

(Do not write above this line.)

<b>State Bar Court of California</b> <b>Hearing Department</b> <b>Los Angeles</b> <b>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b>			<b>PUBLIC MATTER</b>
<b>Counsel For The State Bar</b>  Lee Ann Kern Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015 (213) 765-1272  Bar # 156623	<b>Case Number(s):</b> 12-O-11622	For Court use only  <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 10px;">FILED</div> <div style="font-size: 1.2em; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 5px;">NOV 02 2012</div> <div style="font-size: 0.8em; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 5px;">STATE BAR COURT</div> <div style="font-size: 0.8em; font-weight: bold; margin-bottom: 5px;">CLERK'S OFFICE</div> <div style="font-size: 0.8em; font-weight: bold;">LOS ANGELES</div>	
<b>In Pro Per Respondent</b>  India Sherryl Thompson 14424 Magnolia Boulevard #209 Sherman Oaks, California 91423 (818) 231-2031  Bar # 143787	Submitted to: <b>Settlement Judge</b>  STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING		
<b>In the Matter of:</b> India Sherryl Thompson  Bar # 143787  A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	<b>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED		

**Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.**

**A. Parties' Acknowledgments:**

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 11, 1989.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.



Q10

(Do not write above this line.)

- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
  - Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
  - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
  - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
  - Costs are entirely waived.

**B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
  - (a)  State Bar Court case # of prior case See Attachment, p. 3.
  - (b)  Date prior discipline effective See Attachment, p. 3.
  - (c)  Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: See Attachment, p. 3.
  - (d)  Degree of prior discipline See Attachment, p. 3.
  - (e)  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2)  **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4)  **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. See Attachment, p. 4.

(Do not write above this line.)

---

- (5)  **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6)  **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7)  **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8)  **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional aggravating circumstances:**

**C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2)  **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3)  **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4)  **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5)  **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in restitution to \_\_\_\_\_ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6)  **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7)  **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8)  **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9)  **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10)  **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11)  **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

(12)  **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(13)  **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional mitigating circumstances:**

See Attachment, p. 3.

**D. Discipline:**

(1)  **Stayed Suspension:**

(a)  Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years.

i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

iii.  and until Respondent does the following:

(b)  The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2)  **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of three years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3)  **Actual Suspension:**

(a)  Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of 90 days.

i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct

ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

iii.  and until Respondent does the following:

**E. Additional Conditions of Probation:**

(1)  If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

(2)  During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (3)  Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4)  Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5)  Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6)  Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7)  Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8)  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9)  Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10)  The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions         | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions                        |

#### F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1)  **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without**

(Do not write above this line.)

---

**further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**

No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2)  **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3)  **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4)  **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5)  **Other Conditions:** Respondent must refund fees to Williams in the total amount of \$750. Respondent must pay \$750 to Williams prior to the date her first quarterly probation report is due and provide proof of payment to the Office of Probation in her first quarterly report. If Respondent refunds the \$750 to Williams prior to the effective date of discipline, Respondent must provide proof of the refund in her first quarterly report.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: India Sherryl Thompson	Case Number(s): 12-O-11622
---	-------------------------------

### Law Office Management Conditions

- a.  Within        days/        months/        years of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must develop a law office management/organization plan, which must be approved by the Office of Probation. This plan must include procedures to (1) send periodic reports to clients; (2) document telephone messages received and sent; (3) maintain files; (4) meet deadlines; (5) withdraw as attorney, whether of record or not, when clients cannot be contacted or located; (6) train and supervise support personnel; and (7) address any subject area or deficiency that caused or contributed to Respondent's misconduct in the current proceeding.
- b.  Within        days/12 months/        years of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of no less than 6 hours of Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) approved courses in law office management, attorney client relations and/or general legal ethics. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending these courses (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.)
- c.  Within 30 days of the effective date of the discipline, Respondent must join the Law Practice Management and Technology Section of the State Bar of California and pay the dues and costs of enrollment for year(s). Respondent must furnish satisfactory evidence of membership in the section to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California in the first report required.

