NOV 0 7 2011

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO

In the Matter of DANIEL E. KRITZ Member No. 148714

A Member of the State Bar.

Case No.: 11-O-15715 ORDER

By order filed October 20, 2011, respondent Daniel E. Kritz's default was entered for failing to file a response to the charges in this case. On October 27, 2011, respondent filed a motion to vacate his default.

Good cause having been shown, the motion is granted. However, respondent did not include with his motion a copy of his response to charges. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.83(B).) Therefore, the court will grant this motion conditioned on respondent filing the response within 10 days of service of this order. Upon the filing of the response, respondent's inactive enrollment under Business and Professions Code section 6007, subdivision (e), will be terminated. If the response is not filed timely, the default will not be set aside and the case will proceed as a default matter. Under rules 5.80-5.86 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, if respondent fails to have his default set aside, this court will recommend his disbarment from the practice of law.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November _____, 2011

LUCY M/ARMENDARIZ Judge of the State Bar Court



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on November 7, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

DANIEL E. KRITZ LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL KRITZ 2600 BEACH HEAD CT RICHMOND, CA 94804

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ROBIN B. BRUNE, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on November 7, 2011.

Laine Silber Case Administrator State Bar Court