PUDLIC MATTER 1 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 2 **JAYNE KIM, No. 174614** FII FD CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 3 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL DEC 2 6 2014 SUSAN CHAN, No. 233229 4 SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL 5 SHERRIE B. McLETCHIE, No. 85447 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL **SAN FRANCISCO** 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105-1639 7 Telephone: (415) 538-2297 8 STATE BAR COURT 9 HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 Case Nos.: 13-O-17506 In the Matter of: 12 NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES JOANN LEIGH PHEASANT, 13 No. 248423, 14 15 A Member of the State Bar 16 17 **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!** IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE 18 WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT 19 THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL: 20 (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU 21 WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION 22 AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND; (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. 23 SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN 24 ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEO., 25 RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA. 26 27 ///

kwiktag ° 183 822 065

28

1 The State Bar of California alleges: 2 **JURISDICTION** 1. Joann Leigh Pheasant ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State 3 of California on February 22, 2007, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is 4 5 currently a member of the State Bar of California. 6 COUNT ONE 7 Case No. 13-O-17506 8 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A) [Failure to Perform with Competence] 9 2. On or about September 15, 2011, Dean Doglietto, employed respondent to perform 10 legal services, namely to represent Doglietto in Dean A. Doglietto v. Trinity Protection Services, 11 Inc., US District Court case no. 11-EV-0101-MCE-JFM which respondent intentionally, 12 recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform with competence, in willful violation of Rules of 13 Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A), by the following: 14 A) failing to attempt to interview key witnesses identified by Doglietto in support 15 of Doglietto's claim; 16 B) failing to appear at Doglietto's deposition on May 4, 2012, until contacted by Doglietto; C) failing to file any opposition to defendant's motion to compel discovery; D) failing to propound discovery on behalf of Doglietto; 18 E) making inadmissible statements in court pleadings regarding purported 19 settlement agreements; F) failing to appear at a June 28, 2012 hearing on a motion to quash filed by 20 respondent; G) failing to adequately respond to defendant's discovery such that respondent and Doglietto were sanctioned by the court; and 22 H) failing to oppose motion for terminating sanctions. 23 **COUNT TWO** 24 Case No. 13-O-17506 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m) 25 [Failure to Inform Client of Significant Development] 26 3. Respondent failed to keep respondent's client, Dean Doglietto, reasonably informed 27

17

21

28

of significant developments in a matter in which respondent had agreed to provide legal services,

-2-

in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m), by failing to advise the 2 client until January 11, 2013, that his case had been dismissed as of December 26, 2012, as the 3 consequence of the court granting defendant's motion for summary judgment. **COUNT THREE** 5 Case No. 13-O-17506 6 Business and Professions Code, section 6103 [Failure to Obey a Court Order] 7 8 4. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring respondent to do or 9 forbear an act connected with or in the course of respondent's profession which respondent ought 10 in good faith to do or forbear by failing to comply with the June 28, 2012 Order to respondent to 11 respondent to the defendant's discovery requests within 30 days in Dean A. Doglietto v. Trinity 12 Protection Services, Inc., US District Court case no. 11-EV-0101-MCE-JFM in willful violation 13 of Business and Professions Code, section 6103. 14 **NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!** 15 YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR 16 COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL 17 THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN 18 THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE MEMBER OF ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE 19 RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 27

-3-

28

**NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!** IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. Respectfully submitted, THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL .Mc Yetchie DATED: December 26, 2014 Sherrie B. McLetchie Senior Trial Counsel 



by

U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL and U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL

CASE NUMBER(s): 13-O-17506

DATED: December 26, 2014

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that: - on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows: NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) By U.S. Certified Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco. By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) - I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request. By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was (for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at San Francisco, addressed to: (see below) (for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 7160 3901 9845 1536 1775 at San Francisco, addressed to: (see below) (for Overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, Tracking No.: addressed to: (see below) Person Served **Business-Residential Address** Courtesy Copy via U.S. First-Class Mail to: Fax Number Joann Leigh Pheasant Law Offices of Joann L Pheasant **Electronic Address** Megan Elizabeth Zavieh Joann Leigh Pheasant, 372 Florin Rd Ste 179 12460 Crabapple Rd Ste 202-272 Respondent Sacramento, CA 95831 Alpharetta, GA 30004 N/A I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on the date shown below.

Meagan McGowan Declarant

State Bar of California
DECLARATION OF SERVICE

SIGNED: