### State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** Los Angeles **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 13-0-11836 Sue Hong 13-0-16674 Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 S. Hill St. PUBLIC MATTER Los Angeles, CA 90015 (213) 765-1161 **FILED** Bar # 285852 **DEC** 1 9 **2013** In Pro Per Respondent STATE BAR COURT **CLERK'S OFFICE** Mark Bryson Replogle LOS ANGELES PO Box 7958 Apache Junction, AZ 85178 (480) 414-2290 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 151200 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING in the Matter of: MARK BRYSON REPLOGLE **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 151200 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 12, 1990.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Effective January 1, 2011)

kwiktag \* 152 145 222

(Do n	ot write	above this line.)
(5)	Con Law	lusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of
(6)		parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading porting Authority."
(7)		ore than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ing investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
(8)		nent of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & .7. (Check one option only):
		Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.  Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three (3) billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".  Costs are entirely waived.
F	rofe	evating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for ssional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances quired.
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
(2)		<b>Dishonesty:</b> Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)		<b>Trust Violation</b> : Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(4)	×	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. See Attachment at page 10.
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

(Do no	ot write	above this line.)
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)	$\boxtimes$	<b>Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:</b> Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See Attachment at page 10.
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:
		ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(Do no	ot write	above	this lin	e.)
(13)		No n	nitigat	ting circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	ıl miti	igatin	g circumstances:
	Se	e At	tachr	nent at page 10.
D. D	isci	pline	<b>a:</b>	
(1)	$\boxtimes$	-		spension:
` '	(a)	⊠		condent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
	(ω)	i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and
		1.		present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		III.		and until Respondent does the following:
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Prol	pation	<b>:</b>
				ust be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, which will commence upon the effective preme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)
(3)	$\boxtimes$	Actu	ual Su	spension:
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	-	condent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period days.
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
		ii.	×	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		· iii.		and until Respondent does the following:
E. /	Addi	tion	al Co	enditions of Probation:
(1)		he/s	she pro	dent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until oves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the w, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
(2)	$\boxtimes$			e probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of nal Conduct.
(3)	Ø	Witt Stat	hin ter te Bar	a (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of

(Do no	t write	above t	his line.)	······································	
		inform purpo	nation, including current office address ses, as prescribed by section 6002.1	and teleph of the Busin	none number, or other address for State Bar ness and Professions Code.
(4) (5)		and so condit proba promp Respo	chedule a meeting with Respondent's tions of probation. Upon the direction of tion deputy either in-person or by teleptly meet with the probation deputy as condent must submit written quarterly need to the condent must be condent to the	assigned pof the Office phone. Dure directed as eports to the	e Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10,
		wheth condi- are ar currer	er Respondent has complied with the tions of probation during the preceding ny proceedings pending against him o	State Bar g calendar or her in the report wou	der penalty of perjury, Respondent must state Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all quarter. Respondent must also state whether there State Bar Court and if so, the case number and Id cover less than 30 days, that report must be inded period.
		In add	dition to all quarterly reports, a final re y (20) days before the last day of the p	port, contai period of pr	ning the same information, is due no earlier than obation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)		condi Durin in add	tions of probation with the probation n g the period of probation, Respondent	nonitor to e t must furni	espondent must promptly review the terms and stablish a manner and schedule of compliance. sh to the monitor such reports as may be requested, litted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must
(7)		inquir direct	ies of the Office of Probation and any	probation	ent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any monitor assigned under these conditions which are g to whether Respondent is complying or has
(8)	Ø	Proba	n one (1) year of the effective date of attendance attendance attendance at end of that session.	the disciplinat a session	ne herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of n of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given
			No Ethics School recommended. Re	eason:	•
(9)		must	ondent must comply with all condition so declare under penalty of perjury in obation.	s of probat conjunctio	on imposed in the underlying criminal matter and n with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office
(10)	$\boxtimes$	The f	ollowing conditions are attached here	to and inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	$\boxtimes$	Financial Conditions
F. C	)the	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Pa	rties:	
(1)		the Cor one <b>fur</b>	Multistate Professional Responsibility of the Office year, whichever period is longer. Fa	Examination of Examin	ion: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National ation during the period of actual suspension or within ss the MPRE results in actual suspension without b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) &

