

(Do not write above this line.)

**State Bar Court of California
Hearing Department
San Francisco
ACTUAL SUSPENSION**

Counsel For The State Bar

**Jonathan Ceseña
Deputy Trial Counsel
180 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 538-2183**

Bar # 289721

Case Number(s):

**13-H-13287;
13-O-16821**

For Court use only

PUBLIC MATTER

FILED

APR 18 2014

In Pro Per Respondent

**Frank Benjamin Inglis
313 Chickadee Lane
Sequim, WA 98382
(Telephone number not available)**

Bar # 66282

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

Submitted to: **Settlement Judge**

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

ACTUAL SUSPENSION

PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

In the Matter of:

FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS

Bar # 66282

A Member of the State Bar of California
(Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **December 15, 1975**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **12** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Effective January 1, 2014)



[Handwritten mark]

(Do not write above this line.)

- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
 - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: **two billing cycles from the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter.** (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
 - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 - Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline**
- (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case **12-H-17089. See "Aggravating Circumstances" in the Stipulation Attachment at page 9**
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective **May 10, 2013.**
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: **Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-110 (failing to comply with reproof conditions)**
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline **Two years' stayed suspension.**
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.

See "Aggravating Circumstances" in the Stipulation Attachment at page 8.
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. **See "Aggravating Circumstances" in the Stipulation Attachment at page 9.**
- (8) **Restitution:** Respondent failed to make restitution.
- (9) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Pre-trial Stipulation. See "Mitigating Circumstances" in the Stipulation Attachment at page 9

D. Discipline:

- (1) **Stayed Suspension:**
 - (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of **Two years**.
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
 - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
 - iii. and until Respondent does the following:
 - (b) The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

- (2) **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **Three years**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

- (3) **Actual Suspension:**

- (a) Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **Two years**.
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
 - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
 - iii. and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without**

further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.

No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2) **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3) **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) **Other Conditions:**

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS

CASE NUMBER: 13-H-13287; 13-O-16821

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 13-H-13287 (Violation of Condition of Repeval)

FACTS:

1. On February 10, 2012, the State Bar Court issued an order imposing a public reprovall on Respondent in State Bar Case No. 11-O-16554. Respondent was required to comply with conditions attached to the reprovall for one year after its effective date. The conditions attached to the reprovall were specified in the reprovall order. Shortly thereafter, Respondent received the reprovall order.

2. The reprovall and order became effective March 7, 2012, and remained in full force and effect until March 7, 2013.

3. Pursuant to the reprovall order, Respondent was ordered to comply with the following conditions:

- a. Submit written quarterly reports to Office of Probation on April 10, 2012, July 10, 2012, October 10, 2012, and January 10, 2013;
- b. Submit a written final report to Office of Probation no later than March 7, 2013; and
- c. Provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE") not later than March 7, 2013.

4. Respondent failed to submit the quarterly report due on January 10, 2013.

5. Respondent failed to submit the final report due on March 7, 2013.

6. Respondent failed to take and provide proof of passage of the MPRE to the Office of Probation by March 7, 2013.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

7. By failing to submit the quarterly report due on January 10, 2013, by failing to submit the final report due on March 7, 2013, and by failing to provide proof of passage of the MPRE by March 7, 2013,

Respondent failed to comply with conditions attached to the public reproof in willful violation of rule 1-110 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 13-O-16821 (Violation of Condition of Probation)

FACTS:

8. On December 18, 2012, the State Bar Court approved a stipulation between Respondent and the State Bar and issued an order recommending a two-year stayed suspension and two years' probation in State Bar case number 12-H-17089.

9. On April 10, 2013, the Supreme Court issued an order imposing discipline as set forth in the stipulation. Respondent received a copy of the order.

10. The Supreme Court Order took effect on May 10, 2013, and remains in full force and effect until May 10, 2015.

11. Pursuant to the Supreme Court Order, Respondent was ordered to comply with the following conditions of probation:

- a. Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss the terms and conditions of probation; and
- b. Submit written quarterly reports to Office of Probation on April 10, 2012, July 10, 2012, October 10, 2012, and January 10, 2013.

12. Respondent failed to timely schedule a meeting with the probation deputy within 30 days from the effective date of discipline. Respondent belatedly scheduled a meeting on November 14, 2013.

13. Respondent failed to timely submit the quarterly reports due on July 10, 2013, and October 10, 2013. Respondent attempted to submit the reports on November 28, 2013, but they were defective.

14. Respondent has since come into compliance with all of his reproof and probation conditions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

15. By failing to timely schedule a meeting with the probation deputy within 30 days from the effective date of discipline and by failing to timely submit the quarterly report due on July 10, 2013, and October 10, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with conditions attached to his probation in willful violation of section 6068(k) of the Business and Professions Code.

