(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department PUBLIC MATTER **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 13-O-10932-RAH R. Kevin Bucher 13-O-11678-RAH Deputy Trial Counsel FILED 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299 (213)765-1630 SEP 04 2013 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Bar # 132003 LOS ANGELES In Pro Per Respondent Martin Ian Cutler 106 1/2 Judge John Aiso Street Suite 108 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Submitted to: Settlement Judge (858)472-6331 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 139536 In the Matter of: **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** MARTIN IAN CUTLER ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 139536 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted May 11, 1989.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.

(Effective January



(Do n	ot write	above this line.)				
(4)		statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included nder "Facts."				
(5)	Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".					
(6)		The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."				
(7)	No pen	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)		nent of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & .7. (Check one option only):				
		Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure. Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.				
F	Profe	vating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for ssional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances quired.				
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]				
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case				
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective				
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:				
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline				
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.				
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.				
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.				
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.				

(Do no	ot write	e above this line.)		
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.		
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.		
(7)	\boxtimes	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See attachment, page 10.		
(8)	8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:		
		ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.		
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.		
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.		
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.		
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.		
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.		
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.		
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.		
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.		

(Do no	ot write	e above	this lin	e.)	
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)		No n	nitiga	ting circumstances are involved.	
Addi	tiona	al mit	igatin	g circumstances:	
	No prior discipline -See attachment, page 10.				
	Ρ	re-tric	al stipu	ulation - See attachment page 10.	
D. D	isci	ipline	e:		
(1)	\boxtimes	Stayed Suspension:			
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	ondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.	
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:	
	(b)	\boxtimes	The a	above-referenced suspension is stayed.	
(2)	\boxtimes	Prot	ation	:	
	Res	spond he Su	ent m	ust be placed on probation for a period of 2 years, which will commence upon the effective date court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)	
(3)	\boxtimes	Actual Suspension:			
	(a)	\boxtimes		oondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period days.	
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:	
E. A	١ddi	tiona	al Co	nditions of Probation:	
(1)		he/s	he pro	dent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until oves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the w, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	

(Do n	ot writ	e above this line.)					
(2)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.					
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.					
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must					
(5)		promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.					
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.					
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.					
(7)	\boxtimes	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.					
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.					
		☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .					
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.					
(10)	\boxtimes	The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:					
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions					
		☐ Medical Conditions ☐ Financial Conditions					
F. O	the	Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:					
(1)	\boxtimes	Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National					

(Do n	Do not write above this line.)			
		Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.		
	,	□ No MPRE recommended. Reason:		
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.		
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.		
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:		
(5)		Other Conditions:		

nancial Conditions Restitution Respondent must pay restitution payee(s) listed below. If the Cl or any portion of the principal a amount(s) paid, plus applicable Payee	lient Security Fund ("CSF") has imount(s) listed below, Respond	ont, plus interest of 10% per a reimbursed one or more of the	ne payee(s) for all
Restitution Respondent must pay restitution payee(s) listed below. If the CI or any portion of the principal a amount(s) paid, plus applicable	lient Security Fund ("CSF") has imount(s) listed below, Respond interest and costs.	reimbursed one or more of the state of the second restitution of the s	ne payee(s) for al
Respondent must pay restitution payee(s) listed below. If the Cl or any portion of the principal a amount(s) paid, plus applicable	lient Security Fund ("CSF") has imount(s) listed below, Respond interest and costs.	reimbursed one or more of the state of the second restitution of the s	ne payee(s) for all
payee(s) listed below. If the Cl or any portion of the principal a amount(s) paid, plus applicable	lient Security Fund ("CSF") has imount(s) listed below, Respond interest and costs.	reimbursed one or more of the state of the second restitution of the s	ne payee(s) for all
Payee	Principal Amount		
A		Interest Accrues From	
must provide satisfactory proof as otherwise directed by the Of	ve-referenced restitution on the following of payment to the Office of Prolifice of Probation. No later than link Respondent must make any ruding interest, in full.	bation with each quarterly pr 30 days prior to the expiration	obation report, or on of the period of
Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency	
	and the second s		
			J
If Respondent fails to pay any in the remaining balance is due a	installment as described above, ind payable immediately.	or as may be modified by th	e State Bar Court
Client Funds Certificate			
report, Respondent mu public accountant or ot	ses client funds at any time durir ust file with each required report ther financial professional appro	a certificate from Responde ved by the Office of Probation	nt and/or a certific on, certifying that:
California, at a bra	naintained a bank account in a b nch located within the State of 0 nt" or "Clients' Funds Account";	ank authorized to do busine California, and that such acc	ss in the State of ount is designate

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account:
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
- iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
- iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

MARTIN IAN CUTLER

CASE NUMBERS:

13-O-10932-RAH; 13-O-11678-RAH

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 13-O-10932-RAH; 13-O-11678-RAH

FACTS:

