

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles ACTUAL SUSPENSION					
Counsel For The State Bar	Case Number(s): 13-O-11596	For Court use only			
Kelsey J. Blevings	13-0-11390				
Deputy Trial Counsel		TTT ED			
1149 S. Hill Street		FILED			
Los Angeles, CA 90015		× 1			
Tel. (213) 765-1209		NOV 06 2013			
		THE PAR COURT			
Bar # 271271		STATE BAR OFFICE CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES			
Counsel For Respondent					
Arthur L. Margolis Margolis & Margolis, LLP. 2000 Riverside Drive Los Angeles, CA 90039	PUBLIC	MATTER			
Tel. (323) 953-8996	Submitted to: Settlement Ju	ıdge			
Bar # 57703	STIPULATION RE FACTS, C DISPOSITION AND ORDER	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND APPROVING			
In the Matter of:	ACTUAL SUSPENSION				
PATRICIA MARLENE BOAG	ACTUAL SUSPENSION				
	PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED				
Bar # 174680					
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)					

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 15, 1994.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.

- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
 - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

- Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
- Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case 06-O-12427, et al. (See attachment, pp. 7-8.)
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective September 30, 2011
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110 [failure to perform], rule 3-700(D)(1) [failure to return client property], and rule 3-700(D)(2) [failure to refund unearned fee].
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline Two-year suspension (stayed) and three years' probation with conditions, including a 30-day actual suspension.
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.

(Do	not	write	above	this	line.)	,

- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.

- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Good Character: (See attachment, p. 8.)

Prefiling Stipulation: (See attachment, p. 8.)

D. Discipline:

(1) Stayed Suspension:

- (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two years.
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
 - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
 - iii. and until Respondent does the following:
- (b) The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2) \square **Probation**:

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of three years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3) \square Actual Suspension:

- (a) Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of 90 days.
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
 - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
 - iii. 🔲 and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School recommended. Reason: Respondent attended Ethics School on August 23, 2012 and passed the test given at the end of the session. (See Rules of Proc., rule 5.135(A) [requirement that attorney attend Ethics School is discretionary where the attorney completed Ethics School within the prior two years]).
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.

Π

(10) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

Substance Abuse Conditions

Law Office Management Conditions

 \square

(Do not write above this line.) \square **Financial Conditions** Medical Conditions F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties: Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of (1)the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason: Respondent received a passing score on the MPRE administered on August 17, 2013. The protection of the public and the interests of the Respondent do not require passage of the MPRE in this case. (See In the Matter of Respondent G (Review Dept. 1992), 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 181). Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, (2) \square California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter. Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 (3)Π days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter. Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the (4) period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:

(5) **Other Conditions**:

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: PATRICIA MARLENE BOAG

CASE NUMBER: 13-O-11596

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes.

Case No. 13-O-11596 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

1. On November 26, 2012, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order suspending Respondent from the practice of law effective December 28, 2012, pending proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination. Respondent received and understood the order.

2. On January 28, 2013, Respondent's client was due to appear in Orange County Superior Court for a pretrial hearing in a criminal matter. After waiting for two hours for her client to arrive, Respondent entered the courtroom and informed the court clerk of her inability to reach her client. The clerk then placed the court file on the bench and within a few moments the judge called the case. Knowing that she was suspended from the practice of law, Respondent appeared and informed the court that she was unable to reach her client. Respondent did not inform the court of her suspension during the hearing or at any time subsequent.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

3. By appearing in court on behalf of a client at a time when she was suspended from the practice of law, Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and actually practiced law when she was not an active member of the State Bar in violation of Business and Professions Code, sections 6125 and 6126, and thereby in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(a).

4. By making a court appearance on behalf of a client when she knew she was not entitled to practice law and by failing to disclose her status to the court, Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.2(b)(i)): Effective September 30, 2011, Respondent was suspended for two years (stayed) and placed on disciplinary probation for three years, subject to certain

_7___

conditions, including a 30-day actual suspension. Between 2002 and 2007, Respondent committed multiple acts of professional misconduct in five client matters, which included violations of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110 [failure to perform], rule 3-700(D)(1) [failure to return client property], and rule 3-700(D)(2) [failure to refund unearned fee].

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Good Character: Five attorneys and six former clients have submitted letters on Respondent's behalf expressing high opinions of her honesty and praise of her legal ability and good reputation. This evidence is entitled to only limited weight in mitigation because none of the attorneys or former clients demonstrated an understanding of the full extent of Respondent's misconduct. However, five of the former clients also submitted testimonials discussing how Respondent successfully represented them in immigration matters on a *pro bono* basis. (See *In the Matter of Respondent K* (Review Dept. 1993) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 335, 358-359 [limited weight accorded to evidence of good character where only one of the character witnesses demonstrated a full understanding of the attorney's culpability]; see also *In the Matter of DeMassa* (Review Dept. 1991) Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 737, 751-752 [testimonials from clients regarding the attorney's rendition of *pro bono* legal services on their behalf constituted mitigating evidence].)

Prefiling Stipulation: Respondent has voluntarily entered into this stipulation and should receive mitigative credit for her early admission of culpability and consent to the imposition of discipline. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide a "process of fixing discipline" pursuant to a set of written principles to "better discharge the purposes of attorney discipline as announced by the Supreme Court." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, Introduction (all further references to standards are to this source).) The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings and of the sanctions imposed are "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession." (*In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205; std. 1.3.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (*In re Naney* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) Any discipline recommendation different from that set forth in the applicable standards should clearly explain the reasons for the deviation. (*Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

The sanctions applicable to Respondent's professional misconduct are found in standards 2.3 and 2.6. The more severe of the applicable sanctions is found in standard 2.3, which states: culpability of an attorney of an act of moral turpitude, fraud, or intentional dishonesty toward a court, client or another person or of concealment of a material fact to a court, client or another person shall result in actual

suspension or disbarment depending upon the extent to which the victim of the misconduct is harmed or misled and depending upon the magnitude of the act of misconduct and the degree to which it relates to the member's acts within the practice of law.

Here, Respondent committed an act of moral turpitude by appearing in court on behalf of her client when she knew she was suspended and by failing to disclose her status to the court. Although this was an isolated act of misconduct, it relates directly to the practice of law and caused harm to the administration of justice. (See *In the Matter of Trousil* (Review Dept. 1990) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 229, 240 [harm to the public and administration of justice and risk of harm to the client is inherent in the unauthorized practice of law].) Further, Respondent's prior record of discipline is a significant aggravating circumstance. Under standard 1.7(a), where an attorney has a prior record of discipline, the degree of discipline imposed in the current proceeding shall be greater than that imposed in the prior proceeding, subject to limited exceptions not applicable here.

In mitigation, by voluntarily entering into this stipulation Respondent has admitted her culpability at an early stage of the proceedings and consented to discipline. Additionally, five attorneys and six former clients have expressed high opinions of Respondent's honesty and praise of her legal ability and good reputation. The weight of this evidence is diminished because none of the attorneys or former clients demonstrated a full understanding of Respondent's misconduct. However, five of the former clients also noted that Respondent successfully represented them on a *pro bono* basis.

In consideration of the foregoing, a two-year suspension (stayed) and three years' probation, subject to the conditions herein, including a 90-day actual suspension, is appropriate under the standards and will serve the purpose of attorney discipline as set forth in standard 1.3.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of October 15, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$2,925. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of Bar Ethics School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.)								
In the Matter of: PATRICIA MARLENE BOAG	Case number(s): 13-O-11596							

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

Date Respondent's Signature 10 Date e Respondent Counsel Signatur 2013

PATRICIA MARLENE BOAG Print Name

ARTHUR L. MARGOLIS Print Name

KELSEY J. BLEVINGS

Date

Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

Print Name

In the Matter of: PATRICIA MARLENE BOAG Case Number(s): 13-O-11596

ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

] All Hearing dates are vacated.

PAGE 5 E. (6) - REMOVE CHECKMARK FROM BOX.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

11-06-2013

Date

RICHARD A. PLATEL Judge of the State Bar Court



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on November 6, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ARTHUR LEWIS MARGOLIS MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 RIVERSIDE DR LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

r

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

KELSEY BLEVINGS, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on November 6, 2013.

arpenter

Angela Carpenter Case Administrator State Bar Court