

## State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** Los Angeles **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 12-N-17280-DFM R. Kevin Bucher **FILED Deputy Trial Counsel** 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299 AUG -1 2013 (213)765-1630 STATE BAR COURT **CLERK'S OFFICE** LOS ANGELES Bar # 132003 Counsel For Respondent **PUBLIC MATTER** David M. Philips 3853 Brockton Avenue Riverside, CA 92501 (951)686-3220 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 45761 **DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING** In the Matter of: JACK ISRAEL ADLER **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 97380 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted May 29, 1981.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Effective January 1, 2011)

7/30/13

kwiktag\* 152 147 664

(Do	not writ	<u>te abον</u>	/e this line.)				
(E)	•	·					
(5)		Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".					
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."						
(7)	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.						
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):						
relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.  Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following members billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hards circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondinstallment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the re			ntil costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless ief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure. Osts are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three ling cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardship, special cumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any stallment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is e and payable immediately.				
		Co	Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".  Costs are entirely waived.				
•	Prof	essi	ting Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.				
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]				
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	State Bar Court case # of prior case 11-O-15383				
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	Date prior discipline effective August 18, 2012				
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Business and Professions Code section 6106 (knowingly engaging in the unauthorized practice of law.) - See attachment, page 7.				
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline One year suspension, stayed, two years of probation, and actual suspension of 90 days.				
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.				
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.					
(3)	Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.						
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.					

(Do n	ot writ	e above this line.)				
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.				
(6)		<b>Lack of Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.				
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.				
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.				
Add	ition	al aggravating circumstances:				
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.				
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.				
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.				
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.				
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.				
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.				
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.				
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.				
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.				
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.				
(10)		<b>Family Problems:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.				
(11)		<b>Good Character:</b> Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.				

(Do n	(Do not write above this line.)					
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.				
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.				
Add	ition	al mi	tigatin	ng circumstances:		
	S	ee a	ttachi	ment, page 8.		
D. [	). Discipline:					
(1) Stayed Suspension:			uspension:			
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	Res	pondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.		
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.		
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.		
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:		
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.		
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Prol	Probation:			
	Res date	spond e of th	ent mi	ust be placed on probation for a period of two years, which will commence upon the effective preme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)		
(3) Actual Suspension:		spension:				
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	Resp of six	condent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period months.		
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct		
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.		
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:		
E. A	ddit	iona	ıl Coı	nditions of Probation:		
(1)		If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.				
(2)	$\boxtimes$	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.				

(Do n	(Do not write above this line.)							
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.						
(4)		and s cond proba	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must					
(5)		promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.						
In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the				ning the same information, is due no earlier than obation and no later than the last day of probation.				
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.						
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.						
(8)	$\boxtimes$	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.						
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason	:	•			
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.						
(10)		The f	following conditions are attached hereto and	d incor	porated:			
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions			
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions			
F. O	ther	Con	nditions Negotiated by the Parties	:				
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without						

## **ATTACHMENT TO**

## STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

JACK ISRAEL ADLER

CASE NUMBER:

12-N-17280

### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified California Rules of Court.

Case No. 12-N-17280 (State Bar Investigation)

## **FACTS:**

- 1. On July 19, 2012, the California Supreme Court filed Order No. S202054 ("the Order"). The Order included a requirement that Respondent comply with Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, by performing the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of the 9.20 Order.
- 2. On July 19, 2012, the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of California properly served upon Respondent a copy of the order. Respondent received the order.
- 3. The Supreme Court Order became effective on August 18, 2012. Respondent was ordered to comply with subdivision (a) and (b) of rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court no later than September 17, 2012, and was ordered to comply with subdivision (c) of Rule 9.20 by filing a Rule 9.20 compliance declaration no later than September 27, 2012.
- 4. Respondent timely complied with the acts specified in subdivisions (a); however, Respondent filed his Rule 9.20 compliance declaration late on November 26, 2012.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

5. By not timely filing a declaration of compliance with Rule 9.20 in conformity with the requirements of Rule 9.20(c), Respondent failed to comply with the provisions of Supreme Court Order No. S202054. By the foregoing conduct, Respondent willfully violated rule 9.20, California Rules of Court.

#### ADDITIONAL FACTS RE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.2(b)(i)): Respondent has been a member of the State Bar since May 29, 1981, and has a prior record of discipline. Effective August 18, 2012, the California Supreme Court ordered that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for one year, stayed, and ordered that he be placed on probation for two years subject to certain conditions including a 90-day actual suspension. In Case Number 11-O-15383, Respondent, while on administrative suspension, made 77 court appearances as a special appearance attorney between September 1, 2010 and

July 4, 2011, thereby committing an act or acts of moral turpitude and dishonesty in violation of Business and Professions Code 6106.