- 1. At all relevant times to the stipulated facts herein, Respondent maintained a client trust account at GBC International Bank (the "CTA"). At all relevant times to the stipulated facts herein, Respondent maintained only earned fees in the CTA, and no client funds.
- 2. During the period between November 27, 2012 and February 27, 2013, Respondent issued nine checks from the CTA to pay his personal expenses. Seven of these checks were then presented for payment against insufficient funds. On each such occasion, Respondent had issued the subject check before allowing deposits (consisting of earned attorney's fees) to clear the account, as Respondent knew or should have known.
- 3. During the course of the State Bar's investigation of Respondent's misconduct herein, a State Bar investigator mailed two letters to Respondent, each letter asking that he provide a written, substantive response to the allegations under investigation by the State Bar by a date certain. Respondent received both letters. Respondent never responded to the letters sent by the State Bar investigator.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 4. By depositing and maintaining earned fees in the CTA, and by issuing checks from the CTA to pay for his personal expenses, Respondent deposited funds belonging to Respondent in a bank account labeled "Trust Account," "Client's Funds Account" or words of similar import, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).
- 5. By writing checks from his client trust account when he knew or should have known there were insufficient funds in the account to cover the checks, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.
- 6. By not providing a written, substantive response to the State Bar investigator's letters, Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code 6068(i).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.2(b)(ii)): On several occasions, Respondent issued checks from the CTA to pay for his personal expenses; Respondent also issued checks from the CTA when he knew or should have known that there were insufficient funds in the account to cover the checks. In addition, Respondent failed to participate in the State Bar's investigation. These multiple acts of misconduct are an aggravating circumstance. (See *In the Matter of Valinoti* (Review Dept. 2002) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 498, 555.)

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline: Although Respondent's misconduct is serious, he has no prior discipline over 23 years of practice. (See *Edwards vs. State Bar*(1990) 52 Cal.3d 28, 39; [mitigation given for lack of prior discipline despite intentional misappropriation and commingling].)

Pretrial Stipulation: Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a full stipulation with the Office of Chief Trial Counsel prior to trial, thereby saving State Bar Court time and resources (See *Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [mitigation given for stipulating to facts and culpability].) However, this mitigation is deserving of reduced weight in light of respondent's failure to cooperate in the State Bar investigation.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide a "process of fixing discipline" pursuant to a set of written principles to "better discharge the purposes of attorney discipline as announced by the Supreme Court." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, Introduction (all further references to standards are to this source).) The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings and of the sanctions imposed are "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession." (*In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205; std. 1.3.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) Any discipline recommendation different from that set forth in the applicable standards should clearly explain the reasons for the deviation. (Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

Respondent admits to committing multiple acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.6 (a) requires that where a Respondent acknowledges two or more acts of misconduct, and different sanctions are prescribed by the standards that apply to those acts, the sanction imposed shall be the more or most severe prescribed in the applicable standards.

The most severe sanction applicable to Respondent's misconduct is found in standard 2.2(b), which applies to Respondent's violations of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A) [depositing and maintaining personal funds in a client trust account].

Standard 2.2(b) provides that "[c]ulpability of a member [of the State Bar] of commingling of entrusted funds or property with personal property or the commission of another violation of rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct, none of which offenses result in the willful misappropriation of entrusted funds or property shall result in at least a three month actual suspension from the practice of law, irrespective of mitigating circumstances." The Supreme Court has recognized, however, that a deviation from the minimum three-month minimum actual suspension stated in that standard may be appropriate in some cases. (See, e.g., *Sternleib v. State Bar* (1990) 52 Cal.3d 317 [30 days actual suspension imposed for trust account violations].)

Although rule 4-100 absolutely bars use of a trust account for personal purpose even if client funds are not on deposit (see *Doyle v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 12, 22–23) it is important to note in determining the appropriate level of discipline in this matter that Respondent did not maintain any client funds in the trust account and that there is no evidence that his misuse of the trust account harmed any client or put client funds in jeopardy.

Respondent's mishandling of his client trust account, writing multiple checks against insufficient funds, and failure to cooperate in the State Bar's investigation constitute serious misconduct. It is mitigated, however, by Respondent's 22 years of discipline-free practice, and to a much lesser extent by his agreement to enter into this pre-trial stipulation.

When the nature and scope of Respondent's misconduct is balanced against the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, a deviation from standard 2.2(b) is warranted. Discipline including a one-year stayed suspension from the practice of law, two years of probation on terms and conditions set forth herein, and an actual suspension of 60 appropriately serves the purposes of attorney discipline set forth in standard 1.3, including protecting the public, the courts, and the legal profession.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of August 7, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$5,418.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School and State Bar Client Trust Accounting School (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

Case number(s):
13-O-10932-RAH 13-O-11678-RAH

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

8/16/13	Huton Gets	Martin Ian Cutler
Date // 12	Respondents Signature	Print Name
8/10/13		R. Kevin Bucher
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

n the Matter of:	Case Number(s):
MARTIN IAN CUTLER	13-O-10932-RAH
	13-O-11678-RAH
APANA AP	
AC	CTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER
	ties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:
The stipulated facts and disp Supreme Court.	position are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	position are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the NDED to the Supreme Court.
☐ All Hearing dates are vacate	ed.
ne parties are bound by the stipulation as	s approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed
thin 15 days after service of this order, is oulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F). Rules	s granted, or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date
the Supreme Court order herein, nor	mally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
ourt.)	
9/3/13	WM Malali LAN
ate	DONALD F. MILES
	Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on September 4, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

MARTIN I. CUTLER 106 1/2 JUDGE JOHN AISO ST STE 108 LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Ronald K. Bucher, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on September 4, 2013.

Julieta E. Gonzales Case Administrator

State Bar Court