PUBLIC MATTER

FILED

JAN 1 9 2012 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA 1 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 SAN FRANCISCO ACTING CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 3 PATSY J. COBB, No. 107793 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL MANUEL JIMENEZ, No. 218234 SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL ESTHER ROGERS, No. 148246 5 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL BRUCE ROBINSON, No. 69325 6 ASSIGNED DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 7 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105-1639 8 Telephone: (415) 538-2445 9 STATE BAR COURT 10 **HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO** 11 In the Matter of: 12 Case No. 11-O-16347 AFTON L. HARRINGTON, 13 No. 155095. NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES 14 15 A Member of the State Bar. **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!** 16 IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 17 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE **BAR COURT TRIAL:** 18 (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; 19 (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; 20 (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND 21 THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND; TO (4) **YOU** SHALL \mathbf{BE} **SUBJECT** ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. 22 SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER 23 RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT **FURTHER** HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF 24 PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA. 25



26

2.7

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. AFTON L. HARRINGTON ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 17, 1991, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 11-O-16347
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3)
[Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]

- 2. Respondent willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into respondent's possession, as follows:
- 3. On or about May 2006, Susan Phelps employed respondent to represent her in the marital dissolution matter *In the Marriage of Phelps*, Placer County Superior Court, case number SDR-27240. Ms. Phelps and respondent entered into an hourly rate fee agreement.
- 4. Between in or about May 2006 and in or about May 2010, Ms. Phelps paid respondent approximately \$100,000 for legal services.
- 5. On or about May 24, 2010, Ms. Phelps left respondent a telephone message requesting that respondent provide her with a complete accounting, including an itemization of all services provided and the amount charged for each service. Although respondent received the request, respondent failed to provide Ms. Phelps with an accounting.
- 6. On or about May 25, 2010, Ms. Phelps provided respondent with a substitution of attorney and some invoices. However, the invoices only covered a limited time period and failed to include time entries for each task. Instead, it only included the total time charged for the invoice period, without identifying who performed each task, the billing rate for the person performing the task and the total charged per task. Respondent failed to provide Ms Phelps with a complete accounting.

7. By failing to provide a complete accounting to Ms. Phelps, respondent failed to 1 2 render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into respondent's 3 possession. 4 **COUNT TWO** Case No. 11-O-16347 5 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m) [Failure to Respond to Client Inquiries] 6 Respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m), 7 8. 8 by failing to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in 9 which respondent had agreed to provide legal services, as follows: 10 9. Count One is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. 11 10. Prior to on or about June 11, 2008, Mr. Phelps's counsel sent respondent a draft marital settlement agreement ("MSA".) Although respondent received the MSA, she failed to 12 13 inform Ms. Phelps that she had received it, failed to inform her of its terms and failed to 14 provide her with a copy of it. 15 11. In or about June 2010, Ms. Phelps discovered the MSA after she retrieved her 16 files from respondent. 17 The draft MSA was a significant development in the matter. If Ms. Phelps had 18 known of the MSA, it would have impacted the resolution of the matter. 19 By failing to inform Ms. Phelps of the MSA, respondent failed to keep a client 20 reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which respondent had agreed to 21 provide legal services. 22 COUNT THREE Case No. 11-O-16347 23 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i) [Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation] 24 14. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i), by 25 failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent, 26 as follows: 27 28

- 15. The allegations of Counts One and Count Two are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. On or about June 1, 2011, the State Bar opened an investigation in the Phelps matter.
- 17. On or about November 4, 2011, a State Bar Investigator sent to respondent's counsel, Bruce Ebert, a letter regarding respondent's conduct in this matter by placing the letter in a sealed envelope correctly addressed to respondent's counsel at his address as maintained by the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6002.1. The letter requested that respondent respond in writing to specified allegations of misconduct being investigated by the State Bar in this matter. Respondent's counsel received the letter.
- 18. At no time did respondent provide a written response to the allegations of misconduct in this matter.
- 19. By failing to provide a written response to the allegations regarding respondent's conduct in this matter or otherwise failing to cooperate in the investigation of this matter, respondent failed to cooperate in a disciplinary investigation pending against her.

NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED:

January 18, 2012

By:

ESTHER ROGERS

Deputy Trial Counsel

BRUCE ROBINSON Assigned Deputy Trial Counsel

1 DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED AND REGULAR MAIL 2 **CASE NUMBER: 11-0-16347** 3 I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of 4 California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United 5 States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, 6 service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that 7 in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco, 8 on the date shown below, a true copy of the within 9 NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES 10 in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, and in an additional sealed envelope as regular mail, at San Francisco, on the date 11 shown below, addressed to: 12 Article No.: 7160 3901 9849 1845 9468 Bruce W. Ebert 13 300 Harding Blvd., Ste. 116 Roseville, CA 95678 14 in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to: 15 N/A 16 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 17

foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: January 19, 2012

Signed:

Declarant

22

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27