

State Bar Court of California Los Angeles STAYED SUSPENSION Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 13-0-11654 Kelsey J. Blevings FILED Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 DEC 19 2013 Tel. (213) 765-1209 STATE BAR COURT **CLERK'S OFFICE** LOS ANGELES Bar # 271271 Counsel For Respondent Arthur L. Margolis Margolis & Margolis, LLP. 2000 Riverside Drive Los Angeles, CA 90039 Submitted to: Settlement Judge Tel. (323) 953-8996 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 57703 In the Matter of: STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION LAWRENCE MARION OLEKSIEWICZ. ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 165752 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted September 15, 1993. (1)
- The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or (2) disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by (3) this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.

abd WH/13

27.40

(Do n	ot writ	te above this line.)					
(4)		statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included der "Facts."					
(5)		Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of aw".					
(6)		ne parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading supporting Authority."					
(7)		o more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.					
(8)		Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):					
		Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following memorycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardshi or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If Respondent fails to described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining I payable immediately.	bership years: two billing p, special circumstances pay any installment as				
		Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial V Costs are entirely waived.	Vaiver of Costs".				
Pro	fess	ravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attornosional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravatir uired.					
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]					
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case					
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective					
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:					
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline					
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provi attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.	ded below or a separate				
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.					
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.					
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.					
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or at consequences of his or her misconduct.	tonement for the				

(Do not write above this line.)					
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.			
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.			
(8)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances			
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.			
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			

(Do not write above this line.)				
(12)	Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)	No mitigating circumstances are involved.			
Additional mitigating circumstances				
No Prior [Discipline: (See attachement, p. 8.)			
	Stipulation: (See attachement, p. 9.)			

, <u>(Do n</u>	ot writ	e above	e this lir	ne.)	
D. Discipline:					
(1)	\boxtimes	Stay	Stayed Suspension:		
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	pondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.	
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:	
	The	he above-referenced suspension is stayed.			
(2)	\boxtimes	Prob	ation	:	
		Respondent is placed on probation for a period of two years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)			
E. A	\ddi	tiona	l Co	nditions of Probation:	
(1)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(2)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.			
(3)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.			
(4)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.			
		In ad	dition ty (20)	to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.	
(5)		cond Durir in ad	itions ng the dition	nt must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must fully with the probation monitor.	

(Do n	ot write	e above	this line.)		
(6)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.			
(7)	\boxtimes	Prob	in one (1) year of the effective date of the di ation satisfactory proof of attendance at a s given at the end of that session.	scipli essio	ne herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of n of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason	:	•
(8)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.			
(9)		The f	following conditions are attached hereto and	l inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions
F. C	the	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Parties	:	
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), Californ Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason:			
(2)		Oth	ner Conditions:		

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

LAWRENCE MARION OLEKSIEWICZ

CASE NUMBER:

13-0-11654

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified Rule of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 13-O-11654 (Complainant: Lisa Price)

FACTS:

- 1. On July 10, 2007, Mary E. Candler, an Arizona resident, passed away. The Sun Valley Group, Inc. ("Sun Valley"), a private Arizona fiduciary, was designated the personal representative of the Candler estate.
- 2. In October 2007, due to the fact the Candler estate had substantial real property holdings in the State of California, Sun Valley hired Respondent to open an ancillary probate proceeding in California and to dispose of or probate the properties on behalf of the estate.
- 3. In July 2009, Jennifer Murray, a representative for Sun Valley, requested that Respondent provide Sun Valley with a bill for his services.
- 4. On August 12, 2009, Respondent sent Sun Valley a bill for \$9,000, which he calculated using the statutory formula set forth in California Probate Code section 10810.
- 5. On August 13, 2009, Murray sent Respondent a check for \$9,000. The check was drawn on funds of the Candler estate held in trust by Sun Valley. Respondent deposited the check into his bank account.
- 6. At no time did the probate court specifically approve Respondent to receive compensation for services as the attorney for the personal representative of the estate, as required by California Probate Code section 10804.
- 7. On May 24, 2010, Sun Valley terminated Respondent's employment prior to the completion of the ancillary probate proceeding.
- 8. On August 28, 2012, Lisa Price, a representative for Entrust Fiduciary Services, Inc., the successor personal representative of the Candler estate, sent Respondent a letter requesting the return of the \$9,000 fee due to the lack of court approval of such fee. Respondent agreed to return the fee, but claimed he was unable to do so because he did not have sufficient funds.

