State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles STAYED SUSPENSION

PUBLIC MATTER

Counsel For The State Bar Hugh G. Radigan Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015 213-765-1206 Bar # 94251 In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED For Court use only For Court use only			STAYED SUSPENSION	
Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015 213-765-1206 Bar # 94251 In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 100538 STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED			` '	For Court use only
TILED DEC 12 2011 Bar # 94251 In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 100538 Bar # 100538 STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	-			•
Los Angeles, California 90015 213-765-1206 Bar # 94251 In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	1 1			
DEC 12 2011 STATE BAR COURT CLERKS OFFICE LOS ANGELES In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Denise I. Damrow STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	1			FILED
Bar # 94251 In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED		ifornia 90015		
Bar # 94251 In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	213-765-1206			DFC 12 2011
Bar # 94251 In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 100538 STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED				
In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	Por# 04251		·	
In Pro Per Respondent Denise I. Damrow 2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	Dai # 94231			
2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	In Pro Per Respond	dent		
2960 East 20th Street Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED				
Signal Hill, California 90755-5633 Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	I			
Bar # 100538 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	1			
Bar # 100538 In the Matter of: Denise I. Damrow STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	Signal Hill, Calif	ornia 90755-5633		
Bar # 100538 In the Matter of: Denise I. Damrow STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED			Colorida da Caldanant I	
In the Matter of: Denise I. Damrow STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	Bar # 100538		Submitted to: Settlement 3	uage
In the Matter of: Denise I. Damrow STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION Bar # 100538 PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	Ba i # 100550		STIPULATION RE FACTS.	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
Bar # 100538 STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	In the Matter of:		DISPOSITION AND ORDER	RAPPROVING
Bar # 100538 ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	Denise I. Damrov	v		
Bar # 100538 ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED			STAYED SUSPENSION: NO	O ACTUAL SUSPENSION
☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	Par # 100538			
A Member of the State Bar of California	Dai # 100550		☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION	ON REJECTED
	A Member of the S	tate Bar of California		
(Respondent)	(Respondent)			

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 1, 1981.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Do u	ot write	e above thi	s ine.)
(4)		tatement ler "Fact:	of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included ."
(5)	Cor Lav	nclusions v".	of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of
(6)	The "Su	parties pporting	must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading Authority."
(7)	No pen	more thanding inve	an 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any estigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
(8)	Pay 614	ment of 0.7. (Ch	Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & eck one option only):
***		Costs 2013. Respo Court, Costs	are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline. are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: 2012 and (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If ondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". are entirely waived.
Pro	fess	avating ional N uired.	g Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for disconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances
(1)		Prior re	ecord of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
	(a)	☐ Si	ate Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)	□ D:	ate prior discipline effective
	(c)	R	ules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)		egree of prior discipline
	(e)		Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate tachment entitled "Prior Discipline.
(2)			esty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, lment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)			liolation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account lient or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or
(4)		Harm:	Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
(5)			ence: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the uences of his or her misconduct.
100 ee		nuan(1 2	

100 11	Of WHILE	e above this line.)
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	itiona	al aggravating circumstances
C. N	<i>l</i> litig ums	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.
(1)	⊠	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Respondent was admitted to practice on December 1, 1981, and has to her credit, nearly thirty (30) years of discipline free practice.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent first learned of the disciplinary investigation and proceedings after Notice of Disciplinary Charges had been filed, upon receiving a telephone call from the State Bar Court to reschedule the Status Conference, and had no opportunity prior to the filing of charges to participate in the investigation or engage in an early neutral settlement evaluation conference; the filing of charges thus limited options for resolution of the complaint. Thereafter, Respondent displayed candor and cooperation but, due to the fact that she was unable to locate a copy of a portion of the files provided to her client, she was unable to support her contentions, to the satisfaction of the State Bar Trial Counsel, that she had in fact competently performed, earned all fees tendered to her by her client, One Stop Carpet & Flooring, Inc., and provided services to her client in excess of those for which the client had paid her. Additionally, to her credit, Respondent has agreed to pay restititution to her client in the amount of \$5,400 which is \$1,000 more than the fees received from her client, to complete the State Bar's 8-hour Ethics School, to take the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination, and to a voluntary one year stayed suspension and two years probation.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would

(Do no	ot write	above this I	ine.)	
		any illega	was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer or such difficulties or disabilities.	f
(9)		which res	inancial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stres ulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and re directly responsible for the misconduct.	s
(10)			roblems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.	
(11)			aracter: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal ral communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.	
(12)			ation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.	
(13)		No mitiga	ating circumstances are involved.	
Addi	tiona	al mitigati	ng circumstances	
	4			

700		e above (nis line.)
D.	Disc	ipline:
(1)	\boxtimes	Stayed Suspension:
	(a)	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of 1 year.
		i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
-		ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii. and until Respondent does the following:
	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	\boxtimes	Probation:
	Res Sup	spondent is placed on probation for a period of 2 years, which will commence upon the effective date of the preme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)
E.	Addi	tional Conditions of Probation:
(1)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(2)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(3)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(4)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.
(5)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.

