

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles STAYED SUSPENSION

R. Kevin Bucher
Deputy Trial Counsel
845 S. Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, CA 90017-2515
(213)765-1630

Bar # 132003

Arthur L. Margolis Margolis & Margolis 2000 Riverside Drive Los Angeles, CA 90039-3758

Counsel For Respondent

Bar # 57703

In the Matter of:
ANTHONY SCOTT POLAKOV

Bar # 118159

A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Case Number(s): 13-O-12777-LMA

For Court use only

PUBLIC MATTER

FILED

MAR 2 5 2014

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

Submitted to: Settlement Judge

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION

☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 11, 1985.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **10** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Effective January 1, 2014)

2/3/14

kwiktag®

048 620 874

Stayed Suspension

(Do r	ot write	above this line.)				
(6)		parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading				
	"Su	porting Authority."				
(7)		No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):					
	Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline. Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: three billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.					
		Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.				
Mis		vating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional uct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are				
(1)		Prior record of discipline				
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case				
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective				
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:				
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline				
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.				
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.				
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.				
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.				
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.				
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.				
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.				

(Do n	ot writ	e above this line.)			
(8)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.			
(9)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Add	ition	al aggravating circumstances			
C. N circ	/litig :ums	ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.			
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)	\boxtimes	No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice. See attachment, page 8.			
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11)	\boxtimes	Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct. See attachment, page 8.			
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by subsequent rehabilitation.			

(Do not write above this line.)	
(13) No mitigating circumstances are involved.	
Additional mitigating circumstances	
Lack of Prior Discipline - See attachment, page 8. Pre-trial Stipulation - See attachment, page 8.	

D. [)is	cip	li	ne:
------	-----	-----	----	-----

(1)	\boxtimes	Stayed Suspension:				
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	condent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.		
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.		
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.		
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:		
	The	abov	e-refe	renced suspension is stayed.		
(2)	\boxtimes	Prob	ation	· •		
	Res Sup	sponde oreme	ent is p Court	placed on probation for a period of one year , which will commence upon the effective date of the order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)		
E. A	ddi	tiona	l Cor	nditions of Probation:		
(1)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.				
(2)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.				
(3)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.				
(4)		July 1 wheth condi- are ar currer	i0, and ner Re tions on ny pro nt stat	at must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state espondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all perform of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there acceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and cut of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.		
		In add	dition t y (20)	to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.		
(5)		Condition During in add	tions o g the p dition t	It must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance, period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must ully with the probation monitor.		

<u>(Do r</u>	ot writ	e abov	e this line.)			
(6)		direc	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.			
(7)		Prob	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office o Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.			
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason	on:	•	
(8)		musi	condent must comply with all conditions of the conditions of the conditions of perjury in condition.	f probat njunctio	tion imposed in the underlying criminal matter and on with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office	
(9)		The	following conditions are attached hereto a	nd inco	prporated:	
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions	
F. C)the	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Partie	s:		
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), Californi Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason:				
(2)		Oth	ner Conditions:			

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

ANTHONY SCOTT POLAKOV

CASE NUMBER:

13-O-12777

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 13-O-12777 (Complainant: Pilar Villegas)

FACTS:

- 1. Respondent represented Pilar Villegas in a workers' compensation matter arising from an action originally filed in 2010 regarding a 2009 injury.
- 2. On February 17, 2011, Villegas signed various documents including a Workers' Compensation Claim Form and Declaration, Attorney Disclosure Statement, Venue Authorization, and a Petition to Reopen. All of the documents required both Respondent's and his client's signatures. Villegas signed the various documents with the knowledge and intent that they be filed with the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board (WCAB).
- 3. On March 9, 2011, Respondent took the various documents to the WCAB office in Marina Del Rey for filing.
- 4. When he got to the WCAB office, Respondent realized that the original signed documents were at his office, and that none of the documents he had in his possession had been signed. He then proceeded to sign the documents for himself and for the client.
- 5. Among the documents to which Respondent signed his client's name was a declaration attached to the Workers' Compensation Claim Form that Respondent signed as his client under penalty of perjury.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

6. By falsely and intentionally signing his client's name to various documents filed with the WCAB, including signing a declaration in his client's name under penalty of perjury, Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6106.

