably 9 foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows: 10 164. On or about December 30, 2009, Jeremy Williams ("Williams") employed 11 Respondent to prepare and file a petition for bankruptcy. Williams agreed to pay a total of 12 \$2,590 in advanced fees in monthly installment payments. Williams and Respondent agreed that 13 once the fees were paid in full, a petition for bankruptcy would be filed. 14 165. Between on or about December 30, 2009, and on or about August 12, 2010, 15 Williams paid \$2,590 in advanced fees. 16 166. On or about June 28, 2010, Williams received the letter. 17 167. As of on or about June 28, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from 18 employment. Respondent performed no legal services of value to Williams. 19 168. In or about late August 2010, "Mary" a representative of Respondent, told 20 Williams KSPLC would no longer be handling his case and that BLS would take over his case. 21 Williams did not agree to have BLS represent him and requested Respondent return his \$2,590. 22 169. Respondent did not provide Williams with an accounting and did not return the 23 unearned advanced fees or provide Williams with his file. 24 170. By failing to make a reasonable inquiry into J. Kevin Benjamin's ability to appear 25 before the Bankruptcy Court, failing to tell Williams that J. Kevin Benjamin was not admitted to 26 practice in the Bankruptcy Court, and failing to provide Williams with his file, Respondent 27 failed, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable 28 prejudice to his client.

COUNT THIRTY-FOUR		
Case No. 11-O-12557 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]		
171. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), b		
failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows		
172. Count thirty-three is incorporated by reference.		
By failing to refund the unearned fees, Respondent failed to refund promptly any		
part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.		
COUNT THIRTY-FIVE		
Case No. 11-O-12557  Pulor of Professional Conduct rule 4 100(P)(2)		
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]		
174. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), b		
failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
possession, as follows:		
175. Counts thirty-three and thirty-four are incorporated by reference.		
176. By failing to provide Williams with an accounting of his advanced fees,		
Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into		
Respondent's possession.		
COUNT THIRTY-SIX		
Case No. 11-O-13266 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2) [Improper Withdrawal from Employment]		
177. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), b		
failing, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably		
foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows:		
178. On or about April 8, 2010, Joe and Rosa Diaz ("the Diazes") employed		
Respondent to prepare and file a joint petition for bankruptcy. On or about the same date, the		
Diazes paid \$2,590 in advanced fees.		
179. On or about June 28, 2010, the Diazes received the letter.		

1 188. By failing to provide the Diazes with an accounting of their advanced fees, 2 Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into 3 Respondent's possession. 4 COUNT THIRTY-NINE 5 Case No. 11-O-13525 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2) 6 [Improper Withdrawal from Employment] 7 189. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), by 8 failing, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably 9 foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows: 10 190. On or about April 29, 2010, Robert and Selena Dimal ("the Dimals") employed 11 Respondent to prepare and file a joint petition for bankruptcy. The Dimals agreed to pay a total 12 of \$3,460 in advanced fees. The Dimals and Respondent agreed they would pay a minimum of 13 \$2,560 in installments, at which time the bankruptcy petition would be filed. 14 191. Between on or about April 29, 2010, and on or about June 17, 2010, the Dimals 15 paid \$2,560 in installments. 16 192. On or about June 28, 2010, the Dimals received the letter. 17 193. Between on or about July 23, 2010, and July 29, 2010, the Dimals repeatedly 18 telephoned Respondent to inquire about the status of the bankruptcy petition. Each time they 19 called, they reached a voice mail, and left a message which Respondent received. Respondent 20 did not return their telephone calls. 194. Between on or about July 23, 2010, and July 29, 2010, Selena Dimal went to Respondent's office and discovered it was closed. 195. As of on or about June 28, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from 24 employment. Respondent did not file the Dimals' petition for bankruptcy or perform any legal legal services of value. Respondent did not refund the Dimals' unearned fee or provide them an accounting or their file.

21

22

23

25

26

27

1	196.	On or about July 20, 2010, a representative of KSPLC told the Dimal's KSPLC	
2	: 5	usiness and that the newly formed, Steigerwalt Law Firm would handle their	
3	bankruptcy.	asiness and that the newly lottined, Stelger want haw I init would handle then	
4	197.	On an about Assessed 4, 2010, the Disselvenet with D.I. Daviga, an attenday with the	
		On or about August 4, 2010, the Dimals met with D.J. Rausa, an attorney with the	
5	Steigerwalt L	aw firm, regarding their bankruptcy. D.J. Rausa told the Dimals they would have	
6	to pay an add	itional \$1,700 for the Steigerwalt Law firm to represent them.	
7	198.	On or about August 18, 2010, Selena Dimal sent a letter to Respondent, which he	
8	received, requesting a refund of their unearned fees and their file. Respondent did not respond.		
9	199.	By failing to notify the Dimals his office was closing and failing to return their	
10	file upon his	withdrawal, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable	
11	steps to avoid	reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client.	
12		COUNT FORTY	
13		Case No. 11-O-13525	
14		Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]	
15	200.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by	
16	failing to refu	nd promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:	
17	201.	Count thirty-nine is incorporated by reference.	
18	202.	By failing to refund the unearned fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent failed to	
19	refund promp	tly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.	
20	j	COUNT FORTY-ONE	
21		Case No. 11-O-13525	
22		Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]	
23	203.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by	
24	failing to rend	ler appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's	
25	possession, as	s follows:	
26	204.	Counts thirty-nine and forty are incorporated by reference.	
27		<b>y y y y</b>	
1			

