(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles STAYED SUSPENSION				
Counsel For The State Bar Anand Kumar	Case Number(s): 13-O-17537 (Inv)	For Court use only		
Deputy Trial Counsel 845 South Figueroa Street		PUBLIC MATTER		
Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1714		FILED		
Bar # 261592		DEC 1 2 2014		
Counsel For Respondent		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO		
Anthony P. Radogna Law Offices of Anthony Radogna 981 Corporate Center Drive, Ste. 108 Pomona, CA 91768				
(909) 622-5049	Submitted to: Assigned Jud	dge		
Bar # 261859	STIPULATION RE FACTS, ODISPOSITION AND ORDER	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND R APPROVING		
In the Matter of: MICHAEL JOEL KELLY	STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION			
	☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION	ON REJECTED		
Bar # 105880				
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)				

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 3, 1982.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.

(Do n	ot write	above this line.)			
(4)		atement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included er "Facts."			
(5)	Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".				
(6)		The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."			
(7)		more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.			
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):				
		Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline. Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: two (2) billing cycles immediately following the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.			
Pro	fess	avating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for ional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances uired.			
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]			
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case			
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective			
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:			
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline			
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.			
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.			
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.			
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			

(Do no	t write	above this line.)
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(Do not write above this line.)	
(13) No mitigating circumstances are involved.	
Additional mitigating circumstances	
Lack of Prior Record of Discipline, see stipulation, at page 10.	
Pre-filing stipulation, see stipulation, at page 10.	

,					
(Do n	ot write	e abov	e this lir	ne.)	
D. [Disci	iplin	e:		
(1)					
	(a)	\boxtimes	Res	pondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two (2) years.	
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:	
	The	e abo	ve-refe	erenced suspension is stayed.	
(2)	\boxtimes	Pro	batior	1:	
	Res	spond he Su	dent is uprem	placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, which will commence upon the effective date e Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)	
E. <i>F</i>	Addi	tion	al Co	onditions of Probation:	
(1)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(2)	\boxtimes	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.			
(3)	\boxtimes	and con pro	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.		
(4)	×	July whe con are cur	Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.		
		In a	addition enty (20	n to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than 0) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.	
(5)		cor Dui in a	iditions ring the addition	ent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and sof probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. The period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must be fully with the probation monitor.	

(Do not write above this line.)						
(6)	Ø	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.				
(7)	\boxtimes	Proba	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.			
			No Ethics School recommended.	Reason:	•	
(8)		must	condent must comply with all conditions of perjury obation.	ons of probati in conjunction	on imposed in the underlying criminal matter and n with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office	
(9)	\boxtimes	The f	following conditions are attached he	reto and inco	rporated:	
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions	
F. C)the	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the P	arties:		
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), Californi Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure. No MPRE recommended. Reason:				
(2)		Oth	ner Conditions:			

<u>(Do</u>	not write above this line.)			·
	In the Matter of: MICHAEL JOEL KELLY		nber(s): 37	
Fir	nancial Conditions	······································		
a.	Restitution			
	Respondent must pay restitution payee(s) listed below. If the Clor any portion of the principal amount(s) paid, plus applicable	lient Security Fund ("CSF") has amount(s) listed below, Respond	reimbursed one or more of t	he payee(s) for all
	Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From	
	Respondent must pay above-re Probation not later than	eferenced restitution and provid .	e satisfactory proof of payme	ent to the Office of
b.	Installment Restitution Payments	s		
	Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reproval), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.			
	Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency	
	☐ If Respondent fails to pay any the remaining balance is due a	installment as described above, and payable immediately.	or as may be modified by th	e State Bar Court,
c.	Client Funds Certificate			
	report, Respondent mo public accountant or o a. Respondent has n California, at a bra	ses client funds at any time duri ust file with each required repor ther financial professional appromaintained a bank account in a lanch located within the State of nt" or "Clients' Funds Account";	t a certificate from Responde oved by the Office of Probation oank authorized to do busine	ent and/or a certified on, certifying that: The same in the State of
(Eff	ective January 1, 2011)			

Financial Conditions

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 - 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account:
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit, and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

MICHAEL JOEL KELLY

CASE NUMBER:

13-O-17537

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 13-O-17537 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

- 1. On October 15, 2013, Wade and Linda Cross (the "Crosses") hired Respondent to appear on behalf of the Crosses at an October 17, 2013 hearing in Kern County concerning a pending domestic violence restraining order involving the Crosses. The terms of employment called for the Crosses to pay Respondent \$517.50.
- 2. On October 17, 2013, after appearing at the hearing on behalf of the Crosses, Respondent charged and collected his earned legal fees by requesting the Crosses to pay \$517.50 to Respondent using an attachment to his smartphone that enabled Respondent to charge and collect legal fees by having clients swipe their credit cards on the attachment.
- 3. On October 17, 2013, Respondent had the Crosses make a credit card payment for Respondent's earned legal fees through Respondent's smartphone attachment, which caused an electronic deposit of \$517.50 to be made instantly into Respondent's client trust account at Bank of America.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

4. By causing his earned legal fees paid by the Crosses to be deposited into his client trust	
account, Respondent deposited and commingled funds belonging to Respondent into in a bank account	unt
labeled "Trust Account," "Client's Funds Account" or words of similar import, in willful violation of)f
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).	

