Bryan Robinson, Esq. (SBN #188493)
Law Office Of Bryan Robinson
945 Taraval Street, # 403
San Francisco, CA 94116
P (415) 467-4050
F (415) 358-4180

In Pro Per

FILED

JAN 07 2015

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

THE STATE BAR COURT

HEARING DEPARTMENT-SAN FRANCISCO

In the Matter of: Bryan L. Robinson, No. 188493 A Member of the State Bar	Case No.: 13-O-15013 LMA **ANSWER TO THE STATE BAR COMPLAINT & NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES** Date: January 5th, 2015 Judge: Lucy Amendariz 180 Howard St., San Francisco, CA 94105 Dept: 6th Floor Time: 9:30 AM

TO THE HONORABLE LUCY AMENDARIZ, STATE BAR JUDGE, DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL CATHERINE TAYLOR, AND ALL OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES:

Movant, Bryan Robinson, Esq., respectfully provides the following answer to the Notice of Disciplinary Charges. Respondent generally denies all each and every element and statement of the complaint. Respondent would like to incorporate by reference the contents, exhibits and declarations of the motion to dismiss filed on January 5, 2015.

Count One— respondent has not failed to perform the tasks requested by the client.

Count Two—The agreement entered into by the parties with a flat fee arrangement. The billing and records will show that the clients are not due a refund. In actuality, if the complainants had to pay hourly for the work done, they would owe money. Furthermore some of the services and experts consulted are beyond their reach and would have never been available to them.

kwiktag® 183 822 088

Count Three--respondent has not intentionally failed to respond to client inquiries.

Count Four-- respondent has not intentionally or willfully held on to the client's file.

Count Five-- respondent has cooperated with the State Bar investigation.

As I stated in my motion to dismiss. I have a enough information to refute all the charges made in this Notice of Disciplinary Charges. I should be able to put that together by February 10, 2015, after completing the briefing schedule for the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Counts Six- Fourteen— respondent denies every allegation made in counts 6 through 14. The State Bar Court is pre-empted on these matter as the identical issues are before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals. This is a collateral attack a blatant attempt at an end run on the constitutional rights to appeal an order, decree or judgment of the court. The allegations made by complainants are based on perjured statement, fraudulent misrepresentations and multiple violations of title 11 United States Bankruptcy Code, multiple violations of title 18 Crimes and Criminal Procedure, Chapter 9 Bankruptcy Crimes, multiple violations of the State Bar Act, California Business and Professions Code §6000 et seq. and multiple violations of the California Rules of Professional Conduct. Complainants, with the aid, assistance and guidance of their attorney, have procured this order and judgment by fraud, misrepresentation and misleading the court. Respondent has made every effort to comply with the court's order.

Respondent prays that the State Bar Court grants the motion to dismiss this complaint.

Dated: 2nd day of January 2015

By: 
Bryan Robinson, Esq.
In Pro Per