1	205.	By failing to provide the Dimals with an accounting of their advanced fees,	
2	Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into		
3	Respondent's possession.		
4		COUNT FORTY-TWO	
5 6		Case No. 11-O-13525 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A) [Unconscionable Fee]	
7	206.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A), by	
8	entering into a	an agreement for, charging, or collecting an unconscionable fee, as follows:	
9	207.	Counts thirty-nine through forty-one are incorporated by reference.	
10	208.	On or about March 30, 2011, Respondent refunded \$255 to the Dimals. In so	
11	doing, Respon	ident charged the Dimals a \$250 "Cancellation Fee" and a "monthly" fee of \$50 fo	
12	a total of \$200	).	
13	209.	The \$250 "cancellation fee" was described in Respondent's retainer agreement	
14	with the Dima	ls as a "minimum non-refundable flat fee" in the event the client terminated the	
15	Respondent pr	rior to completion of the representation. The "cancellation fee" was not tied to any	
16	legal services performed on behalf of the Dimals and was effectively a penalty if the Dimals		
17	terminated the Respondent. The Dimals did not terminate the Respondent. Instead, Responden		
18	effectively wit	thdrew from employment.	
19	210.	The "monthly fee" was not tied to any legal services performed on behalf of the	
20	Dimals and wa	as wholly disproportionate to any time and labor required in maintaining the	
21	Dimals' file.		
22	211.	By charging a \$250 "Cancellation Fee" and a total of \$200 in "monthly" fees,	
23	Respondent er	stered into an agreement for, charging, or collecting an unconscionable fee.	
24		COUNT FORTY-THREE	
25		Case No. 11-O-15850 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2)	
26		[Improper Withdrawal from Employment]	
27			

Count forty-three is incorporated by reference.

-27-

28

219.

1	220.	By failing to refund the unearned fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent failed to	
2	refund promp	otly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.	
3	COUNT FORTY-FIVE		
4 5		Case No. 11-O-15850 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]	
6	221.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by	
7	failing to rend	der appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's	
8	possession, as follows:		
9	222.	Count forty-four is incorporated by reference.	
10	223.	By failing to provide Brooks with an accounting of her unearned fees, Respondent	
11	failed to rend	er appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's	
12	possession.		
13		COUNT FORTY-SIX	
14 15		Case No. 11-O-15850 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A) [Unconscionable Fee]	
16	224.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A), by	
17	entering into	an agreement for, charging, or collecting an unconscionable fee, as follows:	
18	225.	Counts forty-three through forty-five are incorporated by reference.	
19	226.	On or about September 8, 2011, Brooks mailed a letter to Respondent, which he	
20	received, dem	anding a refund and an accounting.	
21	227.	On or about September 29, 2011, Respondent refunded Brooks \$368.25. In so	
22	doing, Respon	ndent charged Brooks a \$250 "Cancellation Fee" and a "Monthly Maintenance Fee"	
23	of \$50 per mo	onth for a total of \$350.	
24	228.	The \$250 "cancellation fee" was described in Respondent's retainer agreement	
25	with Brooks a	as a "minimum non-refundable flat fee" in the event the client terminated the	
26	Respondent prior to completion of the representation. The "cancellation fee" was not tied to any		
27	legal services	performed on behalf of Brooks and was effectively a penalty if Brooks terminated	
28			

the Respondent. Brooks did not terminate the Respondent. Instead, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment.

- 229. The "monthly maintenance fee" was not tied to any legal services performed on behalf of Brooks and was wholly disproportionate to any time and labor required in maintaining the Brooks' file.
- 230. By charging a \$250 "Cancellation Fee" and a \$350 "Monthly Maintenance Fee," Respondent entered into an agreement for, charging, or collecting an unconscionable fee.