(DO D	ot write	above this line.)
		☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason:
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)	$\boxtimes$	Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
<b>(4)</b>		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)		Other Conditions:

i the Matter of: IARK BRYSON REPLOGLE		se Number(s): -O-11836; 13-O-16674	
nancial Conditions		-	
Restitution	·		
pavee(s) listed below. If the	e Client Security Fund ("CS al amount(s) listed below,	al amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to F") has reimbursed one or more of the payer Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF	e(s) for a
Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From	
JACQUELYN MCCANTS	\$3,200.00	January 20, 2012	
NATHAN HUSS	\$1,747.50	April 6, 2012	
	•		
Probation not later than  Respondent will remain actu  Installment Restitution Paym  Respondent must pay the	ally suspended until rest  ents  above-referenced restitutio	on the payment schedule set forth below. I	Respond
Probation not later than  Respondent will remain actu  Installment Restitution Paym  Respondent must pay the amust provide satisfactory pas otherwise directed by the probation (or period of reputhe payment of restitution,	ally suspended until rest ents above-referenced restitutio roof of payment to the Offi e Office of Probation. No l roval), Respondent must m including interest, in full.	tution is paid in full.  I on the payment schedule set forth below. It is not to be a set for the payment schedule set for the probation with each quarterly probation attended the set for the expiration of the lake any necessary final payment(s) in order the set for the set of the set	Respond report, de period
Probation not later than  Respondent will remain acturate installment Restitution Payma  Respondent must pay the must provide satisfactory pas otherwise directed by the probation (or period of representation)	ally suspended until rest ents above-referenced restitutio roof of payment to the Offi e Office of Probation. No l roval), Respondent must m including interest, in full.	tution is paid in full.  I on the payment schedule set forth below. It is not to be a set for the payment schedule set for the probation with each quarterly probation attended the set for the expiration of the lake any necessary final payment(s) in order the set for the set of the set	Respond report, de period
Probation not later than  Respondent will remain actu  Installment Restitution Paym  Respondent must pay the amust provide satisfactory pas otherwise directed by the probation (or period of reputhe payment of restitution,	ally suspended until rest ents above-referenced restitutio roof of payment to the Offi e Office of Probation. No l roval), Respondent must m including interest, in full.	tution is paid in full.  I on the payment schedule set forth below. It is not to be a set for the payment schedule set for the probation with each quarterly probation attended the set for the expiration of the lake any necessary final payment(s) in order the set for the set of the set	Respond report, de
Probation not later than  Respondent will remain actu  Installment Restitution Paym  Respondent must pay the amust provide satisfactory pas otherwise directed by the probation (or period of reputhe payment of restitution,	ally suspended until rest ents above-referenced restitutio roof of payment to the Offi e Office of Probation. No l roval), Respondent must m including interest, in full.	tution is paid in full.  I on the payment schedule set forth below. It is not to be a set for the payment schedule set for the probation with each quarterly probation attended the set for the expiration of the lake any necessary final payment(s) in order the set for the set of the set	Respond report, de

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
  - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such client:
    - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
    - the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and.
    - 4. the current balance for such client.
  - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such account:
    - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
    - 3. the current balance in such account.
  - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
  - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
  - i. each item of security and property held;
  - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
  - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
  - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
  - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period
  covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the
  Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the
  accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

#### d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of
Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School,
within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

# **ATTACHMENT TO**

## STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

MARK BRYSON REPLOGLE

CASE NUMBERS:

13-O-11836; 13-O-16674

#### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

# Case No. 13-O-11836 (Complainant: Jacquelyn McCants)

#### FACTS:

- 1. Respondent is a licensed California attorney and is not admitted to practice in South Carolina.
- 2. South Carolina requires a person to be an active member of the South Carolina Bar in order to practice law or offer legal services in that state. (South Carolina Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5).
- 3. In January 2012, Jacquelyn Vaughn McCants received a solicitation by mail from the Forest Law Group ("FLG"), Respondent's law firm, offering to assist in a mortgage reduction.
- 4. Ms. McCants responded to the mailer and spoke with Scott Bowen, a representative of FLG, who informed her that FLG could represent and assist her with obtaining a mortgage loan modification, and that Respondent's law office would charge her a fee of \$3,495.00 for those loan modification services.
- 5. On January 17, 2012, Ms. McCants hired Respondent for loan modification services related to her home in South Carolina.
- 6. On January 20, 2012, Ms. McCants paid the \$3,495.00 retainer fee.
- 7. On July 6, 2012, Respondent submitted a loan modification request to Ms. McCants's mortgage lender/servicer, Bank of America, but Bank of America denied the mortgage loan modification request on July 30, 2012.
- 8. In November 2012, after securing a lower mortgage rate from another source, Ms. McCants terminated Respondent's services and requested a full refund.
- 9. On February 7, 2013, Ms. McCants received a partial refund of \$295.00.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

