

Arthur L. Margolis, No. 057703 Susan L. Margolis, No. 104629 MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 Riverside Drive Los Angeles, CA 90039 Tel. (323) 953-8996

FILED

FEB 2 4 2012

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES

Counsel for Respondent

THE STATE BAR COURT

OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of) Case No. 11-0-13025-RAH
DODEDT C CHTOEMAN	RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF
ROBERT S. SHTOFMAN No. 135577) DISCIPLINARY CHARGES)
)
A Member of the State Bar	j

All further notices in relation to this proceeding are to be sent to:

Arthur L. Margolis, No. 057703 Susan L. Margolis, No. 104629 MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 Riverside Drive Los Angeles, CA 90039 Tel. (323) 953-8996

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

JURISDICTION

1. Responding to Paragraph 1 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph.

COUNT ONE

- 2. Responding to Paragraph 2 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
 - 3. Responding to Paragraph 3 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges,

1 2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

24

23

25

26

27

28

Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph.

- 4. Responding to Paragraph 4 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 5. Responding to Paragraph 5 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 6. Responding to Paragraph 6 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 7. Responding to Paragraph 7 of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 8. Responding to Paragraph 8 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 9. Responding to Paragraph 9 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 10. Responding to Paragraph10 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 11. Responding to Paragraph 11 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 12. Responding to Paragraph 12 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 13. Responding to Paragraph 13 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 14. Responding to Paragraph 14 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 15. Responding to Paragraph 15 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.

- 16. Responding to Paragraph 16 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 17. Responding to Paragraph 17 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 18. Responding to Paragraph 18 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 19. Responding to Paragraph 19 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 20. Responding to Paragraph 20 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph, except that he admits that the Court did not set an OSC re sanctions.
- 21. Responding to Paragraph 21 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 22. Responding to Paragraph 22 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph, except that he admits that the Court vacated the sanctions hearing.
- 23. Responding to Paragraph 23 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph, except that he admits that the Court did not set an OSC re Sanctions.
- 24. Responding to Paragraph 24 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 25. Responding to Paragraph 25 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 26. Responding to Paragraph 26 of Count One, Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph, except he denies that the Court

found that Respondent had done nothing to correct his conduct after being warned.

- 27. Responding to Paragraph 27 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 28. Responding to Paragraph 28 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 29. Responding to Paragraph 29 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 30. Responding to Paragraph 30 of Count One, Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 31. Responding the Paragraph 31 of Count One, Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 32. Responding to Paragraph 32 of Count One, Respondent admits the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 33. Responding to Paragraph 33 of Count One, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.

COUNT TWO

- 34. Responding to Paragraph 34 of Count Two, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 35. Responding to Paragraph 35 of Count Two, Respondent realleges and incorporates his responses to Count One.
- 36. Responding to Paragraph 36 of Count Two, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.

COUNT THREE

37. Responding to Paragraph 37 of Count Three, Respondent denies

the allegations stated in that paragraph.

- 38. Responding to Paragraph 38 of Count Three, Respondent realleges and incorporates his responses to Counts One and Two.
- 39. Responding to Paragraph 39 of Count Three, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.
- 40. Responding to Paragraph 40 of Count Three, Respondent denies the allegations stated in that paragraph.

MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP

February 20, 2012

By:

ARTHUR L. MARGOLIS
Counsel for Respondent

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

Case No. 11-0-13025-RAH

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years, and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 2000 Riverside Drive, Los Angeles, California 90039.

On February 21, 2012, I served the foregoing document described as: **RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES** on the State Bar of
California, by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with
postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States Mail located at Los
Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

William Todd Deputy Trial Counsel The State Bar of California 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 21st day of February, 2012.

Calher L. Margalio