

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles ACTUAL SUSPENSION		
<p>Counsel For The State Bar</p> <p>Jessica A. Lienau 1149 S. Hill St. Los Angeles, CA 90015 (213) 765-1165</p> <p>Bar # 269753</p>	<p>Case Number(s): Investigation No. 11-O-15383</p>	<p>For Court use only</p> <p style="text-align: center;">FILED</p> <p style="text-align: center;">MAR 22 2012 <i>Ygc</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; opacity: 0.5;">PUBLIC MATTER</p>
<p>Counsel For Respondent</p> <p>David M. Philips 3853 Brockton Ave. Riverside, CA 92501 (951) 686-3220</p> <p>Bar # 45761</p>	<p>Submitted to: Assigned Judge</p> <p>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING</p>	
<p>In the Matter of: JACK I. ADLER</p> <p>Bar # 97380</p> <p>A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)</p>	<p>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED</p>	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted May 29, 1981.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."



(Do not write above this line.)

- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
 - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
 - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 - Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Respondent has no prior record of discipline in over twenty years of practice.

D. Discipline:

- (1) **Stayed Suspension:**

- (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year.
- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. and until Respondent does the following:
- (b) The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

- (2) **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

- (3) **Actual Suspension:**

- (a) Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of 90 days.
- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of

(Do not write above this line.)

information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

- Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions
- Medical Conditions Financial Conditions

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**

(Do not write above this line.)

- No MPRE recommended. Reason:
- (2) **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule **9.20**, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3) **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule **9.20**, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) **Other Conditions:**

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: JACK I. ADLER

INVESTIGATION NUMBER(S): 11-O-15383

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Investigation No. 11-O-15383 (Complainant: Judge Juan C. Dominguez)

FACTS:

1. On January 7, 2010, the State Bar sent Respondent a fees statement for 2010 with an enclosed notice that Respondent needed to report his compliance with the Minimum Continuing Legal Education ("MCLE") requirements. Respondent received the fees statement and notice.

2. On May 3, 2010, the State Bar sent Respondent a letter, reminding him that he had failed to pay his 2010 dues and that he had failed to submit documentation of his MCLE compliance. In the letter, the State Bar warned Respondent that if he failed to timely pay his dues, he would be suspended, and/or that if he failed to provide proof of MCLE compliance, he would be enrolled as inactive. Respondent received this letter.

3. On June 25, 2010, Respondent was sent a letter informing him that he was not in compliance with the MCLE requirements and that he must take action to correct this on or before August 31, 2010 or else he would be enrolled as inactive. Respondent received this letter.

4. On July 29, 2010, the Supreme Court of California in Case No. S184781 ordered that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law, effective September 1, 2010, for nonpayment of fees. The July 29, 2010 Order was served on Respondent and Respondent received the July 29, 2010 Order.

5. On August 13, 2010, the State Bar sent Respondent a letter informing him that he was not in compliance with the MCLE requirements and that he must take action to correct this on or before August 31, 2010 or else he would be enrolled as inactive. Respondent received this letter.

6. On September 1, 2010, the July 29, 2010 Order became effective and Respondent was suspended from the practice of law for nonpayment of fees.

7. On September 1, 2010, the State Bar enrolled Respondent as not eligible for failure to comply with the MCLE requirements.

8. On September 15, 2010, the State Bar sent Respondent a letter notifying him that on September 1, 2010, he was enrolled as not eligible for failure to comply with the MCLE requirements.

9. On October 6, 2010, Respondent paid to the State Bar his delinquent fees and assessments. On October 7, 2010, Respondent's suspension pursuant to the July 29, 2010 Order was terminated. On October 7, 2010, Respondent was notified, via written correspondence, that his suspension pursuant to the July 29, 2010 Order was terminated, but that Respondent remained on not-eligible status due to non-compliance with the MCLE requirements.

10. On August 29, 2011, Respondent submitted to the State Bar of California, MCLE Compliance Group, proof of his compliance with the MCLE requirements and payment of MCLE related fees. On August 29, 2011, Respondent's MCLE-related administrative inactive status ended and he was returned to active status. On August 29, 2011, Respondent was notified that his MCLE-related administrative inactive status ended and that he had been returned to active status.

11. Between on September 1, 2010 and on August 29, 2011, Respondent was not entitled to practice law. Between on September 1, 2010 and on August 29, 2011, Respondent knew that he was not entitled to practice law in California.

12. Between March 2010 until July 2011, Respondent was employed as a special appearance attorney for attorney Sherman Ellison in traffic violation cases.

13. Between September 1, 2010 until July 4, 2011, while Respondent knowingly was not entitled to practice law, Respondent knowingly made approximately 77 special appearances on traffic cases in Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County Superior Courts, and thereby engaged in the authorized practice of law.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

14. By appearing before the courts when Respondent knew he was not entitled to practice law, Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6106, by committing an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was March 12, 2012.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standards:

Standard 1.3, Title IV, Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, provides that the primary purposes of the disciplinary system are: "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession."

Standard 2.3 holds that an act of moral turpitude warrants discipline ranging from actual suspension to disbarment, depending on the extent to which the victim of the misconduct is harmed or misled and depending on the magnitude of the misconduct and the degree to which it relates to the attorney's acts within the practice of law. Respondent's misrepresentations were to both the clients he

was representing as well as to the courts he appeared before, both of which are related to the practice of law.

Caselaw:

As an initial matter, the practice of law includes representation of clients at administrative hearings, including in traffic court. (*Benninghoff v. Superior Court* (2006) 136 Cal. App. 4th 61, 69-73.)

In *Bluestein v. State Bar* (1974) 13 Cal. 3d 162, the respondent was suspended for six months for engaging in misconduct including aiding and abetting the unauthorized practice of law. In aggravation, the Court considered the respondent's prior imposition of discipline, a public reproof. (*Id.* at 175-76.) Similarly, in *Farnham v. State Bar* (1976) 17 Cal. 3d 605, the respondent was suspended for two years, stayed, on conditions of probation and six months actual suspension for holding himself as entitled to practice while he was suspended and for abandoning the interests of his clients. The respondent had a prior record of discipline, consisting of a 90 day actual suspension. (*Id.* at 612.)

Unlike the respondents in *Bluestein* and *Farnham*, *supra.*, Respondent has no prior record of discipline in more than 20 years of practice. Although Respondent's misconduct is serious, Respondent's misconduct did not harm the client's interests. A one year suspension, stayed, on the condition of 90 days actual suspension and probation conditions is consistent with Standard 2.3 and is an appropriate level of discipline to protect the public, the courts, and the profession.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of March 12, 2012, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,797.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: JACK I. ADLER	<i>Investigation</i> Case number(s): 11-O-15383
------------------------------------	---

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

<u>15 May 2012</u> Date	<u><i>Jack I. Adler</i></u> Respondent's Signature	<u>Jack I. Adler</u> Print Name
<u>5-15-12</u> Date	<u><i>David M. Philips</i></u> Respondent's Counsel Signature	<u>David M. Philips</u> Print Name
<u>19 March 2012</u> Date	<u><i>Jessica A. Lienau</i></u> Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	<u>Jessica A. Lienau</u> Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of:
JACK I. ADLER

Case Number(s):
Investigation No. 11-O-15383

ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

Date

3/22/12


Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 22, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**DAVID MATTHEW PHILIPS
3853 BROCKTON AVE
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

JESSICA LIENAU, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on March 22, 2012.



Tammy Cleaver
Case Administrator
State Bar Court