(Do not write above this line.)

ALTER	Bar Court of Californ Hearing Department Los Angeles NATIVE DISCIPLINE PROGRA	PUBLIC MATTER
Counsel For The State Bar Monique T. Miller Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012-1236 Tel: (213) 765-1486 Bar # 212469	Case Number(s): 11-C-13246	For Court use only FILED MAR 1 2-2012 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
In Pro Per Respondent Wade A. Miller 235 E. Broadway, Suite 1080 Long Beach, CA 90802 Tel: (562) 437-6300		
Bar # 208980 In the Matter of: WADE A. MILLER	Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ALTERNATIVE DISCIPLINE PROGRAM	
Bar # 208980 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 1, 2000.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition (to be attached separately) are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court. However, except as otherwise provided in rule 5.386(D)(2) of the Rules of Procedure, if Respondent is not accepted into the Alternative Discipline Program, this stipulation will be rejected and will not be binding on the Respondent or the State Bar.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated, except for Probation Revocation proceedings. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 7 pages, excluding the order.

(Effective January 1, 2011)



Program

1

- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (7) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7 and will pay timely any disciplinary costs imposed in this proceeding.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below:
- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

(Effective January 1, 2011)

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her-misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Respondent has no record of prior discipline in over ten years of practice.

ATTACHMENT TO

ADP STIPULATION RE FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

IN THE MATTER OF: WADE A. MILLER

MEMBER # 208980

CASE NUMBER(s): 11-C-13246

PARTIES ARE BOUND BY THE STIPULATED FACTS:

The parties intend to be and are hereby bound by the stipulated facts contained in this stipulation. This stipulation as to facts and the facts so stipulated shall independently survive even if the conclusions of law and/or stipulated disposition set forth herein are rejected or changed in any manner whatsoever by the Hearing Department or the Review Department of the State Bar Court, or by the California Supreme Court.

WAIVER OF FINALITY OF CONVICTION (rule 607):

Pursuant to the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, rule 607 the parties stipulate that the Court may decide the issues as to the discipline to be imposed even if the criminal convictions discussed herein are not final.

Respondent waives finality of his conviction and consents to the State Bar Court's acceptance of this Stipulation as to facts, conclusions of law and discipline in all respects as if the conviction was final, including the entry of findings consistent with this Stipulation, imposition of discipline, or entry of a recommendation as to the degree of the discipline to be imposed.

Respondent waives any right to challenge on the basis of a lack of finality of his conviction the State Bar Court's recommendation of discipline, if any, and the actual imposition of discipline, if any, by the State Bar Court or the California Supreme Court.

Respondent further waives any right he may have to seek review or reconsideration on the basis of any relief he may receive as a result of any appeal of, or petition regarding, the criminal conviction underlying any recommendation of and/or actual imposition of discipline by the State Bar Court or the California Supreme Court.

RESPONDENT: MURPHY, KEVIN C.

STIPULATION AS TO FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct, or has otherwise committed acts of misconduct warranting discipline, as follows:

STIPULATED FACTS

1. On April 9, 2004, Respondent was convicted for a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving a vehicle with a blood/alcohol content (BAC) of .08 or greater.

2. On February 25, 2011, in the area of Woodley Avenue and Victory Boulevard, Van Nuys, California, Respondent was the driver of a vehicle observed by patrol officers making an illegal U-turn. The patrol officers conducted a traffic stop of the vehicle and approached Respondent who remained inside the vehicle. The officers observed objective signs that Respondent was under the influence of intoxicants, including bloodshot/watery eyes and a heavy odor of alcohol. When asked to exit the vehicle, Respondent walked with an unsteady gait. Respondent did not pass field sobriety tests he was asked to perform.

3. Respondent was placed under arrest for driving under the influence of alcohol. He submitted to a breath test which measured his blood alcohol content at .14%.

4. A misdemeanor criminal complaint was filed against Respondent charging him with separate counts of driving under the influence of intoxicants (Vehicle Code section 23152(a)) and driving with a blood alcohol content of .08% or greater (vehicle Code section 23152(b)), both enhanced by his 2004 DUI as a prior conviction.

5. On May 9, 2011 Respondent entered a guilty plea to driving with a blood alcohol content of .08% or greater and admitted the prior DUI. The Court accepted the plea and found him guilty and convicted him on that count. The driving under the influence count was dismissed in the interests of justice. Imposition of sentence was suspended and Respondent was placed on summary probation for 4 years with conditions including but not limited to 4 days in jail; various fees, fines and assessments; participation in treatment or counseling, as directed; enrollment in the court's SB-38 DUI program; not drive without a valid driver's license in his possession; and not drive with any measurable level of alcohol in his system.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

6. The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code Section 23152(b) (driving with blood alcohol of .08% or more, with a prior) does not involve moral turpitude, but does involve other misconduct warranting discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 6101 and 6102.

RESPONDENT: MURPHY, KEVIN C.

(PROGRAM)

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of:	Case number(s):
WADE A. MILLER	11-C-13246

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts and Conclusions of Law.

Respondent enters into this stipulation as a condition of his/her participation in the Program. Respondent understands that he/she must abide by all terms and conditions of Respondent's Program Contract.

If the Respondent is not accepted into the Program or does not sign the Program contract, this Stipulation will be rejected and will not be binding on Respondent or the State Bar.

If the Respondent is accepted into the Program, this Stipulation will be filed and will become public. Upon Respondent's successful completion of or termination from the Program, the specified level of discipline for successful completion of or termination from the Program as set forth in the State Bar Court's Confidential Statement of Alternative Dispositions and Orders shall be imposed or recommended to the Supreme Court.

Respondent's Signature	Wade A. Miller Print Name
Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
Deputy Triar Counse's Signature	Monique T. Miller Print Name
	Respondent's Counsel Signature

Π

Π

In the Matter of:	Case Number(s):	
WADE A MILLER	11-C-13246	

ALTERNATIVE DISCIPLINE PROGRAM ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulation as to facts and conclusions of law is APPROVED.

The stipulation as to facts and conclusions of law is APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below.

All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation; or 3) Respondent is not accepted for participation in the Program or does not sign the Program Contract. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F) and 5.382(D), Rules of Procedure.)

Date

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 15, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

WADE A. MILLER LAW OFFICE OF WADE A. MILLER 235 E BROADWAY STE 424 LONG BEACH, CA 90802

by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:

by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:

- by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
- By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
- ÷ ,

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Mia R. Ellis, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on March 15, 2012.

Cristina Potter Case Administrator State Bar Court