

ORIGINAL

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles ACTUAL SUSPENSION			PUBLIC MATTER	
Counsel For The State Bar Jamie Kim Deputy Trial Counsel 845 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90015 (213) 765-1182 Bar # 281574		Case Number(s): 14-H-03844		For Court use only FILED DEC 10 2014 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
In Pro Per Respondent Stanley Alari 120 6th St., Ste. 4B Seal Beach, CA 90740 (562) 279-4653 Bar # 53058		Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED		
In the Matter of: STANLEY ALARI Bar # 53058 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)				

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **December 14, 1972**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 11 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Effective January 1, 2014)

(Do not write above this line.)

- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- ☐ Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
 - ☒ Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: **the three billing cycles immediately following the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter.** (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
 - ☐ Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 - ☐ Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☒ **Prior record of discipline**
- (a) ☒ State Bar Court case # of prior case **13-O-11595 (See Attachment at p. 9)**
 - (b) ☒ Date prior discipline effective **October 16, 2013**
 - (c) ☒ Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: **rules 3-110(A) and 3-700(D)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct and Business and Professions Code section 6068(m)**
 - (d) ☒ Degree of prior discipline **Public Reprimand**
 - (e) ☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2) ☐ **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☐ **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) ☐ **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) ☐ **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) ☐ **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (7) ☒ **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. **See Attachment at page 9.**
- (8) ☐ **Restitution:** Respondent failed to make restitution.
- (9) ☐ **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☐ **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) ☐ **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
- (3) ☐ **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) ☐ **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) ☐ **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) ☐ **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) ☐ **Good Faith:** Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
- (8) ☐ **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
- (9) ☐ **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) ☐ **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) ☐ **Good Character:** Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) ☐ **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (13) ☐ No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Pre-Filing Stipulation, see Attachment at page 9.

D. Discipline:

- (1) ☒ **Stayed Suspension:**

- (a) ☒ Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of **one year**.
- i. ☐ and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii. ☐ and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. ☐ and until Respondent does the following:
- (b) ☒ The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

- (2) ☒ **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **one year**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

- (3) ☒ **Actual Suspension:**

- (a) ☒ Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **ninety (90) days**.
- i. ☐ and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii. ☐ and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. ☐ and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) ☐ If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) ☒ During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☒ Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

- (4) ☒ Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) ☒ Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) ☐ Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) ☒ Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) ☐ Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- ☒ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: **Respondent attended Ethics School on June 19, 2014, and passed the test given at the end of the session, in connection with case no. 13-O-11595.**
- (9) ☐ Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) ☐ The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) ☒ **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**

(Do not write above this line.)

☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2) ☒ **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3) ☐ **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) ☐ **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) ☐ **Other Conditions:**

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: STANLEY ALARI

CASE NUMBER: 14-H-03844

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 14-H-03844 (State Bar Investigation)

FACTS:

1. On August 26, 2013, respondent entered into a Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition ("Stipulation") with the State Bar of California in Case No. 13-O-11595.
2. On September 25, 2013, the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court filed an Order Approving the Stipulation and imposing upon respondent a public reproof with conditions attached for a period of one year ("Reproof Order").
3. On September 25, 2013, the Hearing Department's Reproof Order was properly served by mail to the membership records address of respondent's counsel. Respondent received the Reproof Order.
4. The Reproof Order became effective on October 16, 2013.
5. Pursuant to the Reproof Order, respondent was ordered to comply with the following relevant terms and conditions of reproof, among others:
 - a. submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation ("OP") on each January 10, April 10, July 10 and October 10 of the reproof period, stating under penalty of perjury whether he has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproof during the preceding calendar quarter. In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of the reproof and no later than the last day of the reproof period;
 - b. contact OP within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, and schedule a meeting with respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss the terms and conditions of the reproof. Upon the direction of OP, respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of the reproof, respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request; and
 - c. provide to OP proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE") within one year of the effective date of the reproof.

6. Respondent did not contact OP to schedule a meeting with his probation deputy by the due date of November 15, 2013. He then tardily contacted OP on April 1, 2014 and failed to attend a meeting with his probation deputy that had been scheduled for April 10, 2014. To date, respondent has not met with his probation deputy.

7. Respondent failed to timely submit to OP three quarterly reports that were due on January 10, 2014, April 10, 2014 and July 10, 2014. He submitted his April quarterly report on April 14, 2014 and his January and July quarterly reports on October 10, 2014. Respondent did submit his October quarterly report timely on October 10, 2014. However, the three quarterly reports that respondent submitted on October 10, 2014 were not filed because respondent had checked off multiple reporting periods on each of the quarterly reports rather than identifying the one quarter each report covered. As such, OP found the reports to be defective and rejected them.

8. Respondent took the MPRE in August 2014, but did not pass. He failed to provide to OP proof of successful passage of the MPRE by the due date of October 16, 2014 or at any time thereafter.

