197 149 335 kwiktag ° 

FILED

1000

APR 2 0 2016

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

### **STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**

### **HEARING DEPARTMENT – LOS ANGELES**

)

)

In the Matter of

1

**DAVID LAURENCE NAPLES,** 

Member No. 233928,

A Member of the State Bar.

Case Nos. 11-O-10051-PEM (11-O-10319; 11-O-10607; 11-O-10814; 11-O-10488) (S216041)

# ORDER MODIFYING PROBATION CONDITION (RESTITUTION)

## TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED MATTER:

By motion filed April 5, 2016, respondent David Laurence Naples requested to modify the probation condition to extend the time within which he could pay restitution based on financial difficulties. Specifically, he asked for an extension of six months to pay the restitution.<sup>1</sup>

On April 11, 2016, the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California, represented by supervising attorney Terrie Goldade (Office of Probation), opposed the request.

Having carefully considered respondent's motion and the Office of Probation's response, the court concludes that respondent's financial condition warrants an extension of time to pay the restitution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Respondent asked for an extension to October 26, 2016, but in the same motion, he also asked for an extension until April 25, 2017. The court finds a six-month extension is a reasonable request.

Good cause appearing, the court GRANTS respondent's motion and ORDERS that the probation condition of restitution be modified, such that respondent must pay the entire amount of restitution (principal and interest) due Dennis and Petra Spinelli (\$3,525 plus interest); Josephine Marin (\$3,500 plus interest); Marie Francisco<sup>2</sup> (\$3,500 plus interest); Paul Castillo (\$3,500 plus interest); and Alfred Fuentes, Jr. (\$3,500 plus interest) (or reimburse the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Dennis and Petra Spinelli; Josephine Marin; Marie Francisco; Paul Castillo; and Alfred Fuentes, Jr., in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) on or before October 26, 2016, and furnish satisfactory proof to the Office of Probation on or before November 4, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April <u>20</u>, 2016

۲

c Elsm

Judge of the State Bar Court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Respondent must furnish satisfactory proof of his interest payment of \$1,345.50 to Marie Francisco to the Office of Probation.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc. § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, On April 20, 2016, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

#### ORDER MODIFYING PROBATION CONDITION (RESTITUTION)

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

DAVID L. NAPLES PO BOX 3735 LA HABRA, CA 90632

2

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**TERRIE GOLDADE, Probation, Los Angeles** 

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 20, 2016.

Vauretta Cramer Case Administrator State Bar Court