ADDITIONAL FACTS RE AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.5(a)): Respondent has two prior impositions of discipline. In Case No. 11-O-16554, Respondent failed to perform with competence in part, by failing to finalize a settlement and secure the distribution of settlement proceeds, and by failing to inform his client of a significant development in a personal injury matter. Respondent also failed to update his membership records address. The State Bar Court ordered that Respondent be publicly reprovved with conditions, effective March 7, 2012.

In Case No. 12-H-17089, Respondent failed to comply with reprovval conditions by failing to contact the Office of Probation to schedule a required meeting and failing to submit three quarterly reports. The Court ordered a two-year stayed suspension with probation conditions, effective May 10, 2013.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)): Respondent's violation of numerous conditions of his reprovval and probation represents multiple acts of misconduct.

ADDITIONAL FACTS RE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Pre-trial Stipulation: Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a full stipulation with the Office of Chief Trial Counsel prior to trial, thereby saving State Bar Court time and resources. (*In the Matter of Downey* (Review Dept. 2009) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 151, 156; *In the Matter of Van Sickle* (Review Dept. 2006) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 980, 993-994.)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. Of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. For Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.)

The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (*In re Silvertown* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (*In re Naney* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) Any discipline recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure. (Std. 1.1; *Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary

purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter, Respondent admits to committing two acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a Respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed." The most severe sanction applicable to Respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.10, which applies to Respondent's violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(k).

Standard 2.10 provides that: "Actual suspension is appropriate for failing to comply with a condition of discipline. The degree of sanction depends on the nature of the condition violated and the member's unwillingness or inability to comply with disciplinary orders."

Here, Respondent violated three reprobation conditions and three probation conditions. In case no. 13-H-13287, Respondent failed to timely submit his January 10, 2013 quarterly report, failed to timely submit his March 7, 2013 final report, and failed to provide proof of passage of the MPRE by January 10, 2013. In case no. 13-O-16821, Respondent failed to contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss the terms and conditions of probation within 30 days of the discipline order becoming effective, failed to timely submit his July 10, 2013 quarterly report, and failed to timely submit his October 10, 2013 quarterly report. Although Respondent's misconduct is serious, he has since come into compliance with his probation conditions and shown a willingness and ability to comply with discipline orders.

In aggravation, Respondent has two prior records of discipline. Also in aggravation, Respondent committed multiple acts of misconduct by violating the conditions of his reprobation and probation. In mitigation, Respondent entered into this pre-trial stipulation. Based on the serious and repetitive nature of Respondent's misconduct, a long actual suspension is warranted.

Standard 1.8(b) also applies in this matter because Respondent has two prior records of discipline. Standard 1.8(b) states: "If a member has two or more prior records of discipline, disbarment is appropriate in the following circumstances, unless the most compelling mitigating circumstances clearly predominate or the misconduct underlying the prior discipline occurred during the same time period as the current misconduct: 1. Actual suspension was ordered in any one of the prior disciplinary matters; 2. The prior disciplinary matters coupled with the current record demonstrate a pattern of misconduct; or 3. The prior disciplinary matters coupled with the current record demonstrate the member's unwillingness or inability to conform to ethical responsibilities."

Although Respondent has two priors, they do not fit within the criteria enumerated under standard 1.8(b), which would require disbarment. Respondent has not received an actual suspension for any prior discipline and the misconduct taken as a whole does not demonstrate a pattern of misconduct. While Respondent initially failed to comply with his ethical responsibilities, he has since come into compliance and has demonstrated a willingness and ability to conform in the future.

On balance, a two-year actual suspension, with a three year probationary period, and a requirement that Respondent prove rehabilitation, present fitness to practice law, and present learning and ability in the general law under standard 1.2(c)(1), will serve the purposes of attorney discipline.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of April 7, 2014, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$5,418.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may not receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)


(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS	Case number(s): 13-H-13287; 13-O-16821
--	---

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

APRIL 3, 2014  Frank Benjamin Inglis
Date Respondent's Signature Print Name

Date Respondent's Counsel Signature Print Name
4.7.14  Jonathan Ceseña
Date Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS	Case Number(s): 13-H-13287; 13-O-16821
--	---

ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

1. On p. 2, par. B. (1)(d) Degree of prior discipline – Add “And two years’ probation.”
2. On p. 2, par. B. (1)(e) – Delete “page 8” and insert “page 9.”
3. On p. 4, par. D. (1)(a) – Delete the box checked for paragraph i. It’s unnecessary for stayed suspension.
4. On p. 8, par. 11. b. – The due dates for the quarterly reports are corrected to read as follows: “April 10, 2013; July 10, 2013; October 10, 2013; and January 10, 2014.”

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

April 18, 2014
Date

Lucy M
LUCY ARMENDARIZ
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, On April 18, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

FRANK BENJAMIN INGLIS
313 CHICKADEE LN
SEQUIM, WA 98382

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Jonathan R. Cesena, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 18, 2014.


Laurretta Cramer
Case Administrator
State Bar Court