PUBLIC MATTER

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles ACTUAL SUSPENSION

ORIGINAL

ACTUAL SUSPENSION Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 13-N-13292-RAP Adriana M. Burger Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 FILED (213) 765-1229 DEC 0.4 2013 Bar # 92534 STATE BAR COURT **CLERK'S OFFICE** Counsel For Respondent LOS ANGELES Paul J. Virgo 5200 W. Century Blvd. Suite 345 Los Angeles, Ca 90045 Submitted to: Assigned Judge (310) 642-6900 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 67900 **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** In the Matter of: TANYA CORA ZEROUNIAN ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 235207 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted January 3, 2005.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.

(Effective January 1, 2011)

kwiktag* 152 145 609

(Do r	ot write	e abov	re this line.)		
(4)					
(5)	Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".				
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."				
(7)	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):				
		rel Co (H Re Co Co	atil costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless ief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure. Sets are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: ardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If espondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar part, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Sets are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".		
F		essi	ting Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.		
(1)	\boxtimes	Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]		
	(a)	\boxtimes	State Bar Court case # of prior case 11-O-11437; 11-O-15689, 12-O-10217 (\$207565). Please see page 8 of the Attachment for more information about the prior discipline.		
	(b)	\boxtimes	Date prior discipline effective April 6, 2013		
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Two counts of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i) (failing to cooperate); three counts of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m) (failing to communicate); three counts of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) (failing to perform with competence); and three counts of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) (failing to return unearned fees).		
	(d)	\boxtimes	Degree of prior discipline: Two-year suspension, stayed; 18-month probation with conditions		

(2)

If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.

Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty,

concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

including six-month actual suspension.

(Do not write above this line.)					
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.			
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.			
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.			
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Add	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:			
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.			
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			

(Do not write above this line.)						
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.				
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.				
(13)		No n	nitigating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al miti	igating circumstances:			
circu		re-tric ance	al Stipulation: Please see page 8 of the Attachment for a factual basis for this mitigating			
D. D	isci	ipline) :			
(1)	(1) Stayed Suspension:					
	(a)	\boxtimes	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two (2) years.			
		i.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.			
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			
		iii.	and until Respondent does the following:			
	(b)	\boxtimes	The above-referenced suspension is stayed.			
(2)	\boxtimes	Probation:				
Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of three (3) years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)						
(3)	\boxtimes	Actual Suspension:				
	(a)	\boxtimes	Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of one year.			
		i.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct			
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			
		iii.	and until Respondent does the following:			
E. A	ddi	tiona	l Conditions of Probation:			

(Do n	ot write	above	this line.)	··		
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions	
F. C	ther	Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Parties	s:		
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.				
adm one	iniste year,	satis red b whic	sfactory proof of passage of the Multist by the National Conference of Bar Exar	ate Pro niners e disci	, during the period of actual suspension or within pline imposed on March 7, 2013, in case	
(2)	\boxtimes	Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.				
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.				
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:				
(5)		Oth	er Conditions:			
			•			

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

TANYA CORA ZEROUNIAN

CASE NUMBER:

13-N-13292

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified Rule of Court.

Case No. 13-N-13292 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

- 1. On March 7, 2013, the California Supreme Court filed Order No. S207565 (hereinafter "9.20 Order"). The 9.20 Order included a requirement that Respondent comply with rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, by performing the acts specified in subdivision (a) within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the 9.20 Order and by filing an affidavit with the Clerk of the State Bar Court as required under subdivision (c) within forty (40) days of the effective date of the 9.20 Order.
- 2. On March 7, 2013, the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of California properly served upon Respondent a copy of the 9.20 Order. Respondent received the 9.20 Order.
- 3. The 9.20 Order became effective on April 6, 2013, thirty days after the 9.20 Order was filed. Pursuant to the 9.20 Order, Respondent was to comply with subdivision (a) of rule 9.20 no later than May 6, 2013, and was to comply with subdivision (c) of rule 9.20 no later than May 16, 2013.
- 4. Respondent tardily filed her declaration of compliance required by subdivision (c) of rule 9.20 on June 24, 2013, 39 days late.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