///
///
///
///
///
///
///
///
///

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Additional Mitigating Circumstances:

Lack of Prior Record of Discipline Over Many Years of Practice: Respondent has no prior record of discipline since being admitted on December 3, 1982, but the current misconduct is serious because it involves Respondent's mismanagement of his client trust account. Accordingly, while he is not entitled to mitigation under standard 1.6(a), Respondent's more than 30 years of discipline-free practice prior to the instant misconduct is entitled to significant mitigation. (Hawes v. State Bar (1990) 51 Cal.3d 587, 596 [more than 10 years of discipline-free practice entitled to significant mitigation].)

Pre-filing Stipulation: Respondent has cooperated with the State Bar by entering into the instant stipulation fully resolving the matter at an early stage in the disciplinary process without the necessity of a trial, thereby saving State Bar resources. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter, Respondent admits to committing a single act professional misconduct, which triggers the application of Standard 2.2(a). Standard 2.2(a) provides that actual suspension of three (3) months is appropriate for commingling. Using a client trust account for personal purposes constitutes a

violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A). (See *Doyle v. State Bar* (1982) 32 Cal.3d. 12, 22-23 [rule 4-100 "bars use of the trust account for personal purposes"].)

While Standard 2.2(a) calls for a three-month actual suspension, under the current circumstances, there is a compelling justification and reason to deviate from Standard 2.2(a) and impose lesser discipline. (See, *In re Silverton, supra*, 36 Cal.4th at p. 92.) Standard 1.7(c) states that "If mitigating circumstances are found, they should be considered alone and in balance with any aggravating circumstances, and if the net effect demonstrates that a lesser sanction is needed to fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, it is appropriate to impose or recommend a lesser sanction than what is otherwise specified in a given Standard." Here, Respondent's misconduct must be viewed in light of his lengthy discipline-free record of practice and the lack of aggravating circumstances present. Respondent is entitled to significant mitigation in light of his lack of prior discipline in over thirty years prior to the instant misconduct. There is no evidence that Respondent failed to maintain or misappropriated client funds, used client funds to pay his personal expenses, or that any client was harmed as a result of Respondent's misconduct. Moreover, the type of misconduct is isolated and limited to a single instance of commingling. The fact that Respondent completed the State Bar Client Trust Accounting course in August 2008, and he is willing to take the course again and his early acknowledgment of commingling demonstrate his willingness and ability to conform to his ethical responsibilities.

Accordingly, a two (2) year stayed suspension and a two (2) year probation with conditions including his required attendance and completion of the State Bar client trust accounting course is appropriate discipline to protect the public, the courts and the legal profession, maintain high professional standards by attorneys and preserve public confidence in the legal profession.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of November 20, 2014, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$2,992. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School and Client Trust Accounting School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.)				
In the Matter of: MICHAEL JOEL KEL	Case number(s): 13-O-17537			
SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES				
recitations and each of the	the parties and their counsel, as applicable, seterms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fa	ignify their agreement with each of the acts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.		
November Z/, 2014 Date	Respondent's Signature	Michael Joel Kelly Print Name		
November 26, 2014	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Anthony P. Radogna Print Name		
November 26, 2014	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Anand Kumar		
Date	Dehnih Litai Contisei 2 DiAttarnie	Print Name		

(Do not write above this line.)				
In the Matter of: MICHAEL JOEL KEL	Case number(s): 13-O-17537			
SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES				
By their signatures below, recitations and each of the	the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signerms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fa	unify their agreement with each of the tts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.		
November Z /, 2014	11/1MMX / MM	Michael Joel Kelly		
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name		
November ∂_{k} , 2014		Anthony P. Radogna		
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name		
November , 2014		Anand Kumar		

Print Name

Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

Date

DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

Dec. 12, 2014

Date

Judge of the State Bar Court

LUCY ARMENDARIZ

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on December 12, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

ANTHONY P. RADOGNA LAW OFFICES OF ANTHONY RADOGNA 981 CORPORATE CENTER DR, STE 108 POMONA, CA 91768

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ANAND KUMAR, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on December 12, 2014.

Mazie Yip

Case Administrator State Bar Court