- 9. On January 30, 2013, Price made a complaint against Respondent to the State Bar of California.
- 10. On April 16, 2013, after the State Bar had intervened, Respondent returned the \$9,000 to the Candler estate.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

11. By charging and collecting \$9,000 from the Candler estate as compensation for his services as the attorney for the personal representative of the estate without receiving court approval in violation of California Probate Code section 10804, Respondent collected an illegal fee in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

ADDITIONAL FACTS RE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent had practiced law for nearly 16 years without a prior record of discipline. Respondent's nearly 16-year discipline-free practice is a mitigating circumstance. (See *In the Matter of Riordan* (Review Dept. 2007) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 41 [where mitigative credit was given for 17-year period of discipline-free practice despite serious misconduct].)

Prefiling Stipulation: Respondent has voluntarily entered into this stipulation and is entitled to receive mitigative credit for his early admission of culpability and consent to the imposition of discipline. (See *Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide a "process of fixing discipline" pursuant to a set of written principles to "better discharge the purposes of attorney discipline as announced by the Supreme Court." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, Introduction (all further references to standards are to this source).) The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings and of the sanctions imposed are "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession." (*In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205; std. 1.3.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) Any discipline recommendation different from that set forth in the applicable standards should clearly explain the reasons for the deviation. (Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

Here, Respondent charged and collected an illegal fee in violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A). There is no standard that specifically applies to an attorney's collection of an illegal fee in violation Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A). Thus, standard 2.10 is applicable here.

Standard 2.10 states that culpability of an attorney of a violation of any Rule of Professional Conduct not otherwise specified in the standards shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

Respondent's misconduct was serious because he collected a \$9,000 fee which he was not entitled to receive without court approval and refunded it only after Price complained to the State Bar. However, Respondent's misconduct was isolated to a single client matter and did not result in irreparable harm to the client. In mitigation, Respondent has accepted responsibility for his misconduct by admitting to culpability and consenting to discipline prior to the commencement of disciplinary proceedings. More importantly, at the time the misconduct was committed, Respondent had a nearly 16-year record of discipline-free of practice. In light of the totality of the facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's professional misconduct, a one-year stayed suspension and two years of probation, subject to the conditions herein, is appropriate under the standards and will serve the purposes of attorney discipline as set forth in standard 1.3.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of October 21, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,925. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

In the Matter of: LAWRENCE MARION OLEKSIEWICZ	Case number(s): 13-O-11654		

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

12/3/2013

Respondent's Signature

LAWRENCE M. OLEKSIEWICZ

Print Name

10/5/13

Respondent's Counsel Signature

ARTHUR L. MARGOLIS

Print Name

12/5/2013

Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

KELSEY J. BLEVINGS

Print Name

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 to 15 and	•		
(Do not write at	ove this line.)			
In the Matte	er of: CE MARION OLEKSIEWICZ	Case Number(s): 13-O-11654		
	STAYED	SUSPENSION ORDER		
Finding the s requested di	stipulation to be fair to the parties and smissal of counts/charges, if any, is 0	that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the SRANTED without prejudice, and:		
×	The stipulated facts and disposition Supreme Court.	are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the		
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.				
	All Hearing dates are vacated.			
within 15 day stipulation. (s after service of this order, is grante See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proce	ved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date 0 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of		
DEC	EMBER 18, 2013	lenge Mott		
Date		GEORGE E. SCOTT, JUDGE PRO TEM Judge of the State Bar Court		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on December 19, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ARTHUR LEWIS MARGOLIS MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 RIVERSIDE DR LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

KELSEY BLEVINGS, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on December 19, 2013.

Johnnie Lee Smith Case Administrator

State Bar Court