State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco PROBATION VIOLATION Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 13-PM-16618 **PUBLIC MATTER** Terrie Goldade 1149 S. Hill St. Los Angeles, CA 90015 Bar # 155348 JAN - 8 2014 Counsel For Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Jonathan I. Arons **SAN FRANCISCO** 221 Main St., Ste. 740 San Francisco, CA 94105 Submitted to: Assigned Judge Bar # 111257 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND **DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING** In the Matter of: David Scott Silber PROBATION VIOLATION—"PM" PROCEEDING Bar # 176377 ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 5, 1995.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

kwiktag ° 152 145 363

(6)		The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."				
(7)		No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)		Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):				
suspension). Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure (actual suspension). Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedu Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.		til costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless ief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure (actual suspension). In sets are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: In ardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If it is spondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar ourt, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. It is sets are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".				
B.		essi	ting Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.			
(1)	\boxtimes	Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]			
	(a)	\boxtimes	State Bar Court case # of prior case 10-O-00366, 10-O-03134			
	(b)	\boxtimes	Date prior discipline effective December 9, 2011			
	(c)	\boxtimes	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: RPC 3-110(A), 3-700(D)(1), 3-700(D)(2); B&P 6068(m)			
	(d)	\boxtimes	Degree of prior discipline one year, stayed; two years probation			
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.			
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.				
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.				
(4)		☐ Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.				
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.				
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.				

(Do n	<u>ot writ</u>	e above this line.)		
(7)	\boxtimes	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. There are multiple violations of probation.		
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.		
Add	ition	al aggravating circumstances:		
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.		
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.		
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.		
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.		
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.		
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.		
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.		
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.		
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.		
(12)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.		
Addi	ition	al mitigating circumstances:		
	See Stipulation Attachment.			

(Do not write above this line.)							
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.					
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test give at the end of that session.					
			No Ethics School recor	mmended. Reaso	n:	•	*
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.					
(10)		The f	following conditions are	attached hereto ar	nd inco	orporated:	
			Substance Abuse Cor	ditions		Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions			Financial Conditions	
F. O	the	r Cor	nditions Negotiated	by the Partie	s:		
(1)	Ø	Probation Conditions Deleted or Modified : Respondent has completed his law office management plan, Ethics School, and the MPRE and does not need to complete those conditions again. Respondent is to again contact the Office of Probation and schedule and have a meeting to review the terms and conditions of his probation; submit quarterly reports; and comply with his medical conditions.:					
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, within 30 and 40 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein.					
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, within 120 and 130 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein.					
(4)		Othe	r:				

Attachment language (if any):

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of the specified violations.

- 1. On July 11, 2011, the State Bar Court filed and served upon Respondent a Stipulation re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition and Order Approving in State Bar Court Case Nos. 10-O-00366 and 10-O-03134 ("Stipulation").
- 2. On November 9, 2011, the California Supreme Court filed an Order No. S196240 (State Bar Court Case Nos. 10-O-00366 and 10-O-03134) that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year, that execution of suspension be stayed and that Respondent be placed on probation for a period of two years, and that he be subject to the conditions of probation as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Stipulation filed on July 11, 2011.
- a. As a condition of probation, Respondent was ordered to comply with the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct and to report such compliance to the Office of Probation under penalty of perjury on or before January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of every year during the period of probation ("quarterly reports"). Respondent did not comply, as follows:

	•	
Due	Filed	Comments
4/10/12	4/10/12	timely
7/10/12	7/12/12	late
10/10/12	10/10/12	timely
1/10/13	1/10/13	timely
4/10/13	4/12/13	late
7/10/13	7/19/13	late
10/10/13	11/7/13	late
12/9/13	12/11/13	late

