# FILED

Hal Wright, Attorney at Law SBN 157814 216 F Street, #116 Davis, CA 95616 530-616-8529 Member, In Pro Per

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

21

22

JUN 10 2013

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

# STATE BAR COURT

#### **HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO**

In Re:

| CASE NOs. 12-O-16850; [13-O-11620] | RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES | DISCIPLINARY CHARCES |

Paragraph 1. Deny in part.

I was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on April 7, 1992, not 1997.

# **COUNT ONE**

13-O-11620

20 | Paragraph 2. Deny.

Paragraph 3. Admit.

Mr. Isaacson was a long-standing client and did not feel an agreement was necessary.

23 Paragraph 4. Admit.

24 Paragraph 5. Admit in part.

Mr. Isaacsson verbally gave me blanket authority to settle.

26 Paragraph 6. Admit

27

25



1 Paragraph 7. Admit 2 Paragraph 8. Admit 3 Paragraph 9. Admit Paragraph 10. Deny legal conclusion 4 5 Paragraph 11. Admit in part/Deny in part Paragraph 12. Deny 6 7 **COUNT TWO** 8 13-0-11620 9 Paragraph 13. Deny legal conclusion 10 Paragraph 15. Admit 11 Paragraph 16. Admit 12 Paragraph 17. Admit. 13 Paragraph 18. Admit 14 Paragraph 19. Admit 15 Paragraph 20. Deny legal conclusion 16 The Isaacson/Big Idea matter falls squarely within the time frame for the pending decision 17 wherein it was acknowledged that I was undergoing great personal, financial and emotional 18 difficulties. 19 I have done much legal work for Mr. Isaacson and DMTC. I represented DMTC in a suit 20 wherein they were sued by a company which leased them a copy machine on unconscionable terms. 21 I told them to give the machine back and breach the contract because something was not right. They 22 took my advice and were sued. I succeeded in having the suit dismissed. (Yolo County Case No. 23 CV 07-102, Exhibit A). 24 I was also asked by Mr. Isaacson to help him in a matter involving a patron who had put up a 25 \$600,000 CD for DMTC's benefit and was worried about the security of her investment. I helped 26 allay the woman's fears and the storm passed. I therefore felt, at that time, that the Isaacsons were 27

indebted to me. My mental state at that time was exacerbated by Mr. Isaacson's incessant, inappropriate conduct toward young girls and women in the shows he produces. He frequently and openly, in the presence of others, fantasizes about women in the show, constantly commenting on breast size, telling crude and off-color "jokes" in the presence of minors, for example. He even commented on my wife's figure once in my presence (she was in the show, her last with them). I was shocked and did not quite know how to react. There have been many individuals who have left DMTC because of this behavior and even more who just "grin and bear it". I warned Mr. Isaacson, as a friend, on several occasions that his conduct could be actionable. I did not make a fuss about it because we were friends but, over time, it became an increasing source of aggravation for me and, as I understand now with ongoing therapy, provided a rationale to act in a passive/aggresive dishonest and inappropriate manner instead of confronting Mr. Isaacson and being forthcoming about monies I felt were owed to me.

Mr. Isaacson, from the outset, was intent on "bringing Big Idea Theater down". Demanding that I do everything possible to put them out of business when it was not even clear that Big Idea was culpable (they, in fact, never admitted liability). I was deluged with text messages, emails and phone calls at all hours of the day and night. I felt paralyzed and angry. I never intended to permanently deprive Mr. Isaacson any monies due him. Instead, I was, albeit inappropriately and passive-aggressively, attempting to balance the ledger.

