1 2	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	PUBLIC MATTER				
	JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	EII ED				
3	JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	FILED				
4	DANE C. DAUPHINE, No. 121606 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	DEC 06 2013				
5	LEE ANN KERN, No. 156623 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE				
6	1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015-2299	LOS ANGELES				
7	Telephone: (213) 765-1272					
8						
9	STATE BAR COURT					
10	HEARING DEPART	MENT - LOS ANGELES				
-11						
12	In the Matter of:) Case No. 13-O-11189				
13	DANIEL KRISTOF LAK,) 13-O-12314) 13-O-14235				
14	No. 216983,)) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES				
15	A Member of the State Bar))				
16		ý				
17	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND! IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:					
18						
19		TEDED.				
20	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU					
21	(3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITT	WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN				
22	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET AS	TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE				
23	SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL					
24	OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT					
25		FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.				
26						
27	The State Bar of California alleges:					
28		kwiktag* 152 145 785				

kwiktag* 152 145 785

JURISDICTION

1. Daniel Kristof Lak ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 3, 2001, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 13-O-11189
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A)
[Failure to Perform with Competence]

- 2. On or about November 1, 2011, Brian Hsieh employed Respondent to perform legal services, namely to represent him in his dissolution of marriage, which Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform with competence, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct rule 3-110(A), by the following:
 - A. Failing to prepare and file the necessary documents to complete the client's marital dissolution after he filed the client's response on December 14, 2011.
 - B. Failing to execute a substitution of attorney after his employment was terminated on or about October 5, 2012, and after multiple requests by his client, which then required the client to ask the court to remove Respondent as the client's attorney of record.

COUNT TWO

Case No. 13-O-11189
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m)
[Failure to Inform Client of Significant Developments]

3. Respondent failed to keep Respondent's client, Brian Hsieh, reasonably informed of significant developments in a matter in which Respondent had agreed to provide legal services, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m), by failing to inform his client that on or about August 6, 2012, the court rejected the judgment in the client's dissolution because the documents contained errors. Hsieh learned of the court's rejection on or about August 29, 2012, from his now ex-wife, Lily.

26 | ///

27 ||

1	<u>COUNT THREE</u>				
2	Case No. 13-O-11189 Business and Professions Code, section 6106 [Moral Turpitude – Misrepresentation to Client]				
4	4. On or about September 4, 2012, Respondent orally informed his client, Brian Hsieh, that				
5	Respondent had re-filed the judgment and other documents that had been rejected by the court on				
6	August 6, 2012, when Respondent knew, or was grossly negligent in not knowing, the statements				
7	were false, and thereby committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in				
8	willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.				
9	<u>COUNT FOUR</u>				
10 11	Case No. 13-O-11189 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3) [Failure to Render Accounts of Client Funds]				
12	5. On or about November 4, 2011, Respondent received advanced fees and costs of \$3,000				
13	from his client, Brian Hsieh, for legal services to be performed. Thereafter, Respondent failed to				
14	render an accounting to the client from October 5, 2012, the date on which Respondent's				
15	employment was terminated, until in or about late May 2013, in willful violation of Rules of				
16	Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3).				
17	COUNT FIVE				
18	Case No. 13-O-11189				
19	Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a) [Failure to Comply With Laws – Unauthorized Practice of Law]				
20	6. Respondent held himself out as entitled to practice law when Respondent was not an				
21	active member of the State Bar, in violation of Business and Professions Code, sections 6125 and				
22	6126, and thereby willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a), by the				
23	following:				
24	A. Mailing his former client, Brian Hsieh, a letter dated May 29, 2013 on letterhead bearing				
25	the heading "The Law Offices of Daniel K. Lak."				
26	B. Sending State Bar Investigator Podina Brown an email dated May 29, 2013 bearing the				
27	words, "Daniel Lak, Esq., Law Offices of Daniel Lak."				
- 1,					

COUNT SIX

Case No. 13-O-12314 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A) [Misuse of Client Trust Client Trust Account]

7. Between on or about December 5, 2012 and on or about March 12, 2013, Respondent, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A), misused his client trust account at JP Morgan Chase, account number ******377, by issuing paper and electronic checks to non-clients to pay his personal and business expenses, including but not limited to the following:

DATE:	<u>PAYEE</u> :	AMOUNT:
12/05/12	The Gas Company	\$ 16.34
12/12/12	Credit One	\$209.95
12/17/12	Verizon Wireless	\$347.81
01/03/13	Capital One	\$100.00
01/14/13	So. Cal. Edison	\$287.89
02/04/13	Vons	\$167.79
02/22/13	Paradise Cleaners	\$ 86.93
03/12/13	Nelix	\$ 7.00

COUNT SEVEN

Case No. 13-O-14235 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(b) [Failure to Maintain Respect to the Court]

- 8. Respondent failed to maintain the respect due to the courts of justice and judicial officers, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(b), by the following:
 - A. Commencing a trial on June 26, 2013, in a family law matter entitled Montes vs. Montes, Orange County Superior Court case no. 12D005456, when he knew he would be suspended from the practice of law commencing June 30, 2013, without first informing the court of his impending suspension and the likelihood he would be unable to complete the trial once his suspension began.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

The account number has been redacted due to privacy concerns.

1 B. Failing to inform the court on July 27, 2013, the date the court trailed the trial to July 1, 2 2013 and ordered all parties to return, that he would be suspended from the practice of 3 law on July 1, 2013 and unable to represent his client at trial. 4 **COUNT EIGHT** 5 Case No. 13-O-14235 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(d) 6 [Seeking to Mislead a Judge of Judicial Officer] 7 9. On or about July 1, 2013, Respondent called Department L66 in the Central District of 8 the Orange County Superior Court and spoke with Rebecca Lau, Clerk to Judge Lon Hurwtiz, 9 and informed Clerk Lau that he would not be appearing at trial that day in the matter entitled 10 Montes vs. Montes, Orange County Superior Court case no. 12D005456, because of a "family 11 emergency" when Respondent knew that the statement was false, and thereby sought to mislead 12 the judge or judicial officer by an artifice or false statement of fact or law, in willful violation of 13 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(d). 14 **NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!** 15 YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE 16 SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO 17 THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE 18 ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE 19 RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. 20 111 /// 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: December 6, 2013

By:

Lee Ann Kern Deputy Trial Counsel

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

 $by \\ U.S.\ FIRST-CLASS\ MAIL\ /\ U.S.\ CERTIFIED\ MAIL\ /\ OVERNIGHT\ DELIVERY\ /\ FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC\ TRANSMISSION$

CASE NUMBER(s): 13-O-11189, 13-O-12314, 13-O-14235

California	I, the undersigned, am over a, 1149 South Hill Street, Lo	the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the wit s Angeles, California 90015, declare that:	hin action, whose business address an	d place of employment is the State Bar of
	- on the date shown below	v, I caused to be served a true copy of the within docume	ent described as follows:	
i de esta de esta de esta esta el como de esta	adorim kalinta aliku ka ta kalinta aliku ka ta kalinta ka	NOTICE OF DISCIPL	INARY CHARGES	THE CONTRACT
	- in accordance with the professional control of the professional control of the reported by the fax machine by Electronic Service: Based on a court order or a addresses listed herein beliansuccessful.	n agreement of the parties to accept service by electroniow. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the tr in a sealed envelope placed for collection and ma	rocessing of mail, I deposited or placed processing of correspondence for overning documents to the persons at the fax not is retained on file and available upon reconstruction of the comments ansmission, I caused the documents ansmission, any electronic message or all ling at Los Angeles, addressed to:	ight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS') umbers listed herein below. No error was equest. s to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic other indication that the transmission was (see below)
	(for Certified Mail) in a Article No.:	sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing 7196 9008 9111 6411 0804 at Los	as certified mail, return receipt requested as certified mail, return receipt requested to: (see below)	
	(for Overnight Delivery) to	ogether with a copy of this declaration, in an envel	-	
	Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:
DANIE	L KRISTOF LAK	18101 Von Karman Avenue Suite 330 Irvine CA 92612	Electronic Address	
∏ via in	ter-office mail regularly	processed and maintained by the State Bar of	California addressed to:	
California day.	would be deposited with the	State Bar of California's practice for collection and proce I Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar United States Postal Service that same day, and for over	r of California's practice, correspondence emight delivery, deposited with delivery	accollected and processed by the State Bar of fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same
after date	am aware that on motion of deposit for mailing contain	the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal ca ned in the affidavit.	ncellation date or postage meter date of	on the envelope or package is more than one day
California,	declare under penalty of on the date shown below D: December 6, 2		4.00	ct. Executed at Los Angeles,
DATE	5. December 0, 2	SIGNED:	Genelle De Luca-Suarez Declarant	ua Xvarei