

(Do not write above this line.)

<b>State Bar Court of California Hearing Department San Francisco ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b>		
<b>Counsel For The State Bar</b>  <b>Suzan J. Anderson</b> Senior Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2209  Bar # 160559	<b>Case Number(s):</b> 13-O-14632-PEM  <b>PUBLIC MATTER</b>	<b>For Court use only</b>  <b>FILED</b> <i>llb</i>  <b>MAY 27 2014</b>  STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO
<b>In Pro Per Respondent</b>  <b>Dennis Lynn Wright</b> 228 Belle Avenue San Rafael, CA 94901 (415) 246-9858  Bar # 60210	<b>Submitted to: Settlement Judge</b>  STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING  <b>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	
<b>In the Matter of:</b> <b>DENNIS LYNN WRIGHT</b>  Bar # 60210  A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

**Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.**

**A. Parties' Acknowledgments:**

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **June 18, 1974**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **11** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Effective January 1, 2014)



*llb*

- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
  - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: **two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court Order.** (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
  - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
  - Costs are entirely waived.

**B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **Prior record of discipline**
- (a)  State Bar Court case # of prior case **09-O-11892, 11-O-13095, consolidated**
  - (b)  Date prior discipline effective **February 14, 2014**
  - (c)  Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: **See Attachment to Stipulation, at page 8.**
  - (d)  Degree of prior discipline **1 year stayed suspension, 3 years' probation to include 120 days actual suspension with probation conditions.**
  - (e)  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2)  **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4)  **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5)  **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6)  **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.

- (7)  **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. **See Attachment to Stipulation, at page 8.**
- (8)  **Restitution:** Respondent failed to make restitution.
- (9)  **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional aggravating circumstances:**

**C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2)  **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
- (3)  **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4)  **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5)  **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in restitution to \_\_\_\_\_ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6)  **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7)  **Good Faith:** Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
- (8)  **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
- (9)  **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10)  **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11)  **Good Character:** Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12)  **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(Do not write above this line.)

(13)  **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional mitigating circumstances:**

**Pretrial Stipulation - See Attachment to Stipulation, at page 9.**

**D. Discipline:**

(1)  **Stayed Suspension:**

(a)  Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of **two years**.

i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

iii.  and until Respondent does the following:

(b)  The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2)  **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **two years**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3)  **Actual Suspension:**

(a)  Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **six months**.

i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct

ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.

iii.  and until Respondent does the following:

**E. Additional Conditions of Probation:**

(1)  If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

(2)  During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.

(3)  Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of

(Do not write above this line.)

information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

- (4)  Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5)  Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6)  Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7)  Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8)  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended. Reason: **As a result of Respondent's prior discipline he is already under order to complete Ethics School by March 15, 2015.**
- (9)  Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10)  The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions         | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions             |

#### F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1)  **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**

(Do not write above this line.)

---

No MPRE recommended. Reason: As a result of Respondent's prior discipline he is already under order to complete the MPRE by March 15, 2015. The protection of the public and the interests of Respondent therefore do not require passage of the MPRE in this case. (See In the Matter of Respondent G (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal State Bar Ct. Rptr. 181).

- (2)  **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3)  **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4)  **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5)  **Other Conditions:**



## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

9. By failing to refund the \$450 in unearned fees to Turner for 15 months after termination, respondent failed to promptly refund unearned fees upon respondent's termination of employment, in willful violation of rule 3-700(D)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

10. By failing provide the client file to Turner for 15 months, respondent failed to release promptly, upon respondent's termination of employment, the client's file, following the client's request, willful violation of rule 3-700(D)(1) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

11. By failing to provide an accounting to Turner of advanced fees for 15 months after termination, respondent failed to render an appropriate accounting, upon respondent's termination of employment, in willful violation of rule 4-100(B)(3) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

12. By failing to respond to the State Bar investigator's letter of September 20, 2013, respondent failed to cooperate with the State Bar investigation in willful violation of section 6068(i) of the Business and Professions Code.

## AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.5(a)):** Respondent has one prior record of discipline. By Supreme Court Order No. S215092, respondent was suspended for one year stayed and three years' probation to include 120 days' actual suspension, effective March 15, 2014. This matter involved seven cases which were consolidated, with misconduct spanning from May 2008 through March 2011. Respondent stipulated to four counts of failing to competently perform in violation of rule 3-110(A) of the Rules of Professional Conduct; five counts of failing to communicate with his clients in violation of section 6068(m) of the Business and Professions Code; three counts of failing to cooperate in State Bar investigation in violation of section 6068(i) of the Business and Professions Code; one count of failing to return client's file at client's request in violation of rule 3-700(D)(1); one count of failing to execute substitution of attorney and forward client's file to client's new attorney in violation of rule 3-700(A)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct; one count of moral turpitude for issuing at least 14 checks against insufficient funds in his trust account in violation of section 6106 of the Business and Professions Code; and one count of commingling client funds with personal funds in his trust account in violation of rule 4-100(A) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

In aggravation, respondent's misconduct involved client trust funds, and he either refused or was unable to account for those funds; respondent significantly harmed his clients; and there were multiple acts of misconduct.

In mitigation, respondent was entitled to significant mitigation because he had no prior record of discipline in 34 years of practice. Also, respondent was given limited mitigation for dealing with the aftermath of a close family member's attempted suicide.

**Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)):** In this matter, respondent's four acts of professional misconduct in one client matter evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing.

## MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

### Additional Mitigating Circumstances:

**Pretrial Stipulation:** Respondent has now acknowledged his misconduct and stipulated to facts, conclusions of law, and disposition in order to resolve his disciplinary proceedings as efficiently as possible, thereby avoiding the necessity of a trial and saving the State Bar time and resources. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].) Although, this mitigation is tempered by respondent's failure to cooperate in the State Bar investigation.

## AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (*In re Silvertown* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (*In re Naney* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; *Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter, respondent admits to committing four acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed."

The most severe sanction applicable to respondent's misconduct is found in standard 2.2(b), which applies to respondent's violation of rule 4-100(B)(3), his failure to render an accounting. Standard 2.2(b) provides that suspension or reproof is appropriate for a violation of Rule 4-100(B)(3).

Standard 1.8(a) is also applicable and provides that if an attorney has a prior record of discipline, the degree of discipline in the current proceeding shall be greater than the discipline imposed in the prior

proceeding, subject to an exception not applicable here. Pursuant to standard 1.8(a), discipline in this matter should be greater than the discipline imposed in case number 09-O-11892, a 120-day actual suspension.

Here, respondent failed to provide Turner with an accounting, failed to promptly return the advanced fees of \$450, and failed to promptly return the client's file, for more than one year and only after the State Bar was involved. Although the misconduct is limited to one client matter, it is serious.

In aggravation, respondent does have one imposition of prior discipline, and has committed multiple acts of misconduct. In mitigation, respondent has entered into this pretrial stipulation admitting to the misconduct. Although respondent is not entitled to mitigation for returning the advanced fees, (*Doyle v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d 12,24), the client file, and preparing an accounting for Turner after the commencement of these disciplinary proceedings, these repentant actions show that respondent attempted to lessen the harm suffered by Turner due to respondent's misconduct. When consideration is given to the fact that respondent has now taken objective steps to demonstrate his remorse and recognition of wrongdoing, it leads to the conclusion that respondent has recognized his misconduct, is willing and able to conform to ethical responsibilities required of all attorneys, and is unlikely to commit misconduct in the future. Accordingly, discipline at the mid-range of the standard is appropriate. A six-month actual suspension with probation conditions for two years will serve the purposes of attorney discipline.

#### **DISMISSALS.**

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss the following alleged violations in the interest of justice:

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Count</u>	<u>Alleged Violation</u>
13-O-14632	One	Rule of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A)
13-O-14632	Five	Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m)

#### **COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.**


Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of May 23, 2014, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$5,418. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.


(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: DENNIS LYNN WRIGHT	Case number(s): 13-O-14632-PEM
---	-----------------------------------

**SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES**

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

5/23/2014            DENNIS LYNN WRIGHT  
Date                      Respondent's Signature                      Print Name

5/23/14            SUZAN J. ANDERSON  
Date                      Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature                      Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: DENNIS LYNN WRIGHT	Case Number(s): 13-O-14632-PEM
---	-----------------------------------

**ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER**


Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

May 27, 2014

Date



Judge of the State Bar Court

**LUCY ARMENDARIZ**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, On May 27, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

DENNIS LYNN WRIGHT  
D L WRIGHT & ASSOCIATES  
4040 CIVIC CENTER DR  
SAN RAFAEL, CA 94903

DENNIS LYNN WRIGHT  
228 BELLE AVENUE  
SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Suzan J. Anderson, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on May 27, 2014.

  
Laretta Cramer  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court