9. Respondent did not submit to OP a final report, which was due on October 16, 2014.

10. On October 22, 2014, OP sent an e-mail to respondent, notifying him that the quarterly reports submitted on October 10, 2014 were defective and not filed because each report should have identified the reporting period covered by the report. Respondent received the e-mail, but to date has not submitted to OP the quarterly reports for January, July and October 2014.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

11. By failing to timely contact OP to schedule a meeting, failing to meet with his probation deputy, failing to timely submit three quarterly reports due on January 10, April 10, and July 10, 2014, failing to submit compliant quarterly reports for January 10, July 10 and October 10 and failing to pass the MPRE by the due date, respondent failed to comply with all the conditions attached to his public reproof, in willful violation of rule 1-110 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.5(a)): Respondent has one prior record of discipline.

On September 25, 2013, the Hearing Department ordered that respondent be publicly reproofed, effective October 16, 2013, subject to terms and conditions for one year in State Bar Case Number 13-O-11595. Respondent violated rule 3-110(A) of the Rules of Professional Conduct, section 6068(m) of the Business and Professions Code and rule 3-700(D)(2) of the Rules of Professional in one client matter. Respondent was hired to represent a client with respect to a speeding ticket, but failed to appear in court for the trial and did not notify the client of the court date or his failure to appear. The court did not decide the client's case in respondent's absence, but did fine the client \$590. Respondent had also failed to respond to his client's communications and did not refund any of the unearned fees. The misconduct occurred in 2012-2013.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)): Respondent violated five conditions of his reproof.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Pre-filing Stipulation: Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into a stipulation prior to the filing of disciplinary charges, thereby saving State Bar time and resources and demonstrating respondent's acknowledgment and acceptance of responsibility for his misconduct. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the Standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the Standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (*In re Naney* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; *Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigation circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Standard 1.8(a) requires that respondent's discipline in this current proceeding must be greater than the previously imposed sanction unless the prior was so remote in time and the previous misconduct was not serious enough that imposing greater discipline would be manifestly unjust. Respondent's prior discipline, effective October 16, 2013, included a public reproof with conditions to last one year. This prior discipline was not remote in time and the misconduct it addressed was serious. Accordingly, pursuant to Standard 1.8(a), the current discipline must be greater than public reproof.

Standard 2.10 states, "Actual suspension is appropriate for failing to comply with a condition of discipline. The degree of sanction depends on the nature of the condition violated and the member's unwillingness or inability to comply with disciplinary orders." Here, respondent violated multiple conditions of his prior public reproof. Respondent failed to timely contact OP to schedule a meeting,

did not respond to OP's notice of required meeting, failed to attend the meeting and did not follow up to reschedule the meeting. He also submitted three of his quarterly reports untimely and has not submitted a final report, which was due on October 16, 2014. Three of the quarterly reports that respondent submitted were deemed defective by OP and were accordingly not filed. Respondent has not submitted a compliant version of these quarterly reports. Respondent has shown an unwillingness or inability to comply with disciplinary orders, which warrants longer actual suspension.

Thus, a one-year suspension, stayed, and a one-year probation with conditions including a ninety (90) day actual suspension is appropriate under the Standards and is consistent with Supreme Court case law. In *Conroy v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 799, the attorney, who had defaulted at the Hearing Department, failed to timely take and pass the Professional Responsibility Exam ("PRE"), but did tardily take and pass the PRE at the next opportunity, which brought him into compliance. The Supreme Court ordered that the attorney be suspended for one year, stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year with conditions, including a sixty-day actual suspension. While the attorney in *Conroy* violated only one condition of his reproof, here, respondent violated several conditions of his reproof. Further, unlike the attorney in *Conroy*, respondent has never brought himself into compliance with the conditions of his reproof. Accordingly, a longer period of actual suspension than that imposed in *Conroy* is warranted here.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of November 21, 2014, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$2,992.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)


In the Matter of Stanley Alari	Case number(s): 14-H-03844
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

11-21-14

Date


Respondent's Signature

Stanley Alari
Print Name

Date

11/24/14

Date

Respondent's Counsel Signature


Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

Print Name

Jamie Kim
Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Stanley Alari	Case Number(s): 14-H-03844
------------------------------------	-------------------------------

ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- ☒ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- ☐ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- ☐ All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

Date

DECEMBER 10, 2014


GEORGE E. SCOTT, JUDGE PRO TEM
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on December 10, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

STANLEY ALARI
STANLEY ALARI, ATTORNEY AT LAW
120 6TH ST 4B
SEAL BEACH, CA 90740

- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Jamie J. Kim, Enforcement, Los Angeles
Terrie Goldade, Office of Probation, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on December 10, 2014.



Julieta E. Gonzales
Case Administrator
State Bar Court