

(Do not write above this line.)

| State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles REPROVAL | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Counsel For The State Bar | Case Number(s): | For Court use only |
| Kimberly G. Anderson | 11-0-13676 | |
| The Office of the Chief Trial Counsel | | ETT ET |
| 1149 S. Hill Street | | FILED |
| Los Angeles, CA 90015 | | In a com MA |
| (213) 765-1083 | | JAN 20 2012 |
| | | STATE BAR COURT |
| Bar # 150359 | | CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES |
| Counsel For Respondent | | |
| Arthur L. Margolis 2000 Riverside Dr. | PUBLI | CMATTER |
| Los Angeles, CA 90039 | | |
| (323) 953-8996 | | |
| | Submitted to: Settlement Ju | ıdge |
| Ba≉# 57703 | STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING | |
| In the Matter of: | | |
| Robert M. Hindin | PUBLIC REPROVAL | |
| Bar # 64793 | PREVIOUS STIPULATIC | ON REJECTED |
| A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent) | | |

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted July 14, 1975.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Effective January 1, 2011)



- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval).

] Case ineligible for costs (private reproval).

Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".

Costs are entirely waived.

- (9) The parties understand that:
 - (a) A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
 - (b) A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
 - (c) A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) 🔲 State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline

(Effective January 1, 2011)

| | (e) | If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline. |
|-----|-----|--|
| (2) | | Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct. |
| (3) | | Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property. |
| (4) | | Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed signation a client, the public or the administration of justice. See Stipulation Attachment at page 11 (Attachment page 2). |
| (5) | | Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct. |
| (6) | | Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings. |
| (7) | | Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. |
| (8) | | No aggravating circumstances are involved. |

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of

any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.

- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

See Stipulation Attachment at page 11 (Attachment page 2).

D. Discipline:

- (1) Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
 - (a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
 - (b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

<u>or</u>

(2) Z Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)

E. Conditions Attached to Reproval:

- (1) Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one (1) year.
- (2) During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent

⁽Effective January 1, 2011)

must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.



Π

No Ethics School recommended. Reason:

- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.

No MPRE recommended. Reason:

(11) I The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

Substance Abuse Conditions

Law Office Management Conditions

- Medical Conditions Sinancial Conditions
- F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

Attachment language (if any):

| In the Matter of: | Case Number(s): |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Robert M. Hindin | 11-O-13676 |
| | |

Nolo Contendere Plea Stipulations to Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition

The terms of pleading noto contendere are set forth in the Business and Professions Code and the Rules of Procedures of the State Bar. The applicable provisions are set forth below:

Business and Professions Code § 6085.5 Disciplinary Charges; Pleas to Allegations

There are three kinds of pleas to the allegations of a notice of disciplinary charges or other pleading which initiates a disciplinary proceeding against a member:

- (a) Admission of culpability.
- (b) Denial of culpability.
- (c) Nolo contendere, subject to the approval of the State Bar Court. The court shall ascertain whether the member completely understands that a plea of nolo contendere will be considered the same as an admission of culpability and that, upon a plea of nolo contendere, the court will find the member culpable. The legal effect of such a plea will be the same as that of an admission of culpability for all purposes, except that the plea and any admissions required by the court during any inquiry it makes as to the voluntariness of, or the factual basis for, the pleas, may not be used against the member as an admission in any civil suit based upon or growing out of
 - the act upon which the disciplinary proceeding is based.

Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, rule 5.56. Stipulations to Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition

"(A) Contents. A proposed stipulation to facts, conclusions of law, and disposition must comprise:

- (M) . . . (M)
- (5) a statement that the member either:
 - (a) admits the truth of the facts comprising the stipulation and admits culpability for misconduct; or
 - (b) pleads nolo contendere to those facts and misconduct;
- [¶] . . . [¶] -
- (B) Plea of NoIo Contendere. If the member pleads noio contendere, the stipulation must also show that the member understands that the plea is treated as an admission of the stipulated facts and an admission of culpability."

