

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JAMES ANDRE BOLES
Bar No. 141639
10627 Almond Ave.
Oak View Ca.93022
Tel: (775) 997 4915
Pro se

FILED *[Signature]*
JAN 14 2015

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

filed per order of Judge

**STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
HEARING DEPARTMENT-LOS ANGELES**

STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

Complainant,

CASE NO. 14-J-03438

v.

JAMES ANDRE BOLES

Respondent.

ANSWER

This matter having been brought before the Hearing Department upon the filing of a Notice of Disciplinary Charges, Respondent offers the following answer.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

12. First Amendment Violation: The Nevada State Bar prosecuted Respondent in retaliation for his exercise of his First Amendment rights.

13. California Safeguards: California statutes, codes, and case law prohibit the Nevada Bar's acts and omissions.

14. Excessive Nature of Discipline: The California standards re nature of discipline do not give rise to discipline in California.

15. Laches: California failed to timely proceed and thereby prejudiced the rights of Respondent.

16. Failure to state a claim: The State Bar has failed to state a cognizable charge.


17. Unclean Hands: The California Bar by ratifying the misconduct of the Nevada Bar has unclean hands.

18. Lack of Notice: The California Bar has failed to properly and with necessary specificity inform Respondent of the nature of the charges.

19. Good Faith and Fair Dealing: The California Bar, by refusing to properly investigate and discover the misconduct of the Nevada Bar has breached a duty to Respondent.

20. Lack of Notice re Standards of Conduct: The California Bar, by adopting the standards of conduct promulgated by an incompetent and biased hearing board, has failed to adhere to the standards established by California law.

Respectfully submitted,



James André Boles, Pro se

Dated 1/9/2015