

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California  
Hearing Department  
Los Angeles  
ACTUAL SUSPENSION

<p>Counsel For The State Bar</p> <p><b>Tyrone A. Sandoval</b> Contract Attorney 845 South Figueroa Street Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 (213) 765-1336</p> <p>Bar # 286250</p>	<p>Case Number(s): 13-O-14500</p>	<p>For Court use only</p> <p><b>FILED</b></p> <p>APR 16 2014 <i>MS</i></p> <p>STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES</p> <p><b>PUBLIC MATTER</b></p>
<p>In Pro Per Respondent</p> <p><b>William Edward Levin</b> 99 Huaykaew Road Huaykaew Place, No. 802 Chiang Mai 50200 THAILAND</p> <p>Bar # 104631</p>	<p>Submitted to: <b>Settlement Judge</b></p> <p>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING</p> <p><b>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED</p>	
<p>In the Matter of: <b>WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN</b></p> <p>Bar # 104631</p> <p>A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)</p>		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

kwiktag® 048 622 049



**A. Parties' Acknowledgments:**

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **December 3, 1982**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **11** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

*MS*  
2/21/14

(Do not write above this line.)

- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
  - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: **two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order.** (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
  - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
  - Costs are entirely waived.

**B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **Prior record of discipline**
- (a)  State Bar Court case # of prior case **10-O-00362 (See Page 8 for details.)**
  - (b)  Date prior discipline effective **July 13, 2011**
  - (c)  Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: **Rules of Professional Conduct, rules 3-700(A)(2) (improper withdrawal from employment) and 4-100(B)(3) (failure to render accounts of client funds, two counts).**
  - (d)  Degree of prior discipline **One year of stayed suspension and two years of probation, conditioned on a 30-day actual suspension**
  - (e)  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2)  **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4)  **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (5)  **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6)  **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7)  **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. **(See Attachment at Page 8.)**
- (8)  **Restitution:** Respondent failed to make restitution.
- (9)  **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional aggravating circumstances:**

**C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2)  **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
- (3)  **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4)  **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5)  **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in restitution to \_\_\_\_\_ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6)  **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7)  **Good Faith:** Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
- (8)  **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
- (9)  **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10)  **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (11)  **Good Character:** Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12)  **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13)  **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional mitigating circumstances:**

**Pre-filing stipulation. (See Attachment at Page 8.)**

**D. Discipline:**

(1)  **Stayed Suspension:**

- (a)  Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of **two years**.
- i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.  and until Respondent does the following:
- (b)  The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2)  **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **two years**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3)  **Actual Suspension:**

- (a)  Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **90 days**.
- i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.  and until Respondent does the following:

**E. Additional Conditions of Probation:**

- (1)  If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (2)  During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4)  Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5)  Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6)  Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7)  Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8)  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
  - No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9)  Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10)  The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
  - Substance Abuse Conditions                       Law Office Management Conditions
  - Medical Conditions                                       Financial Conditions

**F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:**

- (1)  **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National

(Do not write above this line.)

---

Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**

No MPRE recommended. Reason: **Respondent is presently suspended for failing to provide proof of passage of the MPRE as required by California Supreme Court order no. S192078 and will remain suspended until he provides proof of passage of the MPRE to the Office of Probation and obtains an order from the State Bar Court relieving him of the suspension. The protection of the public and the interests of the Respondent therefore do not require passage of the MPRE in this case. (See, In the Matter of Respondent G (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 181.).**

- (2)  **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3)  **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4)  **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5)  **Other Conditions:**

**ATTACHMENT TO**  
**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION**

IN THE MATTER OF:                      WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN

CASE NUMBER:                            13-O-14500

**FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.**

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 13-O-14500 (Complainant: Probation)

FACTS:

1. By order entered on June 13, 2011, and effective July 13, 2011, based on a stipulation between Respondent and the State Bar of California, the Supreme Court of California suspended Respondent from the practice of law for one year, stayed, and placed him on a disciplinary probation for two years subject to certain terms and conditions, including a 30-day actual suspension. The Supreme Court served the order on the date it was entered and Respondent received the order.
2. The Supreme Court order required that Respondent comply with the terms and conditions of his probation, including that he submit to the State Bar's Office of Probation a report for each calendar quarter during the probationary period not later than ten days following the end of the calendar quarter (i.e., by each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the probationary period) and that he submit a final report by July 13, 2013.
3. Respondent was also required as a term of probation to provide satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar's Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the discipline.
4. Respondent was also required, though not as a term of probation, to take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination (MPRE) by July 13, 2012. Respondent was suspended from the practice of law on September 28, 2012 after failing to provide proof of passage of the MPRE.
5. Respondent submitted the January 10, 2012 quarterly report on January 17, 2012, seven days late. Respondent submitted the July 10, 2012 quarterly report on August 27, 2012, 48 days late. Respondent submitted the October 10, 2012 quarterly report on April 8, 2013, 180 days late. Respondent submitted the January 10, 2013 quarterly report on March 7, 2013, 56 days late. Respondent submitted the final report, due July 13, 2013, on September 2, 2013, 51 days late. Respondent submitted the October 10, 2012, and January 10, 2013, quarterly reports late due in part to his mistaken and unreasonable belief that he was no longer responsible for submitting quarterly reports after he was suspended from practice on September 28, 2012, for failing to provide proof of passage of the MPRE.

