

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles STAYED SUSPENSION		
<p>Counsel For The State Bar</p> <p>Erin McKeown Joyce Deputy Trial Counsel State Bar of California 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1356</p> <p>Bar # 149946</p>	<p>Case Number(s):</p> <p>11-O-15956 11-O-15957 11-O-18375 11-O-19648 12-O-10620</p>	<p>For Court use only</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">FILED</p> <p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">APR 10 2012</p> <p style="text-align: center;">STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES</p>
<p>In Pro Per Respondent</p> <p>Mark D. Walsh 5030 Camino De La Siesta, Ste 340 San Diego, CA 92108 Telephone: (619) 275-4001</p> <p>Bar # 206059</p>	<p>Submitted to: Settlement Judge</p> <p>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING</p> <p>STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED</p>	
<p>In the Matter of:</p> <p>MARK D. WALSH</p> <p>Bar # 206059</p> <p>A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)</p>		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted January 7, 2000.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 14 pages, not including the order.



(Do not write above this line.)

- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline.
 - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: 2013 and 2014. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
 - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 - Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case 08-O-10670
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective August 25, 2008
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Business and Professions Code section 6103
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline private reproof
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent has met with the State Bar and resolved these matters through this comprehensive stipulation.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances

Respondent has taken steps to change his office practices to ensure that future appearances are not missed.

D. Discipline:

(1) **Stayed Suspension:**

(a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year.

- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. and until Respondent does the following:

The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2) **Probation:**

Respondent is placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (4) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (5) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (6) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (7) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (8) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (9) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**
- No MPRE recommended. Reason:
- (2) **Other Conditions:**

The attachment to the stipulation re facts, conclusions of law and disposition comprises pages 7 through 13.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

In the Matter of Mark D. Walsh

Case Nos. 11-O-15956, 11-O-15957, 11-O-18375, 11-O-19648 and 12-O-10620

PENDING PROCEEDINGS:

The disclosure date referred to on page two, paragraph A.(7), was February 14, 2012.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified Business and Professions Code sections.

Case No. 11-O-15956

FACTS

1. In 2011, Respondent filed an action in Solano County Superior Court, case no. FCM113478, entitled *Capital One Bank v. Carrazco* (the "Carrazco matter").
2. The court in the *Carrazco* matter issued an order to show cause set for hearing May 23, 2011, ordering Respondent to appear. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the May 23, 2011 order to show cause hearing.
3. Respondent failed to maintain procedures in his office to properly calendar appearances and process incoming mail. As a result, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing in the *Carrazco* matter.
4. Respondent failed to appear at the May 23, 2011 order to show cause hearing. Respondent also failed to send another attorney to appear on behalf of his client at the order to show cause hearing on May 23, 2011. At the May 23, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Carrazco* matter, the court imposed sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$350 to be paid within 15 days of the service of the order and ordered Respondent to personally appear at a second order to show cause hearing on July 18, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the May 23, 2011 sanctions order.
5. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the July 18, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the July 18, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Carrazco* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$350, bringing the total sanctions to \$700, to be paid within 15 days from the service of the order and ordered Respondent to personally appear at a third order to show cause hearing on August 15, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the July 18, 2011 sanctions order.
6. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the August 15, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the August 15, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Carrazco* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$350, bringing the total

sanctions to \$1,050, to be paid within 15 days from the service of the order and ordered Respondent to personally appear at a fourth order to show cause hearing on October 24, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the August 15, 2011 sanctions order.

7. Only after Respondent was contacted by the State Bar did Respondent satisfy the sanctions orders in the *Carrasco* matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to appear at the three order to show cause hearings in the *Carrasco* matter and failing to timely satisfy the sanctions orders, Respondent wilfully disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do or forbear in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103.

Case No. 11-O-15956

FACTS

1. On December 20, 2010, Respondent filed an action in Tulare County Superior Court, case no. VCL144689, entitled *Capital One Bank v. Boss* (the "Boss matter").