I, the Respondent in this matter, have read the applicable provisions of Business and Professions Code section 6085.5 and rule 5.56 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. I plead nois contendere to the charges set forth in this stipulation and I completely understand that my plea will be considered the same as an admission of culpability except as stated in Business and Professions Code section 6085.5(c).

under

(Effective January 1, 2011)

Page 7

Nolo Contendere Plea

bert HINDIN

| In the Matter of: Robert M. Hindin | Case Number(s): 11-O-13676 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | |

Financial Conditions

a. Restitution

Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

| Payee | Principal Amount | Interest Accrues From |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Asher Peretz | \$5,605.00 | September 30, 2010 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than sixty (60) days after the effective date of discipline.

b. Installment Restitution Payments

Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reproval), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

| Payee/CSF (as applicable) | Minimum Payment Amount | Payment Frequency |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

c. Client Funds Certificate

- 1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
 - a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: R

Robert Marc Hindin

CASE NUMBER(S): 11-O-13676

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent pleads nolo contendere to the following facts and violations. Respondent completely understands that the plea for nolo contendere shall be considered the same as an admission of the stipulated facts and of his culpability of the statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct specified herein.

Case No. 11-O-13676 (Complainant: David P. Beitchman on behalf of Asher Peretz)

FACTS:

1. On September 1, 2010, Asher Peretz ("Peretz") hired Respondent and paid him a flat fee of \$4,000 to represent him with respect to the sale of his business, A & A Apparel.

2. On September 1, 2010, A & A Apparel sold and Respondent received \$101,000 on behalf of Peretz, which he was to disburse to Peretz.

3. At all relevant times herein, Peretz was Respondent's client and had an ongoing attorneyclient relationship with Respondent involving a variety of different matters. Respondent has known Mr. Peretz for approximately fifteen years, and he has represented Peretz in numerous legal proceedings, including litigation and corporate matters.

4. Between September 7, 2010 and September 21, 2010, Respondent disbursed \$91,000 of the \$101,000 in proceeds from the sale of the business as directed by Peretz, and Respondent disbursed \$10,000 to himself, which was for a short term loan. Respondent requested to borrow the money for a few days until another client paid him for legal services. Respondent did not advise Peretz in writing that he could seek the advice of an independent lawyer prior to borrowing the money from Peretz. Respondent did not sign any promissory note in favor of Peretz.

5. When Respondent's other client failed to pay Respondent's legal fees, Respondent was unable to pay Peretz back for the short-term loan and Peretz was deprived of his funds for a substantial period of time.

| CHECK NO. | DATE | AMOUNT |
|-----------|----------|------------|
| 3367 | 12/13/10 | \$500.00 |
| 3358 | 12/17/10 | \$1,000.00 |
| 3422 | 2/1/11 | \$1,500.00 |
| 3509 | 3/11/11 | \$395.00 |
| 3552 | 4/1/11 | \$1,000.00 |

6. Respondent repaid \$4,395 to Peretz by paying him checks on the following dates:

7. Respondent still owes Peretz \$5,605.00 and he is agreeing as a condition of his reproval to repay the \$5,605.00 as a restitution condition of his reproval in this matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

8. By entering into a loan to borrow \$10,000 from his client Peretz while he was representing Peretz with respect to the sale of his business, and by failing to advise Peretz in writing that he could seek the advice of an independent lawyer prior to borrowing the money from Peretz, Respondent willfully violated Rule 3-300 of Rules of Professional Conduct.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was January 10, 2012.

MITIGATION.

Additional Mitigation: Respondent has had no prior discipline in since being admitted to practice law in 1974.

AGGRAVATION.

Harm: Peretz was harmed by the transaction because he was deprived of his \$10,000 when Respondent did not return the \$10,000 to him in a timely manner as he had orally promised.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 2.8 of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct states, "Culpability of a member of a wilful violation of rule 3-300, Rules of Professional Conduct, shall result in suspension unless the extent of the member's misconduct and the harm to the client are minimal, in which case the degree of the discipline shall be reproval."

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of January 10, 2012, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$2,906.70. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

MARGULIS

| (Do not write above this line.) | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| In the Matter of: Robert M. Hindin | Case number(s): 11-O-13676 | |
| | | |

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and reach of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

(NDM KMA Date Print Name ar H RIHUR Date Respondent's Print Name Counsel Signature (MDate Deputy Trial ounsel Signature Print Name

(Effective January 1, 2011)

Page 12

Signature Page

| In the Matter of: | Case Number(s): |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Robert M. Hindin | 11-O-13676 |
| | |

REPROVAL ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.**

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

01-20-12

Date

Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARDA. PLATEL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on January 20, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ARTHUR MARGOLIS MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 RIVERSIDE DRIVE LOS ANGELES CA 90039

 \bowtie

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

KIM ANDERSON, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on January 20, 2012.

erpenty

Angela Carpenter Case Administrator State Bar Court