- 10. By agreeing to represent and assist Jacquelyn Vaughn McCants with respect to obtaining a loan modification for her home in South Carolina, when to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in South Carolina, namely South Carolina Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5, Respondent unlawfully practiced law in South Carolina in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).
- 11. By entering into an agreement, charging and collecting a fee of \$3,495 from Jacquelyn Vaughn McCants to unlawful perform legal services because Respondent was not licensed to practice law in South Carolina, Respondent charged an illegal fee, in willful violation Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

## Case No. 13-O-16674 (Complainant: Nathan Huss)

#### **FACTS:**

- 12. Respondent is a California licensed attorney and is not admitted to practice in Minnesota.
- 13. Minnesota requires a person to be an active member of the Minnesota Bar in order to practice law or offer legal services in that state. (Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5).
- 14. In February 2012, Nathan Huss received a written solicitation from the Forest Law Group ("FLG"), Respondent's law firm, offering to assist in a mortgage reduction.
- 15. Mr. Huss responded to the mailer and spoke with Sandy Majano, a representative of FLG, who informed him that FLG could represent and assist him with obtaining a mortgage loan modification, and that Respondent's law office would charge him a fee of \$3,495.00 for those loan modification services.
- 16. On March 31, 2012, Mr. Huss hired Respondent for loan modification services related to his home in Minnesota, and in April 2012, Mr. Huss paid the \$3,495.00 retainer fee to FLG.
- 17. By April 2012, Respondent submitted a loan modification request to Mr. Huss's mortgage lender/servicer, US Bank, but US Bank denied the mortgage loan modification request on December 26, 2012.
- 18. In April 2013, Mr. Huss contacted the Minnesota Attorney General's Office to request assistance in obtaining a refund.
- 19. In June 2013, Mr. Huss received a partial refund of \$1,747.50.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

20. By agreeing to represent and assist Nathan Huss with respect to obtaining a loan modification for client's home in Minnesota, when to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in Minnesota, namely Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5, Respondent practiced law in Minnesota in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).

21. By entering into an agreement, charging and collecting a fee of \$3,495 from Nathan Huss to perform unlawful legal services because Respondent was not licensed to practice law in Minnesota, Respondent charged an illegal fee, in willful violation Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Harm (Std. 1.2(b)(iv)): Respondent's clients, Ms. McCants and Mr. Huss, were harmed because they were deprived of their money for over a year, and have not yet received a full refund.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.2(b)(ii)): Respondent engaged in the unauthorized practice of law in two client matters and charged and collected two illegal fees. Therefore, Respondent engaged in multiple acts of misconduct.

### ADDITIONAL FACTS RE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline (Std. 1.2(e)(i)): Although Respondent's misconduct is deemed serious, he is entitled to mitigation for having practiced law for approximately 22 years without discipline. (In the Matter of Riordan (Review Dept. 2007) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 41, 49.)

**Prefiling Stipulation:** Respondent has entered into a Stipulation with the State Bar prior to the filing of the Notice of Disciplinary Charges, thereby saving the State Bar Court time and resources. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

#### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide a "process of fixing discipline" pursuant to a set of written principles to "better discharge the purposes of attorney discipline as announced by the Supreme Court." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, Introduction (all further references to standards are to this source).) The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings and of the sanctions imposed are "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession." (In re Morse (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205; std. 1.3.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) Any discipline recommendation different from that set forth in the applicable standards should clearly explain the reasons for the deviation. (Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

Standard 2.10 states a member's culpability of violation of any provision of the Business and Professions Code not specified in these standards or of a willful violation of any Rule of Professional Conduct not specified in these standards shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or harm to the victim with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline. Here, in two client matters, Respondent violated Rules of Professional Conduct rule 1-300(B) by practicing law without a license in South Carolina and Minnesota, and rule 4-200(A) by collecting an illegal fee. These sections of the Rules of Professional Conduct are not otherwise specified in the Standards and thus Standard 2.10 applies.

