8

3

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles PROBATION VIOLATION				
Counsel For The State Bar Terrie Goldade 1149 S. Hill St. Los Angeles, CA 90015	Case Number(s): 11-PM-15234	For Court use only FILED		
Bar # 155348	-	SEP 2.7 2011 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES		
Charles Colin Cossio 2424 Shooting Star Place Alpine, CA 91901				
Bar # 167901 In the Matter of: Charles Colin Cossio	Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING			
Bar # 167901 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	PROBATION VIOLATION—"PM" PROCEEDING			

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 10, 1993.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Effective January 1, 2011)



Probation Violation

ORIGINAL

1

- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (no actual suspension).
 - Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure (actual suspension).
 - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: 2013, 2014, and 2015. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
 - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 Costs are entirely waived.
- B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.
- (1) Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case 07-O-10996
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective 3/20/10
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Rules of Professional Conduct, rules 3-110(A), 4-100(A); Business and Professions Code section 6106
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline 3 years, stayed, 3 years probation, 2 year actual suspension and until restitution and Standard 1.4(c)(ii)
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.
- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent cooperated in the resolution of this matter by way of stipulation.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

(Effective January 1, 2011)

Respondent contends that a poor financial situation, and the accompanying stress, were at the root of his failure to timely comply with his probation conditions. Respondent's financial situation caused him to get behind on his mortgage payments, which caused foreclosure proceedings to be commenced. Respondent asserts that he has gone through his life's savings, but is growing more successful in a new job as a loan officer such that he is using the income to keep current on specified bills and to attempt to catch up on other past-due bills.

D. Discipline (choose only one):

- (1) **Probation extended:** Respondent's probation in is extended for
- (2) Probation revoked; Probation Reinstated; Actual Suspension: Respondent's probation is revoked and reinstated for three years on the same terms and conditions as previously imposed in 07-O-10996/S178572. The terms of probation remain the same as in the prior order except as indicated below. In addition, Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law for 60 days and until he makes restitution to Robert Russell and until he provides proof in conjunction with Rules Proc. of State Bar., tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii), as set forth in S178572 filed February 18, 2010.
- (3) Probation revoked; Probation Reinstated; No Actual Suspension: Respondent's probation is revoked and reinstated for on the same terms and conditions as previously imposed in . The terms of probation remain the same as in the prior order except as indicated below.
- (4) Probation revoked; Probation not Reinstated; Actual Suspension: Respondent's probation is revoked. Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for

E. In addition to conditions previously imposed by the Supreme Court in its prior order, the following new conditions are recommended by this stipulation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case



number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School recommended. Reason:
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) X The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
 - Substance Abuse Conditions X Law Office Management Conditions
 - Medical Conditions
 Financial Conditions

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) Probation Conditions Deleted or Modified:
- Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, within 30 and 40 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein.
- (3) Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, within 120 and 130 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein.
- (4) **Other:**

Attachment language (if any):

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of the specified violations.

1. On October 23, 2009, the State Bar Court filed and served upon Respondent's counsel a Stipulation re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition and Order Approving in State Bar Court Case No. 07-O-10996 ("Stipulation").

2. On February 18, 2010, the California Supreme Court filed an Order in Case No. S178572 (State Bar Court Case No. 07-O-10996) that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three years, that execution of suspension be stayed and that Respondent be placed on probation for a period of three years, including that he be suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years and until (i) he makes restitution to Robert Russell in the amount of \$4,924 plus 10 percent interest per year from October 7, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Robert Russell, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and (ii) he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. For Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).) Respondent was also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order approving Stipulation filed on October 23, 2009.

a. As a condition of probation, Respondent was ordered to, within one year of the effective date of discipline—by March 20, 2011—provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. Respondent did not comply in that Respondent has not yet taken Ethics School. Respondent has signed up for the Ethics School to be offered in Los Angeles on October 20, 2011.

b. As a condition of probation, Respondent was ordered to, within one year of the effective date of discipline—by March 20, 2011—provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of no less than 4 hours of Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) approved courses in law office management, attorney client relations and/or general legal ethics. Respondent has not complied because he did not provide proof of completion of the ordered MCLE until September 14, 2011.

Respondent was ordered to comply with the State Bar Act and the Rules of Professional Conduct and to report such compliance quarterly under penalty of perjury to the Office of Probation each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 ("quarterly reports"). Respondent did not comply because he did not file his fifth quarterly report, due Sunday, July 10, 2011, until July 11, 2011.

3. On March 15, 2010, the Office of Probation mailed a reminder letter to Respondent at his membership records address outlining the terms and condition of his probation. The letter reminded Respondent of his obligations to timely complete Ethics School, MCLE, and quarterly reports. The letter enclosed numerous attachments including a courtesy quarterly report form with an instructions sheet, and information about Ethics School and how to register for it. Respondent received the letter.

.

4. On April 14, 2010, the Office of Probation conducted an ordered meeting with Respondent to discuss the terms and conditions of his probation. Ethics School, MCLE, and quarterly reports were all discussed.

5. On May 19, 2011, the Office of Probation mailed a letter to Respondent setting forth Respondent's noncompliance with his probation conditions and advising him that he could be referred. Respondent received the letter.

6. On August 3, 2011, the Office of Probation filed a motion to revoke Respondent's probation.

7. On August 30, 2011, Respondent filed his response to the motion to revoke his probation.

8. On September 14, 2011, the Respondent provided the Office of Probation with proof of completion of 4 hours MCLE and that he had signed up for the October 20, 2011 Ethics School to be held in Los Angeles.