2. On April 14, 2011, the court in the *Boss* matter issued an order to cause for failing to appear at the case management conference on April 13, 2011, set for hearing May 17, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the May 17, 2011 order to show cause hearing.

3. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing, and Respondent failed to appear at the May 17, 2011 order to show cause hearing. Respondent also failed to send another attorney to appear on behalf of his client at the order to show cause hearing on May 17, 2011.

4. At the May 17, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Boss* matter, the court imposed sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$250 to be paid within 15 days of the service of the order and ordered Respondent to appear at a second order to show cause hearing on June 8, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the May 17, 2011 sanctions order.

5. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the June 8, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the June 8, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Boss* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$500, to be paid forthwith, and ordered Respondent to appear at a third order to show cause hearing on July 22, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the June 8, 2011 sanctions order.

6. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the July 22, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the July 22, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Boss* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$1,100, to be paid forthwith, and

ordered Respondent to appear at a fourth order to show cause hearing on August 15, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the July 22, 2011 sanctions order.

7. Only after Respondent was contacted by the State Bar did Respondent satisfy the sanctions orders in the *Boss* matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to appear at the three order to show cause hearings in the *Boss* matter and failing to timely satisfy the sanctions orders, Respondent wilfully disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do or forbear in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103.

Case No. 11-O-18375

FACTS

1. In 2011, Respondent filed an action in Plumas County Superior Court, case no. GN LC10-00336, entitled *Equable Ascent Financial v. Dill* (the "*Dill* matter").

2. On August 8, 2011, the court in the *Dill* matter issued an order to cause for failing to appear at the case management conference on August 8, 2011, set for hearing October 12, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the October 12, 2011 order to show cause hearing.

3. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the October 12, 2011 order to show cause hearing. Respondent also failed to send another attorney to appear on behalf of his client at the order to show cause hearing on October 12, 2011.

4. At the October 12, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Dill* matter, the court imposed sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$1,000 to be paid forthwith. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the October 12, 2011 sanctions order.

5. Only after Respondent was contacted by the State Bar did Respondent satisfy the sanctions orders in the *Dill* matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to appear at the order to show cause hearing in the *Dill* matter and failing to timely satisfy the sanctions order, Respondent wilfully disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do or forbear in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103.

Case No. 11-O-19648

FACTS

1. In 2011, Respondent filed an action in Monterey County Superior Court, case no. M103331, entitled *Capital One Bank v. Magnusson* (the "Magnusson matter").

2. On February 9, 2011, the court in the *Magnusson* matter issued an order to cause for failing to appear at the case management conference on February 9, 2011, set for hearing April 19, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the April 19, 2011 order to show cause hearing.

3. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the April 19, 2011 order to show cause hearing. Respondent also failed to send another attorney to appear on behalf of his client at the order to show cause hearing on April 19, 2011.

4. At the April 19, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Magnusson* matter, the court imposed sanctions in the amount of \$75 to be paid before May 19, 2011, and further ordered Respondent to appear at a second order to show cause hearing on August 17, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the April 19, 2011 sanctions order.

5. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the August 17, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the August 17, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Magnusson* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$250, to be paid within fifteen days from the date of the order, and ordered Respondent to appear at a third order to show cause hearing on November 15, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the August 17, 2011 sanctions order.

6. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the November 15, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the November 15, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Magnusson* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$300, to be paid within fifteen days of the order, and ordered Respondent to appear at a fourth order to show cause hearing on December 13, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the November 15, 2011 sanctions order.

7. Only after Respondent was contacted by the State Bar did Respondent satisfy the sanctions orders in the *Magnusson* matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to appear at the four order to show cause hearings in the *Magnusson* matter and failing to timely satisfy the sanctions orders, Respondent wilfully disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do or forbear in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103.