In these matters, the harm to the clients is that they were deprived of their money. However, Respondent has refunded \$295 to Ms. McCants, and \$1,747.50 to Mr. Huss, thereby lessening the harm to them. Additionally, the legal profession and public's confidence are harmed when an attorney practices law in a jurisdiction in which he or she is not licensed.

The purpose of Standard 1.3 is the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession are well served by a significant period of actual suspension. An appropriate level of discipline is 30 days of actual suspension, and until \$4,947.50 of restitution paid in full, with one year of stayed suspension, and 2 years of probation.

This level of discipline is also consistent with case law. The respondent in *In the Matter of Wells* (Review Dept. 2006) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 896, engaged in the unauthorized practice of law in another jurisdiction in two cases and over several years charged an illegal and unconscionable fee, failed to return unearned fees, failed to maintain funds in trust, and engaged in moral turpitude for misrepresenting her entitlement to practice law. Additionally, there was significant mitigation and aggravation present and Respondent had one prior discipline. Respondent received six months actual suspension and until restitution is paid in full.

In the present case, unlike in Wells, Respondent's misconduct of engaging in the unauthorized practice of law, and collection of an illegal fee spanned the course of less one year in two client matters. Further, Respondent differs from Wells because he does not have a prior discipline and did not engage in moral turpitude. As such, 30 days of actual suspension, and until \$4,947.50 of restitution paid in full, with one year of stayed suspension, and 2 years of probation satisfies the purposes of imposing discipline.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of November 23, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,925. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

#### EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of: State Bar Ethics School and/or any other educational course(s) to be ordered as a condition of reproval or suspension]. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

n the Matter of:	Case number(s):	
ARK BRYSON REPLOGLE	13-O-11836; 13-O-16674	
	15 6 11050, 15 6 150.	

# SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

25 Nov 2013 Date 113R	Mark Bryson Reployle Respondent's Signature	MARK BRYSON REPLOSE Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
12513	TVA 6	SUE HONG
Date '	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

n the Matte MARK BR	r of: CYSON REPLOGLE	Case Number(s): 13-O-11836; 13-O-16674
	ACTUAL	SUSPENSION ORDER
nding the s quested di	tipulation to be fair to the parties and t smissal of counts/charges, if any, is Gi	hat it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the RANTED without prejudice, and:
	The stipulated facts and disposition a Supreme Court.	are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
M	The stipulated facts and disposition a DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to	are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the othe Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
lso <b>Delet</b>	e the "x" from the box next to the are bound by the stipulation as approv	red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, file
lso <b>Delet</b> ne parties a  ithin 15 da  ipulation. (  f <b>the Sup</b>	e the "x" from the box next to the are bound by the stipulation as approv ys after service of this order, is granted See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proce	words "Financial Conditions."  red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved
ne parties athin 15 da ipulation. (a the Supropurt.)	e the "x" from the box next to the are bound by the stipulation as approv ys after service of this order, is granted See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proce	words "Financial Conditions."  red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, fild; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective
ne parties a ithin 15 da ipulation. (f the Suprourt.)	e the "x" from the box next to the are bound by the stipulation as approved after service of this order, is granted See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedume Court order herein, normally 30	words "Financial Conditions."  red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective
ne parties a ithin 15 da ipulation. (f the Suprourt.)	e the "x" from the box next to the are bound by the stipulation as approved after service of this order, is granted See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedume Court order herein, normally 30	words "Financial Conditions."  red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
lso <b>Delet</b> the parties a ithin 15 da ipulation. ( f the Supro	e the "x" from the box next to the are bound by the stipulation as approved after service of this order, is granted See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedume Court order herein, normally 30	words "Financial Conditions."  red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Judge of the State Bar Court
Iso <b>Delet</b> he parties a lithin 15 da lipulation.	e the "x" from the box next to the are bound by the stipulation as approved after service of this order, is granted See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedume Court order herein, normally 30	words "Financial Conditions."  red unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Judge of the State Bar Court

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on December 19, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

MARK B. REPLOGLE PO BOX 7958 APACHE JUNCTION, AZ 85178

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

SUE HONG, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on December 19, 2013.

Rose M. Luthi
Case Administrator
State Bar Court