#### **COUNT THREE**

13-O-11620

Paragraph 21. Deny legal conclusion

Paragraph 23. Deny legal conclusion

#### COUNT FOUR

13-0-11620

Paragraph 24. Deny legal conclusion

Paragraph 26. Admit

1	<u>COUNT FIVE</u>			
2	13-O-11620			
3	Paragraph 27. Deny legal conclusion			
4	Paragraph 29. Admit			
5	Paragraph 30. Admit			
6	Paragraph 31. Admit			
7	Paragraph 32. Deny legal conclusion			
8	Paragraph 33. Deny legal conclusion			
9	<u>COUNT SIX</u>			
10	13-O-11620			
11	Paragraph 34. Deny legal conclusion			
12	Paragraph 36. Admit			
13	Paragraph 37. Deny legal conclusion			
14	<u>COUNT SEVEN</u>			
15	13-O-11620			
16	Paragraph 38. Deny legal conclusion			
17	Paragraph 40. Admit			
18	Paragraph 41. Admit			
19	Paragraph 42. Admit			
20	Paragraph 43. Admit			
21	Paragraph 44. Admit			
22	Paragraph 45. Deny legal conclusion			
23	Paragraph 46. Deny legal conclusion			
24	In December 2011 I produced and directed a Christmas program. It was performed at the			
25	DMTC theater and Mr. Isaacson, initially, wanted nothing to do with it and told me I could keep the			
26	lion's share of the proceeds and we were to negotiate a share of the proceeds. This never occurred.			
27				

-4-

After it became apparent that the show would make money, Mr. Isaacson was suddenly very interested in doing the ticketing. DMTC kept all the proceeds. The retention of the Bridget Trust money was to, again, recoup monies owed to me.

In any event, I have kept in contact with Mr. Isaacson's attorney, Mr. Ewing, and kept him apprised of developments and possibilities to begin paying whatever restitution is owed.

I donated virtually all of the sound equipment in the theater worth thousands of dollars, donated \$1,000 cash to the theater and played in numerous shows since 2005. In short, I have a long-standing, complex nearly 8 year relationship with Mr. Isaacson that has had ups and downs. I believe he owes me and that an offset is in order. I also realize that I did not deal with my issues with him appropriately in the least

**COUNT EIGHT** 

13-O-11620

Paragraph 47. Deny legal conclusion

Paragraph 49. Admit

Paragraph 50. Deny legal conclusion

**COUNT NINE** 

12-O-16850

Paragraph 51. Deny legal conclusion

Paragraph 52. Admit

Paragraphs 53 and 54. Deny

I vehemently deny any allegation that they received nothing of value for my services. Admittedly, Mrs. Ramirez was not happy with most of the answers she got but those answers were factual. She simply could not obtain what she wished to obtain so I spent many hours with her and her husband working on alternatives to address their concerns. The desire to form two LLC's was dropped because Mrs. Ramirez decided she did not want to pay the \$800 minimum tax per year for

each LLC. She changed her mind and the scope of the agreement. I conducted hours upon hours of 1 2 research into trademark law (mostly unbilled) to find alternative to the unpleasant fact, for her, that she could not trademark a proper name. The fact that they did not follow my advice is not actionable. 3 4 **COUNT TEN** 5 12-O-16850 Paragraphs 55-60. 6 Deny 7 **COUNT ELEVEN** 8 12-O-16850 9 Paragraph 61. Deny legal conclusion 10 Paragraph 63. Admit Paragraph 64. Neither admit nor deny 11 12 Paragraph 65. Admit 13 Paragraph 66. Admit 14 Paragraph 67. Deny 15 COUNT TWELVE 16 12-O-16850 17 Paragraph 68. Deny legal conclusion Paragraph 70. No personal information. Mr Carlone represented to me that he represented the 18 19 Ramirez' but I have no personal knowledge of that fact. I do know that Mr. Carlone threatened me 20 with a Bar complaint if I did not capitulate completely with his demands. 21 Paragraph 71. Admit 22 Paragraph 72. Admit 23 I agreed to a full refund at the time to avoid having to deal with the Ramirez's again. My 24 wife is forced to see Kathy Ramirez on a daily basis and I wanted to see this matter resolved as quickly as possible. Unfortunately, I was not able to make all of the agreed upon payments. 25 26 Paragraph 73. Deny 27

Paragraph 74. Admit

Paragraph 75. Deny

### **CONCLUSION**

Many clients over the past 21 years have been more than satisfied with my work and my representation of them. The pattern of which Bar counsel speaks is a narrow of window of time within that 21 years.