6. Respondent failed to attend a session of the State Bar's Ethics School and consequently failed to submit proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar's Ethics school and passage of the test given at the end of that session. Respondent relocated to Thailand on or about September 29, 2011.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

7. By failing to submit timely four quarterly reports and the final report, and by failing to attend a session of the State Bar's Ethics School, Respondent failed to comply with all conditions attached to his disciplinary probation, in willful violation of the Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k).

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.5(a)):** Respondent has a prior record of discipline involving two client matters for misconduct occurring between November 2008 and November 2010. Respondent's misconduct consisted of failing to account for advanced fees in both matters, and withdrawing from representation without informing his client in one of the two matters. He received a one-year stayed suspension and two years of probation with conditions including a 30-day actual suspension. The misconduct described in this stipulation involves Respondent's failure to comply with the terms of probation in his prior discipline. Respondent's prior record of discipline is a significant aggravating factor.

**Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)):** In the present matter, Respondent has violated multiple conditions of his probation. Respondent's multiple violations of his probation constitute multiple acts of misconduct and are an aggravating circumstance.

#### MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Pre-filing Stipulation:** Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a full stipulation with the Office of Chief Trial Counsel prior to the filing of charges, thereby saving State Bar Court time and resources. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

#### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (*In re Silvertown* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (*In re Naney* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.)



“Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure.” (Std. 1.1; *Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member’s willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In the instant case, contrary to the Supreme Court’s disciplinary order, Respondent committed multiple violations of his probation: Respondent failed to timely submit four quarterly reports and the final report, submitting them between seven days and nearly six months late, and failed to attend a session of the State Bar’s Ethics School. Respondent’s violations of his disciplinary probation constitute a violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(k).

Standard 2.10 provides that when an attorney is found culpable of failing to comply with a condition of discipline, actual suspension is appropriate and the degree of sanction depends on the nature of the condition violated and the member’s unwillingness or inability to comply with disciplinary orders. (Std. 2.10.)

The requirement that Respondent submit quarterly reports is intended to assist Respondent with his rehabilitation by requiring Respondent to verify to the Office of Probation each quarter that he has maintained compliance with the Rules and Statutes pertaining to his ethical duties. The requirement that Respondent attend the State Bar’s Ethics School is also intended to assist Respondent with his rehabilitation in that the State Bar’s Ethics School, among other things, offers a measure of assurance that Respondent has reviewed and considered afresh his professional responsibilities. Therefore, these conditions are closely related to the reasons for imposing prior discipline and to Respondent’s rehabilitation. In analyzing the appropriate level of discipline in cases involving probation violations, more serious sanctions should be applied to those probation violations closely related to the reasons for imposing the previous discipline or closely related to rehabilitation. (*In the Matter of Gorman* (Review Dept. 2003) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 567, 573.)

Moreover, Respondent has a prior record of discipline including a 30-day actual suspension. Standard 1.8(a) provides that when an attorney has a prior record of discipline, the degree of discipline imposed in the current proceeding must be greater than that imposed in the prior proceeding unless the prior discipline was so remote in time and the previous misconduct was lacking in seriousness such that imposing greater discipline in the current proceeding would be manifestly unjust. Neither of the two exceptions to standard 1.8(a) applies to Respondent’s prior discipline.

It is important to note for purposes of determining the appropriate level of discipline that Respondent did submit the quarterly reports and final report late as opposed to not at all. Furthermore, Respondent submitted the October 10, 2012 and January 10, 2013 quarterly reports late due in part to his mistaken and unreasonable belief that he was no longer responsible for submitting quarterly reports after he was suspended from practice on September 28, 2012 for failing to provide proof of passage of the MPRE.

Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a pre-filing stipulation. However, Respondent’s mitigation for entering into this stipulation is not sufficient to warrant deviation from Standards 2.10 and 1.8(a).

Based on the facts, aggravating and mitigating circumstances, and the standards, discipline consisting of a two-year stayed suspension and two years of probation subject to certain conditions, including a 90-day actual suspension, is warranted to protect the public the courts, and the integrity of the legal profession.

**COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.**

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of October 1, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,925. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

**EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT**

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may not receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School and/or any other educational course(s) to be ordered as a condition of reproof or suspension. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN	Case number(s): 13-O-14500
---	-------------------------------

**SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES**

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

March 9, 2014      William Edward Levin      William Edward Levin  
Date                      Respondent's Signature                      Print Name

March 27, 2014      [Signature]      Tyroe A. Sandoval  
Date                      Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature                      Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN	Case Number(s): 13-O-14500
---	-------------------------------

### ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

April 16, 2014  
Date

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE E. SCOTT, JUDGE PRO TEM  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 16, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN  
99 HUAYKAEW ROAD  
HUAYKAEW PLACE, NO. 802  
CHIANG MAI 50200, THAILAND**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**TYRONE SANDOVAL, Enforcement, Los Angeles**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 16, 2014.



---

Tammy Cleaver  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court