ADDITIONAL FACTS RE MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Harm (Std. 1.6(c)): Respondent's misconduct did not harm his client, nor did it harm the administration of justice, as the documents signed and filed by Respondent were never rejected by the WCAB, and remain in force and effect as part of the record of Villegas' ongoing worker's compensation matter, wherein she is represented by new counsel.

Good Character (Std. 1.6(f)): Respondent's good character is attested to by declarations of seven people, including four attorneys, two non-attorneys, and a retired associate justice of the California Court of Appeal, all of whom have been made aware of Respondent's misconduct.

No Prior Discipline: Although Respondent's misconduct is serious, he is entitled to mitigative credit for his 26 years of discipline free practice. (See *Friedman v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 235, 245 [an unblemished record for more than 20 years held to be a significant mitigating, despite serious misconduct].)

Pretrial Stipulation: Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a full stipulation with the Office of Chief Trial Counsel prior to the trial of the present matter, thereby saving State Bar Court time and resources (See Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

The most severe sanction applicable to Respondent's misconduct is found in standard 2.3, which applies to Respondent's violation of Business and Professions Code 6106. Under that standard, disbarment or actual suspension is appropriate for an act of moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, corruption or concealment of a material fact. The degree of sanction depends on the magnitude of the misconduct and the extent to which the misconduct harmed or misled the victim and related to the member's practice of law.

In the present case Respondent has admitted to signing his client's name on several documents, including a declaration under penalty of perjury, which he then filed with the Worker's Compensation Appeals Board. Respondent's misconduct constitutes an act of moral turpitude. While the misconduct was related to the practice of law, there was no harm to his client. Similarly there was no harm to the administration of justice as the documents were never rejected by the WCAB, and remain in force and effect as part of the record of Villegas' ongoing worker's compensation matter, wherein she is represented by new counsel. Actual suspension in the present matter would be consistent with Standard 2.7. However, given the nature of Respondent's single act of misconduct, the strong mitigating factors, including lack of harm, lack of prior discipline, good character, and cooperation in entering into the present stipulation, along with the lack of any aggravating factors, deviation from the standard is appropriate and consistent with Standard 1.7(c). A one-year suspension, stayed, and one year of probation, will serve the purpose of protecting the public, the courts and the legal profession.

A stayed suspension is also consistent with published case authority. (See *In the Matter of Downey* (2009) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 151, 157[absent a prior record of discipline, an attorney's false attestation under penalty of perjury would have warranted a "short or even a stayed " period of suspension].)

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of March 1, 2014, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$3,419. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

In the Matter of ANTHONY SCOTT POLAKOV	Case number(s): 13-0-12777				

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement w	ith
each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact,	
Conclusions of Law and Disposition.	

Date

Date

Respondent's Signature

Print Name

Anthony Scott Polakov

Print Name

Arthur L. Margolis

Print Name

Respondent's Counsel Signature

Respondent's Counsel's Signature

Print Name

R. Kevin Bucher

Print Name

(Do not write	above this line.)		
In the Matter of: ANTHONY SCOTT POLAKOV			Case Number(s): 13-O-12777
	S	STAYED SUSPI	ENSION ORDER
Finding the	e stipulation to be fair to the pa dismissal of counts/charges, i	arties and that it ad if any, is GRANTEI	equately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the D without prejudice, and:
Ŕ	The stipulated facts and d Supreme Court.	isposition are APP	ROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	The stipulated facts and d		ROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the preme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vaca	ated.	
within 15 of stipulation of the Sup	days after service of this order, . (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rule	, is granted; or 2) the of Procedure.) T	s: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed his court modifies or further modifies the approved he effective date of this disposition is the effective date fter file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
Court.) <i>3</i> /	by/14		Rkonn
Date	V		RD A. HONN of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on March 25, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

ARTHUR LEWIS MARGOLIS MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP 2000 RIVERSIDE DR LOS ANGELES, CA 90039

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

RONALD K. BUCHER, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on March 25, 2014.

Mazie Yip

Case Administrator State Bar Court