State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 12-0-10053 **PUBLIC MATTER** Robin Brune Senior Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538- 2218 APR 0.9 20 Bar # 149481 Counsel For Respondent **STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO** Samuel C. Bellicini Fishkin & Slatter, LLP 1575 Treat Blvd. Ste. 215 Walnut Creek, CA 94598 9925) 944-5600 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 152191 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: **CATHERINE RENE KING ACTUAL SUSPENSION** ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 145940 A Member of the State Bar of California

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

(Respondent)

- kwiktag* 048 621 481
- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted March 15, 1990.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."



(Do	not wr	ite above this line.)			
(5)	Co La	onclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of aw".			
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."				
(7)	No pe	o more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any inding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.			
(8)	Pa 61	nyment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):			
•	 Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure. Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: the two billing cycles immediately following the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this matter. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". 				
ı	Visc	ravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional conduct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are lired.			
(1)	□ (a)	Prior record of discipline ☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case			
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective			
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:			
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline			
	(e)	☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.			
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.			
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.			
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			

(Do n	ot write	e above this line.)
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See Attachment, p. 9.
(8)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.
(9)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:
	_	ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mustances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)	\boxtimes	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. See Attachment, p. 10.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

(Do n	<u>ot writ</u>	<u>e abov</u>	<u>e this lir</u>	ne.)	
(12)	Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.				
(13)		No	mitiga	ting circumstances are involved.	
Add	ition	al mi	tigatin	ng circumstances:	
				ulation. See Attachment, p. 10. ipline. See Attachment, p. 10.	
D. [Disc	iplin	e:		
(1)	\boxtimes	Stay	yed Sı	uspension:	
	(a)	\boxtimes	Res	pondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years.	
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:	
	(b)	\boxtimes	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.	
(2)	\boxtimes	Pro	bation		
	Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of two years , which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)				
(3)	\boxtimes	Act	ual Su	spension:	
	(a)	\boxtimes		pondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period days.	
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:	
E. A	ddi	tiona	al Co	nditions of Probation:	
(1)		he/s	he pro	dent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until oves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the w, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
(2)	Ø			probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of hal Conduct.	

(Do n	<u>ot write</u>	e above	this line.)		
(3)		State	Bar and to the Office of Probation of the	State B d telep	report to the Membership Records Office of the ar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of hone number, or other address for State Bar ness and Professions Code.
(4)		and s cond prob	schedule a meeting with Respondent's ass itions of probation. Upon the direction of th ation deputy either in-person or by telepho	igned positions in the contract of the contrac	line, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation probation deputy to discuss these terms and se of Probation, Respondent must meet with the ring the period of probation, Respondent must
(5)		promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.			
					ining the same information, is due no earlier than robation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.			
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.			
(8)	⊠	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.			
			No Ethics School recommended. Reaso	n:	•
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.			
(10)	\boxtimes	The	following conditions are attached hereto ar	nd inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	\boxtimes	Financial Conditions
F. C	the	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Parties	s:	
(1)	Ø	the Cor	Multistate Professional Responsibility Exa reference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of	mination Proba	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion during the period of actual suspension or within as the MPRE results in actual suspension without

(Do n	ot write	above this line.)
		further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.
		☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason:
(2)	\boxtimes	Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)		Other Conditions:

(Do	not	write above this line.)				
In the Matter of: CATHERINE RENE KING			Case Number(s): 12-O-10053			
Fir	nan	cial Conditions				
a.	Re	stitution				
		Respondent must pay restitution payee(s) listed below. If the Coor any portion of the principal amount(s) paid, plus applicable	lient Security Fund (" amount(s) listed belo	CSF") has	reimbursed one or more of t	he navee/s) for all
	P	ayee	Principal Amount		Interest Accrues From	1
	C	lient Security Fund	\$39,043.00		N/A	1
						-
	<u> </u>					
	<u> </u>			·		
b.	Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office Probation not later than 30 days prior to conclusion of probation. b. Installment Restitution Payments Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period probation (or period of reproval), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full. Payee/CSF (as applicable) Minimum Payment Amount Payment Frequency				pelow. Respondent obation report, or	
				-		
				······································		
		If Respondent fails to pay any ithe remaining balance is due a	installment as describ nd payable immediat	ed above, o	or as may be modified by the	e State Bar Court,
C.	Clie	ent Funds Certificate				
	 If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that: a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account"; 					
(Effec	tive	January 1, 2011)				

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client:
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and.
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and.
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client	Trust	Account	ing Schoo
-----------	--------------	---------	-----------

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of
Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School
within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

CATHERINE RENE KING

CASE NUMBER:

12-0-10053

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 12-O-10053 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

- 1. At all relevant times herein, "US Loan Auditors, LLC", "US Loan Auditors, Inc." and "My US Legal Services" (hereinafter "USLS") were companies owned, in part, by non-attorneys. At all relevant times herein, homeowners hired USLS to file predatory lender lawsuits and paid advanced attorney's fees in monthly installments to USLS. Thereafter, USLS hired outside attorneys ("contract attorneys") to handle the predatory lender lawsuits. USLS paid the contract attorney \$250 per month per client as attorney's fees. The \$250 was paid from the monthly installments paid to USLS by the homeowners as advanced attorney's fees.
- 2. From December 2009, through June 2010, USLS hired Respondent as a contract attorney to handle predatory lender lawsuits on behalf of the homeowners. From December 2009, through June 2010, USLS paid Respondent a total of \$39,043 as fees from a portion of the monthly installments paid to USLS as advanced attorney's fees by Respondent's clients. To date, Respondent has not refunded any portion of those fees to her clients.
- 3. In October 2010, USLS effectively ceased operations following the filing of a civil action by the California Attorney General.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