- b. As a condition of probation, Respondent was ordered to, within one year of the effective date of his discipline—by December 9, 2012, provide satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. Respondent attended Ethics School late on December 13, 2012, and provided proof January 10, 2013.
- c. As a condition of probation, Respondent was ordered to, within three months of the effective date of his discipline—by March 9, 2012, develop a law office management organization plan ("LOMP") which was to be approved by the Office of Probation. Respondent submitted a LOMP on March 9, 2012, but it was rejected for numerous deficiencies as set forth in a letter mailed to Respondent on March 15, 2012. On July 12, 2012, Respondent submitted an amended LOMP, which was rejected for several deficiencies as set forth in a letter mailed to Respondent on July 13, 2012. Respondent's second amended LOMP submitted January 10, 2013 was approved.
- d. As a condition of probation, Respondent was to obtain psychiatric or psychological help/treatment from a duly licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker at Respondent's expense a minimum of four times per month and furnish evidence of such with each quarterly report. Help/treatment

was to commence immediately, and in any event, no later than thirty days after the effective date of discipline—by January 8, 2012. Respondent has not complied, as follows:

Report Due	Comments
4/10/12	Report was submitted by a "MA", not a licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or
	clinical social worker; report was not filed
7/10/12	Report was submitted by a "MA", not a licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or
	clinical social worker; report was not filed
10/10/12	Report was submitted by a "MA", not a licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or
	clinical social worker; report was not filed
1/10/13	Report was submitted by a "MA", not a licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or
	clinical social worker; report was not filed
4/10/13	Although Respondent did not attend any counseling in January or February, a report
	filed with the Office of Probation late on November 26, 2013 confirmed 2 counseling sessions during March
7/10/13	Although Respondent affirms he had 4 sessions in May and 4 in June 2013, but that
	his counselor was ill and could not send a report, the Office of Probation was unable
	to confirm that the counselor was a psychiatrist, psychologist, or licensed clinical
	social worker
10/10/13	Although Respondent affirms he had 4 session in each July, August, and September
	2013, but that his counselor was ill and could not send a report, the Office of
	Probation was unable to confirm that the counselor was a psychiatrist, psychologist, or licensed clinical social worker
12/9/13	Respondent did not receive counseling in October. Respondent had 2 sessions in
	November and 1 in December. Resports were filed late as of December 16

- 3. On December 21, 2011, the Office of Probation mailed a reminder letter to Respondent at his membership records address outlining the terms and condition of his probation. Respondent received the letter.
- 4. On January 12, 2012, the Office of Probation conducted the required meeting with Respondent, reviewing all of Respondent's conditions and deadlines.

Legal Conclusion: By failing to (1) timely file quarterly reports, as set forth above in paragraph 2 a.; (2) timely complete Ethics School, as set forth above in paragraph 2 b.; (3) timely submit a satisfactory LOMP, as set forth in above in paragraph 2. c; and (4) obtain psychiatric or psychological help/treatment as set forth above in paragraph 2. d., Respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k).

CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH WERE CONSIDERED IN THE RESOLUTION OF THIS MATTER.

Respondent's discipline became effective on December 9, 2011. In approximately September 2012, Respondent's father was hospitalized with pneumonia; Respondent's mother required assistance during his father's illness which required Respondent to spend a significant amount of time travelling between Respondent's home in Nevada City and his parents' home in Santa Barbara over a period of six weeks.

Respondent is a single father to the son he adopted through a step-parent adoption with his ex-wife four years before their marriage ended in dissolution. Respondent's son, now aged 15, has been diagnosed with Attention Deficit Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, Anxiety, Depression, and Sensory Integration Disorder. His son's mother lives in Texas and sees their son approximately once a year. As a single parent, it was difficult for Respondent to find time to travel to San Francisco or Los Angeles from their home in Nevada City to complete Ethics School.

Respondent's finances for 2012 and 2013 have been poor, impacting his ability to pay for the psychiatric or psychological help/treatment from a duly licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker ("counselor") required by his probation condition. Although he did not comply with his condition, Respondent did attend a twelve week Parent Project Parenting Class at the Community Recovery Resources service center which ended June 2013. Respondent has now found a counselor who meets the requirements of his probation condition, and Respondent has received counseling on December 13 and 17 even though his probation in this matter has terminated.