## ADDITIONAL FACTS RE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Pretrial Stipulation:** Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a full stipulation with the Office of Chief Trial Counsel prior to trial, thereby saving State Bar Court time and resources. (See *Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

Physical Difficulties: During the period immediately following the effective date of the Order, Respondent was having serious physical difficulties, as attested to by his treating physician. Respondent suffered from Chronic Pulmonary Obstructive Disease (COPD), a lung disease that made it very difficult for Respondent to breathe, required long periods of recuperation and caused extreme debilitation, and which affected his ability to perform daily activities, including the acts specified in Rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, which explains in part, but does not excuse his failure to timely file a Rule 9.20 compliance declaration. Respondent now manages his condition with the use of an oxygen tank. (See, e.g., *Porter v. State Bar* (1990) 52 Cal.3d 518; *Shapiro v State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 251[ mitigation when physical difficulties contributed to attorney misconduct].)

#### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide a "process of fixing discipline" pursuant to a set of written principles to "better discharge the purposes of attorney discipline as announced by the Supreme Court." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, Introduction (all further references to standards are to this source).) The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings and of the sanctions imposed are "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession." (*In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205; std. 1.3.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton, supra, 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) Any discipline recommendation different from that set forth in the applicable standards should clearly explain the reasons for the deviation. (Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

There is no standard specifically applicable to a violations of Rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court; however, Rule 9.20(d) provides that a suspended member's willful failure to comply with the provisions of Rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court is cause for disbarment or suspension and for revocation of any pending probation.

Standard 1.7(a), provides if a member is found culpable of professional misconduct in any proceeding in which discipline may be imposed and the member has a record of one prior imposition of discipline, the

degree of discipline imposed in the current proceeding shall be greater than that imposed in the prior proceeding subject, to exceptions not applicable here.

The California Supreme Court deems Rule 9.20 violations a serious ethical breach for which disbarment is generally considered the appropriate discipline. (See *Bercovich v. State Bar* (1990) 50 Cal. 3d 116, 131.) However, the Court has deviated from disbarment when appropriate. (See *Shapiro v State Bar*, supra, 51 Cal.3d 251.

Respondent's prior record of discipline is a significant aggravating factor and there is no reason to deviate from Standard 1.7(a). But Respondent's mitigation is significant. Respondent was experiencing extreme physical difficulties due to his COPD condition (a condition which he now manages with the use of an oxygen tank), which affected his daily activities during the period immediately following the effective date of the Order. Further, the Supreme Court has determined that when an attorney timely complies with subdivision (a) of Rule 9.20, as Respondent did, it is an important fact tempering an attorney's failure to file a timely compliance declaration (See *Shapiro v State Bar, supra*, 51 Cal.3d 251, 260.) Respondent's agreement to enter into this stipulation prior to trial also warrants mitigation.

In consideration of the aggravating and mitigating circumstances surrounding Respondent's misconduct, a discipline consisting of a one-year suspension, stayed, and two years of probation, with conditions including a six-month actual suspension serves the purposes of attorney discipline.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed him that as of July 23, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$6,944.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

#### EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.) In the Matter of	Case number(s):			
JACK ISRAEL ADLER	12-N-17280			

# **SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES**

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

La III.	to de lavori Adlon
	Jack Israel Adler
ndeot's βignature	Print Name
SUL 1	David M. Philips
ident's Counsel Signature	Print Name
	R. Kevin Bucher
Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name
\ \ \	dept's Rignature  dept's Counsel Signature  Trial Counsel's Signature

(Do not write a	above this line.)		
In the Matt	ter of: RAEL ADLER	Case Number(s): 12-N-17280-DFM	
	ACTUA	AL SUSPENSION ORDER	
	stipulation to be fair to the parties and dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is	nd that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the GRANTED without prejudice, and:	
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED Supreme Court.			
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.			
	All Hearing dates are vacated.		
:	On page 6 of the stipulation, an "X make clear that Respondent is obliof Court.	X" is inserted in the box next to paragraph F.(2), to ligated to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules	
within 15 da stipulation. ( of the Supr Court.)	ays after service of this order, is grante (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proc	oved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved ocedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of	
<b>S</b> pate	11/13	DONALD F. MILES	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on August 1, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

DAVID MATTHEW PHILIPS 3853 BROCKTON AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

## **KEVIN BUCHER, Enforcement, Los Angeles**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on August 1, 2013.

Tammy Cleaver Case Administrator State Bar Court