Other:



7. In late August 2010, Respondent checked the status of service of the summons and complaint in Williams's lawsuit through the LASD's website and discovered that service had been canceled on June 11, 2010, because Williams's \$30 check had been returned for non-sufficient funds.
8. In August 2010, Williams called Respondent and left messages on Respondent's voice mail in which he asked her for the status of his case and for her to return his telephone call. In September 2010, Respondent called Williams and informed him his case was dismissed.
9. On September 21, 2010, Respondent filed a motion for relief from the dismissal of Williams's lawsuit. In her motion, Respondent stated that the dismissal was caused by Respondent's "lack of diligence in following the Los Angeles Sheriff Department's [*sic*] efforts to serve the defendant and [Respondent's] failure to appear at two court hearings." The motion was not heard on its scheduled hearing date of December 7, 2010.
10. On February 9, 2011, Respondent again filed a motion for relief from the dismissal of Williams's lawsuit. The hearing on the motion was scheduled for May 2, 2011. On May 2, 2011, Respondent failed to appear at the motion for relief from the dismissal of Williams's lawsuit and the court took the motion off calendar.
11. On May 10, 2011, Respondent appeared in court on an ex parte application for an order granting the motion for relief from the dismissal of Williams's lawsuit. The court denied the motion without prejudice to Williams's filing of a noticed motion. Thereafter, Respondent failed to file a noticed motion for relief from the dismissal of Williams's lawsuit.
12. After May 10, 2011, Respondent took no further action as to Williams's lawsuit, nor did she otherwise pursue recovery of the unpaid \$40,000 note on Williams's behalf. Respondent did not provide Williams with any legal services of value and did not earn any portion of the \$750 in advance fees paid to her by Williams.
13. Respondent failed to inform Williams that she did not have his case "placed back on calendar" and that she failed to appear at the May 2011 hearing on the motion for relief from the dismissal of Williams's lawsuit.
14. October 27, 2011, Williams made a complaint to the State Bar about Respondent's conduct.
15. On January 11, 2012, a State Bar Complaint Analyst wrote a letter to Respondent at her State Bar membership Records address requesting a response to the allegations raised by Williams in his complaint. Respondent did not respond to the Complaint Analyst's letter.
16. On March 13, 2012, an investigator for the State Bar had a telephone conversation with Respondent about Respondent's failure to provide a written response to the Complaint Analyst's letter. Respondent acknowledged that she owed the State Bar a response to Williams's allegations and informed the investigator that she would fax her response to the investigator the following day. Respondent did not respond to Williams's allegations as set forth in the Complaint Analyst's letter.
17. On March 26, 2012, an investigator for the State Bar mailed a letter to Respondent at her State Bar membership address requesting a response to the allegations raised by Williams in his complaint. The letter was not returned in the mail as undeliverable or for any other reason. Respondent received the investigator's letter.

18. Respondent did not provide a written response to the State Bar's letter or otherwise cooperate in the investigation of Williams's complaint.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

19. By failing to properly file and maintain Williams's lawsuit or otherwise pursue recovery of the unpaid \$40,000 note on Williams's behalf, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence, in willful violation of rule 3-110(A), Rules of Professional Conduct.

20. By failing to inform Williams that she failed to appear at the May 2011 hearing on the motion for relief from the dismissal of Williams's lawsuit, Respondent failed to keep a client reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

21. By not providing a written response to the allegations raised by Williams in his complaint, Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i).

#### PENDING PROCEEDINGS:

The disclosure date referred to on page 2, paragraph A (7), was August 23, 2012.

#### OTHER MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES:

Respondent stipulated to facts, conclusions of law, and disposition in order to resolve her disciplinary proceedings as efficiently as possible. (See *Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal. 3d 1071, 1079, where mitigative credit was accorded to the attorney for admitting facts and culpability in order to simplify the disciplinary proceedings against her.)

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES:

##### Prior Record of Discipline:

- State Bar Court Case Number of Prior Cases: 97-0-18160 and 98-O-02715
- Date Prior Discipline Effective: December 19, 2001
- Rules of Professional Conduct/State Bar Violations: In 97-O-18160: Rule 3-110(A), Rules of Professional Conduct. In 98-O-02715: Rule 3-110(A), Rules of Professional Conduct and Business and Professions Code sections 6125 and 6126
- Degree of Prior Discipline: One year stayed suspension and three years probation.