Legal Conclusion: By failing to (1) provide proof of completion of Ethics School; (2) timely provide proof of completion of 4 hours of MCLE; and (3) timely file his fifth Quarterly Report due July 10, 2011, Respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was September 21, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 2.6, subsection (a), states that culpability of a member of a violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k), shall result in disbarment or suspension depending upon the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

An attorney who violated his probation by failing to timely complete restitution and by failing to timely attend Ethics School, received two years' probation with a condition that he was to be actually suspended for the first 30 days. In the Matter of Gorman (Review Dept. 2003) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 567. Neither bad purpose nor intentional evil is required to establish willful violations of disciplinary probation. Id. at 572. An attorney's cooperation in stipulating to facts warrants some mitigative consideration. Id. More serious sanctions are assigned to probation violations closely related to reasons for imposition of previous discipline or to rehabilitation. Id. at 573-574.

In this matter, Respondent's underlying disciplinary violation was in relation to failing to comply with (1) Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) by failing to negotiate Dr. Maywood's lien, failing to pay Coast's lien within 30 days to preserve a reduction, and by failing to pay BB& B's and Regent's liens; (2) Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A) by failing to maintain at least \$27,800.86 in his client trust account to pay Dr. Maywood's, Coast's, BB&B's, and Regent's liens; (3) Business and Professions Code section 6106 by delegating management of his client trust account to his wife and not supervising her

(Effective January 1, 2011)

causing an unintentional misappropriation for his own use and by providing Russell with a May Final Account that included the unintentional misrepresentation that \$20,638 was held in trust.

The probation conditions violated were related to his original misconduct, important for his rehabilitation, and were intended to assist the State Bar in monitoring Respondent's rehabilitation. However, in light of Respondent's circumstances (set forth above), it is agreed that the degree of discipline set forth in this stipulation is appropriate in relation to standard 2.6 based upon Respondent's eventual completion of his MCLE and his registration for Ethics School, his stipulation to his violations, and his agreement to reinstate his probation in order to demonstrate his willingness to prove his rehabilitation.

ETHICS SCHOOL CONDITION

Respondent's attendance at any Ethics School following his signing of this stipulation will be credited towards his requirement that he complete Ethics School under S178572.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Probation has informed respondent that as of September 21, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,191. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

WAIVER OF ANY VARIANCES

The parties stipulate to waive any variance in the language, allegations, and conclusions of law between this stipulation and the Notice of Motion and Motion to Revoke Probation filed on August 3, 2011. Respondent acknowledges that this stipulation contains language, allegations, and a conclusion of law which may differ from the language, allegations, and conclusion of law contained in the Notice of Motion and Motion to Revoke Probation filed on August 3, 2011. The parties further stipulate to waive the right to have any amendment to the Notice of Motion and Motion to Revoke Probation.

WAIVER OF TIME TO WITHDRAW OR MODIFY STIPULATION

The parties stipulate to waive the time permitted to withdraw or modify a stipulation. See Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, Rule 58, sub. (E) and (F). Respondent desires that the State Bar Court effectuate his matter as quickly as possible so that the Supreme Court Order on this matter can be filed expeditiously.

In the Matter of: Charles Colin Cossio Charles Colin Cossio Case Number(s): 11-PM-15234

Law Office Management Conditions

- a. Within days/ months/ years of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must develop a law office management/organization plan, which must be approved by the Office of Probation. This plan must include procedures to (1) send periodic reports to clients; (2) document telephone messages received and sent; (3) maintain files; (4) meet deadlines; (5) withdraw as attorney, whether of record or not, when clients cannot be contacted or located; (6) train and supervise support personnel; and (7) address any subject area or deficiency that caused or contributed to Respondent's misconduct in the current proceeding.
- b. Within <u>days</u>, <u>menths</u>/one year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of no less than 6 hours of Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) approved courses in law office management, attorney elient relations and/or general legal ethics. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending these courses (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.)
- c. Within 30 days of the effective date of the discipline, Respondent must join the Law Practice Management and Technology Section of the State Bar of California and pay the dues and costs of enrollment for year(s). Respondent must furnish satisfactory evidence of membership in the section to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California in the first report required.

Other:

Respondent will receive credit for any MCLE ethics courses taken after the signing of this stipulation.



In the Matter of:	Case number(s):
Charles Colin Cossio	11-PM-15234

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

9 2 3 Charles Colin Cossio Date **Respondent's Signature** Print Name

Date

Date

Respondent's Counsel Signature

9.26.11 Kesp

nature

Supervising Attorney's

Print Name

Terrie Goldade Print Name

(Effective January 1, 2011)



	In the Matter of: Charles Colin Cossio	Case Number(s): 11-PM-15234
1		

PROBATION VIOLATION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

27/1 Date

DONALD F. MILES Judge of the State Bar Court

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RE: **11-PM-15234**

, .

Charles Colin Cossio (SBN167901)

Dear Sir/Madam:

In an attempt to expedite the handling of this matter, please be advised that the undersigned have knowingly and specifically waived the time within which to request a Writ of Review.

Respectfully submitted,

alu lan tu

Charles Colin Cossio

9/23/11 Date:

9.26.11

plade

Terrie Goldade

Date:

Office of Probation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on September 27, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

CHARLES C COSSIO ESQ 2424 SHOOTING STAR PL ALPINE, CA 91901

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Terrie Goldade, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on September 27, 2011.

plieta L. Honzales Julieta E. Gonzales

Julieta E. Gonzales Case Administrator State Bar Court