

FILED *LB*

JUL 20 2015

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
SAN FRANCISCO

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO

In the Matter of	)	Case No.: 13-O-10745 (S217799)
	)	
<b>JENS EDWARD HOEKENDIJK,</b>	)	<b>ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND</b>
	)	<b>DENYING IN PART MOTION TO</b>
<b>Member No. 161001,</b>	)	<b>EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO TAKE</b>
	)	<b>AND PASS THE MPRE</b>
A Member of the State Bar.	)	
_____	)	

On June 15, 2015, respondent Jens Edward Hoekendijk (respondent), by and through his attorney, Samuel C. Bellicini, filed a motion for an extension of time to take and pass the Multistate Responsibility Examination (motion).<sup>1</sup> In his motion, respondent states that although he attempted to register in February 2015, for the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination (MPRE), which was offered in March 2015, that “test date was unavailable.”<sup>2</sup> He did, however, note that the MPRE will be offered on August 15 and November 7, 2015, as well as on March 19, 2016. Consequently, respondent requests that the court grant him an extension of time to take and pass the Multistate Responsibility Examination (MPRE) until April 26 2016,

---

<sup>1</sup> The court notes that respondent has incorrectly stated his State Bar member number in the caption of his motion. Respondent’s State Bar member number is not 16001. Another State Bar member has been assigned that number.

<sup>2</sup> Respondent fails to clarify why the test date was “unavailable.”



i.e., until after the release of the results of the March 19, 2016 examination. Respondent further notes that he has registered to take the August 15, 2015 examination.

On June 17, 2015, the State Bar Office of Probation (Office of Probation) filed a response to respondent's motion, stating its opposition to extending the time for respondent to take the MPRE.


Having carefully considered respondent's motion and the State Bar's opposition thereto, the court concludes that respondent's request to take and provide proof of passage of the MPRE is consistent with protecting the public; the member's successful rehabilitation; and maintaining the integrity of the legal profession. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.300(B).)

Nonetheless, the court finds no good cause to grant more than a nine month extension of time in which to provide proof of passage of the MPRE. Thus, respondent's request for an extension of time until April 26, 2016, in which to provide proof of passage of the MPRE is **DENIED**.

However, good cause having been shown, **respondent's motion to extend the time within which to take and pass the MPRE is GRANTED until December 22, 2015.** Respondent must, therefore, provide proof of passage of the MPRE no later than December 22, 2015.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 20, 2015

  
PAT McELROY  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, On July 20, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH TO TAKE AND PASS THE MPRE

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

SAMUEL C. BELLICINI  
FISHKIN & SLATTER, LLP  
1575 TREAT BLVD  
STE 215  
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TERRIE GOLDADE , PROBATION, LOS ANGELES

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on July 20, 2015.



Laretta Cramer  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court