**Harm:** Respondent's failure to properly file and maintain Williams's lawsuit or otherwise pursue recovery of the unpaid \$40,000 note on Williams's behalf caused harm to Williams due to the expiration of the statute of limitations as to his cause of action. (*In the Matter of Bach* (Review Dept. 1991) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 631, where attorney's loss of client's cause of action constituted significant harm.)

## **AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE:**

The Supreme Court has held that great weight is to be given to the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct ("Standards"), and they should be followed whenever possible. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal. 4th 81, 91.) Adherence to the Standards serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency in the imposition of attorney discipline. (*In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

Where two or more acts of professional misconduct are acknowledged in a single disciplinary proceeding, and different sanctions are prescribed by the standards, the sanction imposed shall be the most severe of the different applicable sanctions. (Standard 1.6 (a).) Standard 2.6 sets forth the most severe of the different sanctions.

Standard 2.6 provides that culpability of a member of a violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i) shall result in disbarment or suspension depending on the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim. Respondent did not participate in the State Bar investigation of Williams's complaint. Although her failure to participate in the State Bar investigation hindered the investigation process by delaying the State Bar's ability to complete its full assessment of the matter, such a delay did not ultimately result in harm. On the other hand, Respondent's misconduct in failing to properly communicate with Williams and pursue his unpaid \$40,000 note within the statute of limitations harmed Williams by depriving him of his cause of action and ability to recover damages. The harm to Respondent's client increases the magnitude of the misconduct.

Respondent's misconduct is mitigated by her willingness to stipulate to facts, conclusions of law, and disposition, but aggravated by the harm caused to Williams due to Respondent's failure to properly file and maintain Williams's lawsuit or otherwise pursue recovery of the unpaid \$40,000 note on Williams's behalf. In addition, Standard 1.7 (a) mandates that discipline in the instant matter be greater than the one year stayed suspension Respondent received in her prior discipline because Respondent's prior discipline was serious and not remote in time.

The stipulated disposition is consistent with case law. In *Layton v. State Bar* (1991) 50 Cal.3d 889, 30-days actual suspension was imposed where an attorney failed to use reasonable diligence to accomplish the purposes for which he was employed, failed to perform legal services competently, and violated his duties as an attorney. In mitigation, Layton had no prior discipline in over 30 years of practice and his present misconduct did not evidence a pattern of misconduct. There were no factors in aggravation.

In *Bach v. State Bar* (1991) 52 Cal.3d 1201, 30-days actual suspension was imposed when an attorney, in a single client matter, failed to perform legal services competently, improperly withdrew from representation, failed to refund unearned fees, and failed to cooperate with the State Bar investigation. In mitigation, Bach had no prior record of discipline in over 20 years of practice. In aggravation, the attorney denied any responsibility for the delay, cost, anxiety, and inconvenience imposed on the client, and refused to participate in mandatory fee arbitration proceedings.

A greater sanction than that imposed in *Layton* and in *Bach* is warranted in the instant matter due to the aggravating factors present, including Respondent's prior record of discipline and the harm caused to her client. Application of the Standards to the facts and consideration of relevant decisional law supports that 90-days actual suspension, two years' stayed suspension, and three-year's probation is the

appropriate sanction for Respondent's misconduct. The recommended discipline is adequate to protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession.

**COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS:**

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of August 23, 2012, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,865. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

///

///

///


(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: India Sherryl Thompson	Case number(s): 12-O-11622
---	-------------------------------

### SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

9/28/12            India Sherryl Thompson  
Date      Respondent's Signature      Print Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date      Respondent's Counsel Signature      Print Name  
10/9/12            Lee Ann Kern  
Date      Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature      Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: India Sherryl Thompson	Case Number(s): 12-O-11622
---	-------------------------------

### ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER


Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

Date

11/2/12

  
RICHARD A. HONN  
Judge of the State Bar Court

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on November 2, 2012, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

INDIA S THOMPSON ATTORNEY AT LAW  
14424 MAGNOLIA BLVD APT 209  
SHERMAN OAKS, CA 91423

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Lee A. Kern, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on November 2, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Julieta E. Gonzales  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court