(D0)	IOL WHILE	above tr	is line.)	
(6)	⊠	inquirie directe	t to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any so of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which a to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has add with the probation conditions.	/ ire
(7)		Propati	one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office on satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of en at the end of that session.	of the
		□ . v	lo Ethics School recommended. Reason:	
(8)		Respor must so of Prob	dent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Off ation.	ice
(9)	\boxtimes	The foli	owing conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:	
			Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions Financial Conditions	
F. C	Other	Cond	itions Negotiated by the Parties:	
(1)		the M Confe result Rules	state Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of ultistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National rence of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), Califord of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.	
			MPRE recommended. Reason:	
(2)	Ц	Other	Conditions:	
		,		

(Do	not w	rite above thi	s line.)				
		Matter of: SE I. DAI	MROW		Case Num 11-O-110		
Fin	nan	cial Cond	ditions				
a.	Res	stitution					
	\boxtimes	payee(s) li or any por	sted below. If the C tion of the principal a	lient Security Fund (*	'CSF") has r	nt, plus interest of 10% per reimbursed one or more of ent must also pay restitutio	the payee(s) for all
	Pa	yee		Principal Amount		Interest Accrues From	7
		ne Stop Ca	arpet & Flooring,	\$5,400.00		November 1, 2010	,
							_
						satisfactory proof of paym	
			-	•	od of her o	ne year stayed suspensio	n
b.	ins	tallment R	estitution Payment	S			
		must provi as otherwi probation	de satisfactory proo se directed by the C (or period of reprova	f of payment to the C iffice of Probation. N	office of Prob o later than make any r	payment schedule set forth pation with each quarterly p 30 days prior to the expirat necessary final payment(s)	robation report, or tion of the period of
		Payee/C	SF (as applicable)	Minimum Paymen	t Amount	Payment Frequency	
							-
		L					
				installment as descri and payable immedia		or as may be modified by t	he State Bar Court,
c.	Clie	ent Funds	Certificate				
		re	port, Respondent m	ust file with each req	uired report	ng the period covered by a a certificate from Respond ved by the Office of Probati	ent and/or a certified
		a.	California, at a bra		ne State of C	ank authorized to do busine California, and that such acc	
(Eff	ective	January 1, 20	D11)				Financial Conditions

Page 7

- b Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client:
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of
Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School,
within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

Denise I. Damrow

CASE NUMBER(S):

11-0-11081

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent pleads nolo contendere to the following facts and violations. Respondent completely understands that the plea for nolo contendere shall be considered the same as an admission of the stipulated facts and of her culpability of the statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct specified herein.

Case No. 11-O-11081 (Complainant: Zorkot)

FACTS:

- 1. In 2007, Ahmad Zorkot employed Respondent on behalf of One Stop Carpet & Flooring, Inc., to pursue a civil action against a former employee (hereinafter "Naime") and another individual. In December 2007, Zorkot paid Respondent an attorney's fee of \$3,000.
- 2. In 2009, Zorkot informed Respondent that Naime had filed for bankruptcy, and asked Respondent to pursue an adversary action in connection with Naime's bankruptcy. Zorkot paid Respondent an additional attorney's fee of \$1,400.
- 3. In December 2009, Zorkot received a notice from the bankruptcy court that Naime's bankruptcy had been discharged.
- 4. After repeatedly rescheduling a planned meeting with Zorkot, Respondent met with him in May 2010. In that meeting, Zorkot asked Respondent to proceed with filing the civil action and Respondent declined to do so without payment of an advance fee.
- 5. Respondent did not file a civil action on Zorkot's behalf, nor did she file an adversary action in Naime's bankruptcy on Zorkot's behalf.
- 6. Respondent did not provide an accounting to Zorkot after he terminated her representation on or about September 23, 2010.
- 7. Respondent never responded to Zorkot's email terminating her services and demanding a refund of unearned attorney's fees, if any, and never provided a refund of unearned attorneys fees.