Respondent now understands that he needs to receive psychiatric or psychological help/treatment from a counselor who meets the requirements of his condition and that he needs to comply with each of his conditions on a timely basis; he understands that even if he experiences other problems, he must file a motion for modification at his earliest opportunity if he will be unable to complete his conditions and continue to comply with his conditions until an order is filed modifying his conditions.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was November 26, 2013.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 2.6, subsection (a), states that culpability of a member of a violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k), shall result in disbarment or suspension depending upon the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

An attorney who violated his probation by failing to timely complete restitution and by failing to timely attend Ethics School, received two years' probation with a condition that he was to be actually suspended for the first 30 days. In the Matter of Gorman (Review Dept. 2003) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 567. Neither bad purpose nor intentional evil is required to establish willful violations of disciplinary probation. Id. at 572. An attorney's cooperation in stipulating to facts warrants some mitigative consideration. Id. More serious sanctions are assigned to probation violations closely related to reasons for imposition of previous discipline or to rehabilitation. Id. at 573-574.

In this matter, Respondent's underlying disciplinary violation was in relation to failing to comply with his probationary conditions. The probation conditions violated were related to his original misconduct, important for his rehabilitation, and were intended to assist the State Bar in monitoring Respondent's rehabilitation. However, in light of Respondent's circumstances (set forth above), it is agreed that the

degree of discipline set forth in this stipulation is appropriate in relation to standard 2.6 based upon Respondent's stipulation to his violations, and his agreement to extend his probation in order to demonstrate his willingness to prove his rehabilitation.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Probation has informed respondent that as of November 26, 2013, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,291. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

WAIVER OF ANY VARIANCES

The parties stipulate to waive any variance in the language, allegations, and conclusions of law between this stipulation and the Notice of Motion and Motion to Revoke Probation filed on October 25, 2013. Respondent acknowledges that this stipulation contains language, allegations, and a conclusion of law which may differ from the language, allegations, and conclusion of law contained in the Notice of Motion and Motion to Revoke Probation filed on October 25, 2013. The parties further stipulate to waive the right to have any amendment to the Notice of Motion and Motion to Revoke Probation.

///

///

///

(Do not write above this line.)			and the second s	
In the Matter of: David Scott Silber	Case number(s) 13-PM-16618	Case number(s): 13-PM-16618		
	SIGNATURE OF THE	PARTIES		
By their signatures below, the partie recitations and each of the terms an	es and their counsel, as applicated to conditions of this Stipulation I	ole, signify their agreement wi Re Facts, Conclusions of Law	th each of the , and Disposition.	
12/19/13	111.100			
Date	dent's Signature	David Scott Silber Print Name		
Date 20, 2013 Respons	W Olver	Jonathan I. Arons		
respond	sent's Courise Signature	Print Name	di d	

Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature
Supervising Attorney's

Terrie Goldade Print Name

Date

(Do not write above this line.)					
In the Matter of: David Scott Silber	Case number(s): 13-PM-16618				

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

Data		David Scott Silber
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
		Jonathan I. Arons
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
12,20,13 Date	_ Ferrie Goldade	Terrie Goldade
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature Supervising Attorney's	Print Name

In the Matte David Sco		Case Number(s): 13-PM-1 618* (66(9)		
	PROBATI	ION VIOLATION ORDER		
	stipulation to be fair to the parties and smissal of counts/charges, if any, is 0	that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the SRANTED without prejudice, and:		
Ø	The stipulated facts and disposition Supreme Court.	are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the		
	The stipulated facts and disposition DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to	are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the to the Supreme Court.		
Ø	All Hearing dates are vacated.			
within 15 day stipulation. (S	s after service of this order, is grante See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proce	ved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved edure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date 0 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of		

LUCY ARMENDARIZ
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on January 8, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

JONATHAN IRWIN ARONS LAW OFC JONATHAN I ARONS 221 MAIN ST STE 740 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TERRIE GOLDADE, Probation, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on January 8, 2014.

Mazie Yip

Case Administrator

State Bar Court