I have been undergoing therapy for the last 8 months to help me deal with emotional issues that have been plaguing me for the last several years. I freely admit that these issues have greatly impacted, but not in any way justified, my lack of judgement. The progress I have made has given me the tools to deal with these issues as well as a support system that has been lacking.

June 7, 2013

Hal E. Wright, Member

**EXHIBIT** 

A

2008-05-19 13:49 BLUE COVE INVESTIGAT

9164286803 >>

6196880088

P 1/1

			CIV-110	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name and Address)	TELEPHONE NO.:	FOR COURT USE C	MLY	
W. Jeffery Fulton (State Bar # 089527)	(619) 688-0018 FAX NO.:			
Law Office of W. Jeffery Fulton	(619) 688-0088			
1545 Flotel Circle South, Suite 240	(011) 111	İ	n	
San Diego, CA 92108	·	YOLO SUPERIOR	COURT	
ATTORNEY FOR Name: Plaintiff Lyon Financial Services				
Insert natural of court and nature of judicinel statistics and therein court, if arise, SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNT	V OF VOLO	MAY 1 9 20	NS.	
Central Branch	I OF TOLO	ay L. CORRE	A	
PLAINTIFFIFETITIONER: Lyon Financial Services,	Inc.	DEPUTY		
DEFENDANTI RESPONDENT: Davis Musical Theatre Co	LIFE IN A COUNTY			
bet bearing interpolation, traylo intusted i negate Co	япрацу			
REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL Personal Injury, Property Damage, or Wrongful De		CASE NUMBER;		
) <del></del>	ath	TOL NUMBER,		
Motor Vehicle		ett 100 10		
Emirent Donain		CV 07-10	2	
Other (apecity): Collections				
- A conformed copy will not be returned by the clerk	unless a method of return	is provided with the docu	nent	
1. TO THE CLERK: Please diamles this action as follows;				
a. (1) With prejudice (2) X Without prejudice				
b. (1) Complaint (2) Petition				
(3) Cross-complaint filed by (name):		on (date):		
(4) Cross-complaint filed by (name):		on (dale):		
(5) X Entire action of all parties and all causes of act	ion	• •		
(6) Other (specify):*				
Date: May   6 ,2008				
• •	111	the state of the s		
W. Jeffery Fulton				
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF X ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	(SIGNATURE)			
"If diamissal requirible is of specified parties only of specified causes of action only, or of specified cross-complaints only, so state and liberary the porties, causes of action, or cross-complaints to be diaminated.	d attorney for:			
THE POWER COUNTY OF COUNTY TO PROPERTY OF COUNTY OF COUN	X Piaintiff/Pelitioner Cross - complaint		вропавлі	
2. TO THE CLERK: Consent to the above dismissel is hereby given.**				
Date;	Division.			
•	•			
ITYPE OR PRINT NAME OF ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY		(BIGNATURE)		
"If a cross-completint-or Response (Family Law) seeking efficients relief is on file, the atterney for cross-complainant (respondent) must				
with the consent a reduited by Code of Civil Subsequite section 861 (t)	Plaintiff/Petitioner		spondent	
or (j).	Crass - complains	ant		
(To be completed by clerk)				
The second secon	9 2006			
4. Dismissal entered on (date):	as to only (name):			
5. Dismissal not entered as requested for the following	g reasons (specify):			
6. 7. Attorney or party without attorney notified on (date	ite			
b. Attorney or party without attorney not notified, Fill	was name . Eathard for name later	Vallance or substitutes		
a copy to conformed meens to return	conformed copy	James B. Perry		
MAY 1 9 7000	lerk, by	CORHEIA	, Deputy	
From Advance for Manufactory uses		Chita of Plant	Page 1 of 1	
Judical Council of Carlottile  GRA110 [Rev. Jonathy 1, 2007]	OR DISMISSAL	CAL R	Procedure, § 561 of 1973, drs. of Court, rule 3.1340 www.courtmits.co.per	