

**FILED**

**MAR 28 2013**

STATE BAR COURT  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
LOS ANGELES

1 LISA L. SCHULTZ, State Bar No. 182098  
2 8222 Santa Margarita Lane  
3 La Palma, California 90623  
4 Telephone: (949) 303-5066

5 In Pro Per

6 **STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA**  
7 **HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES**

8 In the Matter of	)	Case No. 13-C-10416
	)	
9 <b>LISA L. SCHULTZ,</b>	)	<b>RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF</b>
10 No. 182098,	)	<b>HEARING ON CONVICTION</b>
	)	
11 A Member of the State Bar.	)	(Rule 5.345(B), Rules Proc. of State Bar)
	)	

12  
13  
14 In response to the Notice of Hearing on Conviction and Notice To Respondent filed and  
15 served in this matter on March 14, 2013, Respondent admits that she was convicted on August  
16 23, 2012, of driving on a suspended license, a misdemeanor, in violation of Vehicle Code  
17 Section 14601.1(a). Said conviction was the result of a plea agreement made in Orange County  
18 Superior Court Case No. 12HF0274.

19 Respondent further alleges that during the pendency of Case No. 12HF0274 and at the  
20 time of entering a plea agreement for the December 21, 2011 violation of driving on a  
21 suspended license, there were other infractions pending, such as a violation for talking on a cell  
22 phone while driving, (violation date 05-24-11), a violation for driving on a suspended license,  
23 violation date 03-11-12, and a violation for not having a dog license and/or evidence of current  
24 vaccinations (violation date 03-07-12), and possibly others. After appearing at no less than  
25 thirteen (13) hearings, where the case dragged on and on -- even after the district attorney's



1 office admitted it had no evidence to support the most serious charges (which resulted in  
2 Respondent having to post a \$100,000 bond), Respondent was presented with, and signed, a  
3 plea agreement. After the agreement was signed, Respondent noticed the agreement  
4 contained not one, but two violations for driving on a suspended license. Respondent's attorney  
5 never informed respondent the plea agreement would include a second similar offense. This is  
6 important because respondent and her attorney never discussed the facts surrounding the  
7 second citation. Respondent attempted to protest the inclusion of the second offense in the  
8 plea agreement because she wanted to contest that case on the basis she was permitted to  
9 operate a motor vehicle in the course and scope of employment. Respondent was pulled over  
10 and cited on 03-11-12 while on her way back from court one afternoon. However, respondent's  
11 protests were minimized and not taken seriously. Her attorney and the co-defendant in the case  
12 wanted to end the matter right then and there and the D.A. required a global settlement. It was  
13 close to the court's 12:00 p.m. lunch break and the co-defendant and the attorney did not want  
14 to return at 1:30 after the lunch break. They were satisfied a good result had been achieved  
15 insofar as the most serious charges were being dismissed, which completely disposed of the  
16 matter as to the co-defendant, and that I should be happy with the result. In fact, they continued  
17 to walk away as Respondent protested. Respondent felt pressured and coerced into just  
18 accepting the plea in order to resolve the case and stop the never ending appearances and  
19 continuances of pre-trial hearings.

20 Respondent denies that the facts and circumstances surrounding her misdemeanor  
21 violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.1(a) (driving on a suspended license) on March 11,  
22 2012 involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline within the meaning of  
23 Business and Professions Code sections 6101, subdivision (a) and 6102, subdivision (e).

24 ///

25 ///

1 Respondent hereby requests a hearing on the issues referred to this Court in the above-  
2 entitled proceeding.

3 Respectfully submitted,

4 Dated: March 22, 2013

5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 Lisa L. Schultz, Respondent  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE:

I am a resident of the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my address is 8222 Santa Margarita Lane, La Palma, California 90623.

On March 25, 2013 I served a copy of the following document(s) described as **RESPONSE OF LISA SCHULTZ TO NOTICE OF HEARING ON CONVICTION** on the interested parties:

KIM KASRELIOVICH, ESQ.  
DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL  
1149 South Hill Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299  
Telephone: (213) 765-1378

BY MAIL - I deposited the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the U.S. Mail at Cypress, California, addressed as set forth above. I am readily familiar with the collection and processing of correspondence for Lisa L. Schultz. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed valid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE - I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered by hand to the aforementioned addressee(s).

BY OVERNIGHT COURIER- I caused such envelope(s) to be delivered to, or picked up by, an overnight courier overnight delivery service and delivered to the aforementioned addressee(s) the following business day.

BY TELECOPIER - I caused such document(s) to be transmitted to the telephone numbers indicated on the attached list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 25, 2013, at La Palma, California.

  
Mark J. Chelini