FACTS

1. On December 7, 2009, Respondent filed an action in Solano County Superior Court, case no. VCM107943, entitled *Capital One Bank v. Jacobson* (the "Jacobsen matter").
2. On December 16, 2010, the court in the *Jacobson* matter issued an order to cause for failing to diligently prosecute the matter, set for hearing February 9, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the February 9, 2011 order to show cause hearing.
3. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the February 9, 2011 order to show cause hearing. Respondent also failed to send another attorney to appear on behalf of his client at the order to show cause hearing on February 9, 2011. At the February 9, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Jacobson* matter, the court imposed sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$150 to be paid before March 11, 2011, and ordered Respondent to appear at a second order to show cause hearing on March 24, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the February 9, 2011 sanctions order.
4. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the March 24, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the March 24, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Jacobson* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$300, to be paid before April 25, 2011, and ordered Respondent to appear at a third order to show cause hearing on December 13, 2011. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the March 24, 2011 sanctions order.
5. Due to the problems with his office procedures, Respondent failed to calendar the hearing and Respondent failed to appear at the December 13, 2011 order to show cause hearing. At the December 13, 2011 order to show cause hearing in the *Jacobson* matter, the court imposed additional sanctions against Respondent in the amount of \$1,001, to be paid within thirty days of service of the order. The court clerk served Respondent with notice of the December 13, 2011 sanctions order.
6. Only after Respondent was contacted by the State Bar did Respondent satisfy the sanctions orders in the *Jacobson* matter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to appear at the three order to show cause hearings in the *Jacobson* matter and failing to timely satisfy the sanctions orders, Respondent wilfully disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do or forbear in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE

STANDARDS FOR ATTORNEY SANCTIONS

To determine the appropriate level of discipline, the standards provide guidance. *Drociak v. State Bar* (1991) 52 Cal.3d 1085; *In the Matter of Sampson*, 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 119. A disciplinary recommendation must be consistent with the discipline in similar proceedings. See *Snyder v. State Bar* (1990) 49 Cal.3d 1302. Also, the recommended discipline must rest upon a balanced consideration of relevant factors. *In the Matter of Sampson*, 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 119.

Pursuant to Standard 1.3 of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct:

The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings conducted by the State Bar of California and of sanctions imposed upon a finding or acknowledgment of a member's professional misconduct are the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession.

Pursuant to Standard 2.6 of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct:

Culpability of a member of a violation of any of the following provisions of the Business and Professions code shall result in disbarment or suspension depending on the gravity of the offense or harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

- (a) Sections 6067 and 6068;
- (b) Sections 6103 through 6105; ...

Respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 6103 on multiple occasions. However, Respondent has taken steps to prevent new problems by adopting a new calendaring system and employing additional staff to handle the volume of his practice. The stipulated discipline of a one year stayed suspension is sufficient to advance the purposes of the imposition of attorney discipline in these matters, and falls within the applicable standards.

FURTHER AGREEMENTS OF THE PARTIES

The factual statements contained in this Stipulation constitute admissions of fact and may not be withdrawn by either party, except with court approval.

COSTS



Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed him that as of March 20, 2012, the estimated costs in this matter are \$6,400. Respondent further acknowledges that, should this Stipulation be rejected or should relief from the Stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Mark D. Walsh	Case number(s): 11-O-15956 11-O-15957 11-O-18375 11-O-19648 12-O-10620
------------------------------------	---

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

<u>3/21/12</u> Date	 Respondent's Signature	<u>Mark D. Walsh</u> Print Name
<u>3-23-12</u> Date	 Respondent's Counsel Signature	<u>Erin McKeown Joyce</u> Print Name
<u>3-23-12</u> Date	<u>Erin McKeown Joyce</u> Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	<u>Erin McKeown Joyce</u> Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Mark D. Walsh	Case Number(s): 11-O-15956 11-O-15957 11-O-18375 11-O-19648 12-O-10620
------------------------------------	---


STAYED SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

04-09-12
Date


Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARD A. PLATERO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 10, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

MARK D. WALSH
5030 CAMINO DE LA SIESTA
STE 340
SAN DIEGO, CA 92108

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ERIN JOYCE, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 10, 2012.



Johnnie Lee Smith
Case Administrator
State Bar Court