	Bar Court of Californ Hearing Department	nia				
ACTUAL SUSPENSION						
Counsel For The State Bar Erin McKeown Joyce Senior Trial Counsel 845 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1356 Bar <b># 149946</b> In Pro Per Respondent	Case Number(s): 13-H-15973	For Court use only FILED APR 17 2014 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES				
Mary Joyce Hilyard 14401 Sylvan Street, #102 Van Nuys, CA 91401 (818) 988-5808	PU	<b>BLIC MATTER</b>				
	Submitted to: Settlement Judge					
Bar <b># 69008</b>	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING					
In the Matter of: MARY JOYCE HILYARD	DISPOSITION AND ORDER	APPROVING				
	ACTUAL SUSPENSION					
Bar <b># 69008</b>	PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED					
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)						

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

## A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **June 25, 1976**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **10** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Effective January 1, 2014) ž128/14



**Actual Suspension** 

**ODICINIA** 

1

- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
  - Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
  - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: two billing cycles immediately following the effective date of the Supreme Court Order in this matter. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.

- B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.
- (1)  $\square$  Prior record of discipline
  - (a) X State Bar Court case # of prior case **99-O-13140**
  - (b) Date prior discipline effective April 8, 2001
  - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Rule of Professional Conduct 3-110(A) for failure to perform with competence.
  - (d) Degree of prior discipline **Private Reproval.**
  - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.

In State Bar Court Case No. 12-O-10619, effective July 10, 2012, Respondent stipulated to one count of violating Business and Professions Code section 6103 for failure to obey a court order. The level of discipline was a private reproval.

See page 7 of the Attachment to the Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition for a fuller explanation of the prior discipline.

- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **Restitution:** Respondent failed to make restitution.
- (9) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

#### Additional aggravating circumstances:

# C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.

- (11) Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

#### Additional mitigating circumstances:

Prefiling stipulation - See page 8 of the Attachment to the Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition for a fuller explanation and factual basis for this mitigating circumstance.

#### D. Discipline:

- (1) Stayed Suspension:
  - (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
    - i.  $\square$  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
    - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
    - iii. and until Respondent does the following:
  - (b) X The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

#### (2) $\boxtimes$ **Probation**:

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **two years**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

#### (3) $\square$ Actual Suspension:

- (a) Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **30 days**.
  - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
  - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
  - iii. 🔲 and until Respondent does the following:

#### E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

(1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

- (2) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
  - No Ethics School recommended. Reason:

## Respondent completed Ethics School on October 25, 2012 in connection with Case No. 12-O-10619. (See rule 5.135(A), Rules Proc. of State Bar.)

- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

Substance Abuse Conditions	Law Office Management Conditions
Medical Conditions	Financial Conditions

## F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

(1) Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.

No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2) Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3) Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) Other Conditions:

## ATTACHMENT TO

## STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: MARY JOYCE HILYARD

CASE NUMBER: 13-H-15973

## FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified Rule of Professional Conduct.

#### Case No. 13-H-15973 (Reproval Violation Matter)

FACTS:

1. Respondent entered into a stipulation for a private reproval in State Bar Case no. 12-O-10619, wherein Respondent admitted to a single count of violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103, for failing to obey a court order. The private reproval was imposed by the State Bar Court effective July 10, 2012 and had terms and conditions attached which were in effect for one year.

2. Under the terms of the private reproval, Respondent was required to pay outstanding sanctions in the amount of \$1,500 to the Los Angeles County Superior Court by March 19, 2013.

3. Respondent failed to timely pay \$1,500 sanctions to the Los Angeles Superior Court by March 19, 2013. Respondent paid the sanctions on July 5, 2013.

4. Under the terms of the private reproval, Respondent was required to take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE") within one year of the effective date of the reproval.

5. Respondent has never passed the MPRE. Respondent took the April 2013 MPRE, but did not pass the test.

6. Respondent was also required to submit quarterly reports during her one year reproval period, which she did.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

7. By not paying the sanctions of \$1,500 to the Los Angeles Superior Court by March 19, 2013, and by not passing the MPRE by July 10, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with the conditions attached to a private reproval in wilful violation of Rule of Professional Conduct 1-110.