5. By failing to file the declaration of compliance with rule 9.20 in conformity with the requirements of rule 9.20 subdivision (c) within forty (40) days of the effective date of the 9.20 Order, Respondent willfully failed to timely comply with the provisions of the 9.20 Order and therefore willfully violated rule 9.20, California Rules of Court.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.2(b)(i)): Respondent has one prior imposition of discipline in State Bar cases 11-O-11437, 11-O-15680, and 12-O-10217 (Supreme Court Order S207565). The prior discipline became effective April 6, 2013 and includes a two-year suspension, stayed, and an 18-month probation with conditions including a six-month actual suspension and restitution to three clients totaling \$5,600.00 plus interest.

In her prior discipline, Respondent stipulated to two violations of Business and Professions Code section 6068(i) (failing to cooperate), three violations of Business and Professions Code section 6068(m) (failing to communicate), three violations of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) (failing to perform legal services with competence), and three violations of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(2) (failing to return unearned fees). Respondent's misconduct involved three client matters and occurred from November 2009 to December 2010. In each instance, Respondent failed to prepare and file clients' bankruptcy petitions, respond to inquiries about the clients' cases, and return unearned fees. Respondent also failed to cooperate in two of the State Bar investigations based on the clients' complaints. Respondent received limited mitigation due to the fact that she was experiencing marital problems during the period that the misconduct occurred.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Pre-trial stipulation: Respondent admitted her misconduct and entered into this stipulation fully resolving this matter prior to the trial in this matter, thus saving State Bar resources and time. Respondent's stipulation is a mitigating circumstance. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigating credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability]; In the *Matter of Spaith* (Review Dept. 1996) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 511, 521.)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide a "process of fixing discipline" pursuant to a set of written principles to "better discharge the purposes of attorney discipline as announced by the Supreme Court." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, Introduction (all further references to standards are to this source).) The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings and of the sanctions imposed are "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession." (*In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205; std. 1.3.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) Any discipline recommendation different from that set forth in the applicable standards should clearly explain the reasons for the deviation. (Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn.5.)

The standard for assessing discipline for a violation of rule 9.20 is set out in the rule itself. Rule 9.20(d) states, in pertinent part: "A suspended member's willful failure to comply

with the provisions of this rule is a cause for disbarment or suspension and for revocation of any pending probation."

Standard 1.7(a) requires that Respondent's discipline in the current proceeding shall be greater than that imposed in the prior proceeding unless the prior was so remote in time and the misconduct involved was so minimal in severity that imposing greater discipline would be unjust. Respondent's prior discipline, effective April 6, 2013, included a two-year stayed suspension with an 18-month probation with conditions including a six-month actual suspension. The prior discipline was not remote in time and the misconduct it addressed was serious. Accordingly, pursuant to standard 1.7(a), the current discipline must be greater than Respondent's prior discipline.

Respondent has agreed to enter into a pretrial stipulation which demonstrates Respondent's acceptance of responsibility for the misconduct and prevents unnecessary expenditure of resources. Applying the standards in the this case, a two-year suspension, stayed, coupled with a three-year period of probation with conditions including a one-year actual suspension is appropriate and will serve the purposes of discipline pursuant to standard 1.3 which are the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession, the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys, and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of October 29, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,432.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

In the Matter of: TANYA CORA ZEROUNIAN	Case number(s): 13-N-13292			

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

11-1-20B		TANYA CORA ZEROUNIAN
Date, /	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
11/5/2013	Yaul J. Vingo	PAUL J. VIRGO
Date / /	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
11/6/2013	Arlean . Pay	ADRIANA M. BURGER
Date (Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

RICHARD A. PLATEL

Judge of the State Bar Court

12-4-2013

Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on December 4, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

PAUL JEAN VIRGO 9909 TOPANGA BLVD # 282 CHATSWORTH, CA 91311

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Adriana Margaret Burger, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles California, or December 4, 2013.

Johnnie Lee Smith Case Administrator

State Bar Court