#### **COUNT FORTY-SEVEN**

Case No. 11-O-16053
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2)
[Improper Withdrawal from Employment]

- 231. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), by failing, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows:
- 232. On or about April 9, 2010, Ruby Hume ("Hume") employed Respondent to prepare and file a petition for bankruptcy. On or about April 9, 2010, Hume paid \$2,590 in advanced fees. Thereafter, Respondent provided no legal services of value to Hume and did not file a petition for bankruptcy on her behalf.
- 233. On or about August 23, 2010, Respondent sent Hume a letter stating that KSPLC was closing, Respondent needed to make arrangements to complete her case, and that if she failed to contact them within 14 days, Respondent would close her case and destroy her file. Thereafter, Hume spoke with a representative from KSPLC who told Hume another law firm would handle her bankruptcy. After on or about September 1, 2010, Hume had no further contact with Respondent or KSPLC regarding completion of her bankruptcy.
- 234. As of on or about August 23, 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from employment. Upon withdrawing, Respondent did not release Hume's file to her, did not provide her with an accounting, and did not refund any of her unearned fees.
- 235. By failing to perform any legal services of value on behalf of Hume, failing to release her file upon his withdrawal, and failing to take any reasonable steps to avoid reasonably

	]]		
1	foreseeable prejudice to Hume, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take		
2	reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client.		
3	COUNT FORTY-EIGHT		
4 5		Case No. 11-O-16053 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]	
6	236.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by	
7	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows		
8	237.	Count forty-seven is incorporated by reference.	
9	238.	By failing to refund the unearned fees upon his withdrawal, Respondent failed to	
10	refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.		
11		COUNT FORTY-NINE	
12		Case No. 11-O-16053	
13		Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]	
14	239.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by	
15	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
16	possession, as follows:		
17	240.	Counts forty-six through forty-seven are incorporated by reference.	
18	241.	By failing to provide an accounting to Hume for her advanced fees, Respondent	
19	failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's		
20	possession.		
21		COUNT FIFTY	
22		Case No. 11-O-16305	
23		Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2) [Improper Withdrawal from Employment]	
24	242.	Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2), by	
25	failing, upon	termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to avoid reasonably	
26	foreseeable prejudice to his client, as follows:		
27	243.	On or about April 17, 2010, LaDarien LaBlue ("LaBlue") employed Respondent	
28	to prepare and	l file a petition for bankruptcy. LaBlue agreed to pay a total of \$2,590 in advanced	

1 fees in monthly installments. Respondent and LaBlue agreed that once the fees were paid in full, 2 the bankruptcy petition would be filed. 3 244. Between on or about April 26, 2010, and January 16, 2011, LaBlue paid a total of 4 \$2,590 in monthly installments. 5 245. In or about late June 2010, Respondent closed KSPLC. Respondent did not 6 inform LaBlue in or around late June 2010, that he had closed KSPLC. In or around January 7 2011, LaBlue learned from television that KSPLC had closed. 8 246. After learning from television that KSPLC had closed, LaBlue called Respondent 9 numerous times and left messages. In or around January or February 2011, a representative of 10 Respondent's called LaBlue and told him KSPLC was closed. LaBlue requested his file and a 11 refund of the unearned fees. 12 247. As of in or about late June 2010, Respondent effectively withdrew from 13 employment. Respondent did not provide LaBlue with any legal services of value. Upon 14 withdrawing, Respondent did not release LaBlue's file to him, did not provide him with an 15 accounting, and did not refund any of LaBlue's unearned fees. 16 248. By failing to notify LaBlue KSPLC was closing, and failing to provide LaBlue 17 with his file, Respondent failed, upon termination of employment, to take reasonable steps to 18 avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to his client. 19 **COUNT FIFTY-ONE** 20 Case No. 11-O-16305 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) 21 [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees] 22 249. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by 23 failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows: 24 250. Count fifty is incorporated by reference. 25 251. By failing to refund the unearned fees, Respondent failed to refund promptly any 26 part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned. 27

1 **COUNT FIFTY-TWO** 2 Case No. 11-O-16305 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) 3 [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds] 4 252. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by 5 failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's 6 possession, as follows: 7 253. Counts fifty and fifty-one are incorporated by reference. 8 254. By failing to provide LaBlue with an accounting of his advanced fees, 9 Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into 10 Respondent's possession. 11 **COUNT FIFTY-THREE** 12 Case No. 11-O-16305 Business and Professions Code, section 6106 13 [Moral Turpitude] 14 255. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6106, by 15 committing an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption, as follows: 16 256. Counts fifty through fifty-two are incorporated by reference. 17 257. Respondent willfully or with gross negligence, collected legal fees from LaBlue 18 after he effectively withdrew from employment and without intending to perform legal services 19 on behalf of LaBlue. 20 258. By collecting monthly installment payments from LaBlue for approximately 21 seven months after withdrawing from employment and without intending to perform legal 22 services on behalf of LaBlue, Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, 23 dishonesty or corruption. 24 COUNT FIFTY-FOUR 25 Case No. 10-O-5123 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) 26 [Failure to Perform with Competence] 27