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.5(a)):** Respondent has two prior impositions of discipline. Respondent's first imposition of discipline in State Bar Case No. 99-O-13140 was a private reproval.

7

which was effective April 8, 2001, for a single count of failing to perform with competence in violation of Rule of Professional Conduct 3-110(A). The second imposition of discipline in State Bar Case No. 12-O-10619 was also a private reproval, effective July 10, 2012, for a single count of failing to comply with a court's sanction order in violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103. In the second discipline matter, Respondent was required to pay the \$1,500 sanctions to the Los Angeles Superior Court by March 19, 2013, but she failed to timely pay those sanctions, which were not paid until July 3, 2013. Respondent was also required to take and pass the MPRE, which she did not do. Her failure to comply with these two conditions of her reproval in the second discipline matter resulted in the present case.

## MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

#### **Additional Mitigating Circumstances:**

**Prefiling Stipulation:** Respondent met with the State Bar and resolved this matter prior to the filing of charges. Respondent's stipulation to the facts, culpability, and discipline is a mitigating circumstance. (See *Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigating credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability.])

## **AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.**

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (*In re Naney* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; *Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Standard 2.10 is the Standard applicable to violations of conditions attached to discipline. Under Standard 2.10, the appropriate sanction is actual suspension. Pursuant to Standard 2.10, "[t]he degree of

the sanction depends on the nature of the condition violated and the member's willingness or inability to comply with disciplinary orders."

Here Respondent delayed in paying the \$1,500 sanctions owed to the Los Angeles Superior Court, which formed the basis for Respondent's violation of the court order in violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103 in State Bar Case No. 12-O-10619. She was required to pay those sanctions by March 19, 2013, but did not pay them until July 3, 2013, several months late. She was also required to take and pass the MPRE within her one year reproval period. She did not do so.

While Respondent does have two prior impositions of discipline, and Standard 1.8(b) prescribes disbarment for a third imposition of discipline in some circumstances, Standard 1.8(b) has no application here. Under standard 1.8(b):

If a member has two or more prior records of discipline, disbarment is appropriate in the following circumstances, unless the most compelling mitigating circumstances clearly predominate or the misconduct underlying the prior discipline occurred during the same time period as the current misconduct:

- 1. Actual suspension was ordered in any one of the prior disciplinary matters;
- 2. The prior disciplinary matters coupled with the current record demonstrate a pattern of misconduct; or
- 3. The prior disciplinary matters coupled with the current record demonstrate the member's unwillingness or inability to conform to ethical responsibilities.

Here, Respondent's two prior impositions of discipline are both private reprovals, there is no demonstrated pattern of misconduct, and there is no demonstrated unwillingness or inability to conform to ethical responsibilities. Respondent belatedly complied with the requirement to pay the \$1,500 sanction. She also filed the quarterly reports required as a reproval condition. She timely sat for the MPRE in April 2013, but did not pass. These facts establish Respondent has the willingness and ability to conform to her ethical responsibilities.

Considering the nature of the two conditions violated by Respondent and Respondent's willingness and ability to comply with her ethical responsibilities, imposition of a 30-day actual suspension is the appropriate discipline. Here, the only condition remaining from her most recent private reproval which Respondent must meet is to take and pass the MPRE. A 30-day actual suspension will be sufficient to fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which are the protection of the public, the maintenance of the highest professional standards and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession.

## **EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT**

Pursuant to Rule 3201 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School.

In the Matter of:
MARY JOYCE HILYARD

Case number(s):	
13-H-15973	

## SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

4/1/14 ( Date	Respondent's Signature	Mary Joyce Hilyard Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
April 3, 2014 Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Erin McKeown Joyce Print Name

In the Matter of:	
MARY JOYCE HILYARD	

Case Number(s): 13-H-15973

## **ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER**

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

<u>ڳ</u>

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

PRIL 17, 2014

Date

GEORGE E. SCOTT, JUDGE PRO TEM Judge of the State Bar Court

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 17, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

## STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

## MARY JOYCE HILYARD 14401 SYLVAN ST #102 VAN NUYS, CA 91401

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

#### ERIN M. JOYCE, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 17, 2014.

Tammy Cleaver Case Administrator State Bar Court