Richard A. Lima JAN 12 2012 PO Box 28402 2 Fresno, California 93729-8402 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Telephone: (559) 970-1262 SAN FRANCISCO 3 In Pro Per 4 5 STATE BAR COURT 6 HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO 7 8 9 In the Matter of: Case No. 11-O-12911 10 RICHARD A. LIMA, RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF 11 No. 184783 DISCIPLINARY CHARGES 12 A Member of the State Bar 13 14 Respondent, RICHARD A. LIMA, answers the Notice of Disciplinary Charges as follows: 15 16 1. As to Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits the 17 allegations of said paragraph. 18 COUNT ONE Case No 09-O-12911 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(A)(2) 19 [Improper Withdrawal from Employment] 20 2. As to Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the 22 allegations of said paragraph. 3. As to Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits the 24 he was hired by Carolyn Harr but lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the remaining allegations and therefore on that basis denies said remaining allegations. As to Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits the 4.

21

23

25

26

27

28

there was a written fee agreement but lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the

remaining allegations and therefore on that basis denies said remaining allegations.

allegations of said paragraph.

16. As to Paragraph 16 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations of said paragraph.

COUNT THREE

Case No 09-O-12911
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A)
[Failure to Perform with Competence]

- 17. As to Paragraph 17 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 18. As to Paragraph 18 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent incorporates herein by references his responses to Count One as if fully stated.
- 19. As to Paragraph 19 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 20. As to Paragraph 20 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 21. As to Paragraph 21 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 22. As to Paragraph 22 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 23. As to Paragraph 23 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 24. As to Paragraph 24 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.

- 25. As to Paragraph 25 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 26. As to Paragraph 26 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 27. As to Paragraph 27 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 28. As to Paragraph 28 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits that trial resumed on or about March 19, 2008, but lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the remaining allegations and therefore on that basis denies said remaining allegations.
- 29. As to Paragraph 29 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 30. As to Paragraph 30 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 31. As to Paragraph 31 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 32. As to Paragraph 32 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 33. As to Paragraph 33 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits Harr paid money toward the cost of the transcript but lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the remaining allegations and therefore on that basis denies said remaining allegations.
- 34. As to Paragraph 34 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits Harr paid money toward the cost of the transcript but lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the remaining allegations and therefore on that basis denies said remaining

- 35. As to Paragraph 35 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 36. As to Paragraph 36 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 37. As to Paragraph 34 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits he received some of the trail transcripts but lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the remaining allegations and therefore on that basis denies said remaining allegations.
- 38. As to Paragraph 38 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 39. As to Paragraph 39 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 40. As to Paragraph 40 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 41. As to Paragraph 41 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 42. As to Paragraph 42 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
- 43. As to Paragraph 43 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies said allegations.
 - 44. As to Paragraph 44 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent lacks

1	information or belief sufficient to respond to the allegations and therefore on that basis denies
2	said allegations.
3	45. As to Paragraph 45 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the
4	allegations of said paragraph.
5	<u>COUNT FOUR</u>
6 7	Case No 09-O-12911 Business and Professions Code, section 6106 [Moral Turpitude-Misrepresentation to Client]
8	46. As to Paragraph 46 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the
9	allegations of said paragraph.
10	47. As to Paragraph 47 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent
11	incorporates herein by references his responses to Counts One and Three as if fully stated.
12	48. As to Paragraph 48 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the
13	allegations of said paragraph.
14	COUNT FIVE
15 16	Case No 09-O-12911 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m) [Failure to Respond to Client Inquires]
17	49. As to Paragraph 49 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the
18	allegations of said paragraph.
19	50. As to Paragraph 50 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent
20	incorporates herein by references his responses to Counts One and Three as if fully stated.
21	51. As to Paragraph 51 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the
22	allegations of said paragraph.
23	52. As to Paragraph 52 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the
24	allegations of said paragraph.
25	53. As to Paragraph 53 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the
26	allegations of said paragraph.
27	
28	

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES
2	First Affirmative Defense
3	1. Respondent alleges that the Notice of Disciplinary Charges and each allegation contained
4	therein fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim upon which relief can be granted
5	Second Affirmative Defense
6	2. Respondent allege that the Notice of Disciplinary Charges and each allegation is barred
7	by the Statue of Limitations
8	Third Affirmative Defense
9	3. Respondent alleges that the Notice of Disciplinary Charges and each allegation is barred
10	by mistake of fact.
1	Fourth Affirmative Defense
l2·	4. Respondent alleges that the Notice of Disciplinary Charges and each allegation is barred
3	by mistake of law.
4	Fifth Affirmative Defense
.5	5. Respondent alleges, that as to the Notice of Disciplinary Charges and each allegation
6	discovery has not yet commenced and, on the basis of said discovery, other affirmative
7	defenses may become known or substantiated. Respondent reserve the right to add said
8	affirmative defenses within a reasonable time after the facts underlying said affirmative
9	defenses may become known to Respondent.
20	
1	
22	Dated: //9/12 Richard A. Lima
23:	Richard At. Dinna
4	
5	
6	

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Fresno, I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2341 E. Ashlan Avenue, Fresno, CA 93726. On, January 2, 2012, I served the foregoing: RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES to the parties in this action by placing a true copy in an envelope and as follows:

X (By Mail) I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States postal Service. Correspondence so collected and processed is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

BRUCE H. ROBINSON DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA 180 HOWARD STREET SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105-1639

__(By Personal Service) Each envelope was addressed as noted below:

__(By Telefax) I caused each document to be sent by facsimile to the following number(s):

I declare under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January _9, 2012, at Fresno, California.