4. The \$39,043 represents an impermissible fee split with a non-attorney. By splitting the legal fees with USLS, Respondent shared a legal fee with a person who is not a lawyer in willful violation of rule 1-320(A) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5 (b)): Respondent represented approximately 40 clients through USLS and accepted impermissible fees on behalf each client. This represents multiple acts of wrongdoing.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Candor and Cooperation (Std. 1.6(e)): Prior to State Bar involvement, Respondent wrote to each of her clients on June 30, 2010, advising them of her business and financial arrangements with USLS, and withdrawing as their counsel. She also terminated her relationship with USLS.

Additional Mitigating Circumstances:

No Prior Record of Discipline: Although Respondent's misconduct is serious, she is entitled to significant mitigation for having practiced law for approximately 20 years without discipline before her misconduct began. (*In the Matter of Riordan* (Review Dept. 2007) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 41, 49.)

Pre-filing Stipulation: Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a full stipulation with the Office of Chief Trial Counsel prior to the filing of charges, thereby saving State Bar Court time and resources. (*In the Matter of Downey* (Review Dept. 2009) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 151, 156; *In the Matter of Van Sickle* (Review Dept. 2006) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 980, 993-994.)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

The settlement in this matter was reached in 2013, during the operation of the former standards. Under the former standards, the standard applicable to Respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.10, which provides that culpability of a member of a willful violation of any Rule of Professional Conduct not specified (e.g., Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-320(A)) shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

Here, Respondent shared legal fees with an entity (USLS) that was owned, in part, by non-attorneys. In total, Respondent collected \$39,043 from USLS which was paid from a portion of the advanced fees USLS collected from Respondent's clients. Respondent split fees in approximately 40 separate client matters over a seven-month period.

Respondent's misconduct is serious. It has long been a fundamental premise of the practice of law that "the relationship between an attorney and client is of the highest order of fiduciary relation. [citation.]" (In the Matter of Feldsott (Review Dept. 1997) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 754, 757.) This relationship is compromised when an attorney splits fees with a non-attorney. The ban against a lawyer sharing fees with a non-lawyer was enacted to prevent the non-lawyer from gaining control over a client's matter where the non-attorney could be motivated by personal profit rather than the client's best interests. (In the Matter of Scapa and Brown (Review Dept. 1993) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 635; Accord, In the Matter of Jones (Review Dept. 1993) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 411.) Respondent's fee-splitting arrangement exposed her clients to the risk that the non-attorneys would be looking after their personal interests, rather than those of the client.

Respondent's misconduct is aggravated by multiple acts of misconduct. Respondent's fee splitting arrangement exposed approximately 40 clients to harm. In total, Respondent collected \$39,043 as a result of the improper fee split. As part of Respondent's rehabilitation, Respondent has agreed to refund the fees she obtained from fee-splitting by making payments to the Client Security Fund. Prior to State Bar involvement, Respondent exhibited candor and cooperation by writing to each of her clients, advising them of her financial and business relationships with USLS, and withdrawing as counsel. Respondent also entered into a full stipulation prior to the filing of charges, and she has significant mitigation for a lack of prior discipline. Due to the seriousness of Respondent's misconduct, most significantly, the risk of harm to the approximately 40 clients, suspension at the high-end recommended under standard 2.10 is warranted.

On balance, a 90-day actual suspension with probation conditions including restitution of \$39,043 is appropriate to protect the public and maintain the high standards applicable to attorneys.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of January 24, 2014, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,500.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of Ethics School (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

In the Matter of: CATHERINE RENE KING	Case number(s): 12-O-10053	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

3-13	3-14 Stone	CATHERINE RENE KING
Date	Respondent's Stanature	Print Name
26 M	Respondent's Counsel Signature	SAMUEL C. BELLICINI
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
-	arch 2014 bor to the	ROBIN BRUNE
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

))
(Do not v	write abo	ove this line.)	
In the CATI		r of: NE RENE KING	Case Number(s): 12-O-10053
		ACTUAL SUSPE	ENSION ORDER
		tipulation to be fair to the parties and that it add missal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED	equately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the without prejudice, and:
,		The stipulated facts and disposition are APPI Supreme Court.	ROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
		The stipulated facts and disposition are APPF DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Sup	ROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the reme Court.
	Ø	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
within 1	5 days on. (S Supre r	s after service of this order, is granted; or 2) th ee rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) Th	s: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed is court modifies or further modifies the approved e effective date of this disposition is the effective date ter file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
		Judge o	of the State Bar Court

(Effective January 1, 2014)

Actual Suspension Order

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on April 9, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

SAMUEL C. BELLICINI FISHKIN & SLATTER, LLP 1575 TREAT BLVD STE 215 WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ROBIN BRUNE, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 9, 2014.

Bernadette C.O. Molina Case Administrator State Bar Court