Attachment Page 1

7. Respondent never responded to Zorkot's email terminating her services and demanding a refund of unearned attorney's fees, if any, and never provided a refund of unearned attorneys fees.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 8. By not filing a civil action on Zorkot's behalf, nor an adversary action in Naime's bankruptcy on Zorkot's behalf, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).
- 9. By not providing an accounting to Zorkot after he terminated her representation on or about September 23, 2010, Respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into Respondent's possession in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).
- 10. By not providing Zorkot a refund, Respondent failed to refund promptly any part of those attorneys fees paid that had not been earned in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was November 15, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 2.2(b) provides for a three month actual suspension for a rule 4-100 violation that did not result in willful misappropriation irrespective of mitigating circumstances. Standard 2.4(b) provides for reproval or suspension where the member fails to perform in a matter not demonstrating a pattern of misconduct. Standard 2.10 provides for reproval or suspension likewise depending upon the gravity of the offense or harm to the victim for a member's violation of rules 3-700(D)(2).

The Standards should be followed whenever possible. *In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal. 4th 81, 92. In imposing discipline, the court should consider the appropriate discipline in light of the standards, but in so doing the court may consider any ground that may form a basis for an exception to application of the standards. *In the Matter of Van Sickle* (Review Dept. 2006) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 980. Inasmuch as the standards are not mandatory, they may be deviated from when there is a compelling, well-defined reason to do so. *Bates v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal. 3rd 1056, 1061.

The disposition herein allows for a deviation from the strict application of the standards since a suspension with actual time would constitute too harsh a result and would be punitive in nature. It would be manifestly unjust to strictly apply Standard 2.2(b) and good cause exists to deviate from its guidelines for Respondent's failure to provide an accounting. Respondent's client did not allege he was owed a fee refund but rather, he only inquired if a fee refund was appropriate. Nor did the client pursue fee

arbitration suggesting that the failure to provide the accounting did not harm the client. Additionally, Respondent has agreed within this stipulation to make restitution.

Standard 2.10 is the controlling standard in this matter due to Respondent's failure to meaningfully perform any facet of the retained objectives requested and paid for by Zorkot.

In consideration of the facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's misconduct, and the aggravating and mitigating circumstances present, the parties submit that the intent and goals of the Standards are met in this matter with the imposition of a one year stayed suspension and two year probation.

DISMISSALS.

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss the following alleged violations in the interest of justice:

Case No.	<u>Count</u>	Alleged Violation
11-O-11081	Two	Business and Professions Code section 6068(m)
11-O-11081	Three	Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1)

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of November 15, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$6,877.90. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

n the Matter of: DENISE I. DAMROW	Case number(s): 11-O-11081	
	11-0-11001	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

11/22/11	grant and a state and a state of the state o	Denise I. Damrow
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
Date //	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Hugh G. Radigan Print Name

	itter of:	Case Number(s):	- 12-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-1
DENISE	E I. DAMROW	11-O-11081	
		STAYED SUSPENSION ORDER	
Finding the equested	e stipulation to be fair to the dismissal of counts/charges	parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS C i, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:	RDERED that the
	The stipulated facts and Supreme Court	disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECO	OMMENDED to the
	The stipulated facts and DISCIPLINE IS RECOM	disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth MENDED to the Supreme Court.	below, and the
	All Hearing dates are va		
PA	6=2-A(8)-PO	ElETE 2012"	
	A	dd "2014"	
within 15 di stipulation. of the Sup	ays aπer service of this orde (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ru	n as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify er, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies les of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition formally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), Cal	the approved n is the effective dat
otinin 15 distipulation. of the Sup Court.)	ays aπer service of this order (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ru reme Court order herein, ι	er, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies les of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition or maily 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), Cal	the approved n is the effective dat
otipulation. of the Sup Court.)	ays aπer service of this orde (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ru	er, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies les of Procedure.) The effective date of this dispositi o	the approved n is the effective dat
otipulation. of the Sup	ays aπer service of this order (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ru reme Court order herein, ι	er, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies les of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition or maily 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), Cal	the approved n is the effective dat
otinin 15 di tipulation. of the Sup court.)	ays aπer service of this order (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ru reme Court order herein, ι	er, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies les of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), Cal	the approved n is the effective dat
within 15 distipulation. of the Sup Court.)	ays aπer service of this order (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ru reme Court order herein, ι	Judge of the State Bar Court	the approved n is the effective dat
stipulation. of the Sup	ays aπer service of this order (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ru reme Court order herein, ι	Judge of the State Bar Court	the approved n is the effective dat

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on December 12, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

DENISE ILEENE DAMROW ATTORNEY AT LAW PO BOX 92483 LONG BEACH, CA 90809

DENISE ILEENE DAMROW ATTORNEY AT LAW 2960 EAST 20TH STREET SIGNAL HILL, CA 90755-5633

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Hugh G. Radigan, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on December 12, 2011.

Julieta E. Gonzales

State Bar Court