

FILED
JUL 10 2015

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

**STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO**

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| In the Matter of |) | Case No.: 11-O-17582-LMA |
| |) | (S223252) |
| TERENCE WYNN ISOBE, |) | |
| |) | ORDER: DENYING MOTION FOR |
| Member No. 154933, |) | COST RELIEF AND GRANTING |
| |) | EXTENSION OF TIME TO PAY COSTS |
| A Member of the State Bar. |) | IN INSTALLMENTS |
| |) | |

On May 26, 2015, respondent Terence Wynn Isobe, by and through his attorney, Steven H. Berniker, filed a motion for relief from payment of costs awarded in the above-captioned disciplinary matter or, in the alternative, an extension of time in which to pay disciplinary costs (motion) on the grounds of financial hardship.

On June 2, 2015, the State Bar of California, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel (State Bar), by and through Supervising Senior Trial Counsel Susan Chan, filed a response to respondent's motion, opposing his request for relief from payment of the assessed disciplinary costs, but stating its non-opposition to the granting of an extension of time to pay the disciplinary costs in installments in the years 2015 - 2018.

Having considered the parties' contentions, the court DENIES the motion for relief from costs; but, alternatively, on the grounds of financial hardship, GRANTS an extension of time to pay the costs awarded in the above-captioned matter in three annual installments, commencing



with respondent's membership fees in 2016. Therefore, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, one-third of the costs must be paid with respondent's membership fees for the years 2016, 2017, and 2018.

It is further ordered that if respondent does not pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.134.) The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 10, 2015

LUCY ARMENDARIZ

LUCY ARMENDARIZ
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on July 10, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER: DENYING MOTION FOR COST RELIEF AND GRANTING EXTENSION
OF TIME TO PAY COSTS IN INSTALLMENTS

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

STEVEN H. BERNIKER
LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN H. BERNIKER APC
2424 ARDEN WAY STE 360
SACRAMENTO, CA 95825

- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

SUSAN CHAN, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on July 10, 2015.



Mazie Yip
Case Administrator
State Bar Court