- 259. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A), by intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failing to perform legal services with competence, as follows:
- 260. On or about June 15, 2007, Lorenia Campos ("Campos") employed Respondent to represent her father, Gustavo Suarez Sr. ("Suarez") in a criminal matter pending in the Imperial County Superior Court. Suarez had been convicted of multiple counts of violation of Penal Code section 288(a), in Imperial County Superior Court case number JCF 18746. Respondent was employed to: 1) evaluate the case for trial issues and; 2) attempt to file a motion for new trial and/or represent Suarez at sentencing. Campos paid a \$20,000 flat fee.
- 261. On or about July 26, 2007, Gerald Smith ("Smith"), an associate in Respondent's firm acting under Respondent's supervision, filed a Substitution of Counsel and a Motion to Continue Suarez's sentencing. Smith cited the need to order the transcripts from the underlying trial ("the transcripts"), in order to evaluate grounds for a motion for a new trial, as among the reasons why a continuance was necessary. Sentencing was continued to October 22, 2007.
- 262. By on or around the first of October 2007, neither Respondent nor any associate under his supervision had ordered the transcripts and had not evaluated possible grounds to file a motion for a new trial.
- 263. On or about October 15, 2007, Smith, under Respondents' supervision, filed a second Motion to Continue Sentencing, requesting an additional three months because he had not yet received the transcripts.
- 264. On or about October 29, 2007, the Court convened Suarez's case for the Motion to Continue and for sentencing. Respondent arranged for Hector J. Tamayo ("Tamayo"), an appearance attorney, to appear for Respondent's office on behalf of Suarez. Tamayo was not prepared to represent Suarez for purposes of sentencing. The Court denied the Motion to Continue and sentenced Suarez to twenty-two years in prison.
- 265. Neither Respondent nor any associate under his supervision, reviewed Suarez's case for issues at the trial level including timely ordering and reviewing the transcripts for grounds to file a motion for a new trial, filing a motion for a new trial, or preparing for and

1	providing a substantive argument for purposes of sentencing including: 1) filing a sentencing
2	memorandum; 2) reviewing the probation officer's report prepared for sentencing or; 3)
3	presenting letters of support to the Court that Campos had provided to Respondent on behalf of
4	Suarez.
5	266. Respondent provided no legal services of value to Suarez.
6	267. In or about late 2007, Campos requested Respondent provide her with an
7	accounting and a refund of unearned fees. Respondent did neither.
8	268. In or about early 2008, Campos again requested Respondent provide her with an
9	accounting and a refund of unearned fees. Respondent did neither.
10	269. By failing to review Suarez's case for issues at the trial level including timely
11	ordering and reviewing the transcripts for grounds to file a motion for a new trial, failing to file
12	motion for a new trial, sending an unprepared appearance attorney to represent Suarez's interest
13	at sentencing, or failing to prepare for and provide a substantive argument for purposes of
14	sentencing, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services
15	with competence.
16	COUNT FIFTY-FIVE
17	Case No. 10-O-05123
18	Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) [Failure to Refund Unearned Fees]
19	270. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2), by
20	failing to refund promptly any part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned, as follows:
21	271. Count fifty-four is incorporated by reference.
22	272. By failing to refund the unearned fees, Respondent failed to refund promptly any
23	part of a fee paid in advance that has not been earned.
24	<u>COUNT FIFTY-SIX</u>
25	Case No. 10-O-05123 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]
[	[

1	273. Respondent wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by
2	failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's
3	possession, as follows:
4	274. Counts fifty-four and fifty-five are incorporated by reference.
5	275. By failing to provide Campos with an accounting of the \$20,000, Respondent
6	failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's
7	possession.
8	
9	NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!
10	YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE
11	SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO
12	THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE
13	ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.
14	RECOVERED BY THE COURT.
15	NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!
16	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS
17	INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND
18	PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.
19	Respectfully submitted,
20	THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
21	
22	
23	DATED: 13 11  By:  Melanie J. Lawrence
24	Acting Supervising Trial Counsel
25	
26	
27	
28	

### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL**

CASE NUMBER: 10-O-9584; 10-O-9587, 11-O-10118, 11-O-10326, 10-O-10336, 11-O-10381, 10-O-10535, 11-O-10867, 11-O-10869, 11-O-11522, 11-O-12350, 11-O-12557, 11-O-13266, 11-O-13525, 11-O-15850, 11-O-16053, 11-O-16305, 10-O-5123

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90015, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

#### **NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES**

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 7196 9008 9111 0444 1272, at Los Angeles, on the date shown below, addressed to:

John Masnica 2828 University Ave., Ste. 102 San Diego, CA 92104

in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: December 13, 2011

Signed: X January Sandra Reynolds
Declarant