# ORIGINAL

## State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** Los Angeles STAYED SUSPENSION Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 14-O-01380-PEM Alex Hackert **Deputy Trial Counsel PUBLIC MATTER** 845 S. Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1498 Bar # 267342 In Pro Per Respondent APR 0 8 201 Steven Kassam 2845 Mount Niguel Cir. STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Corona, CA 92882 SAN FRANCISCO (951) 581-2672 Submitted to: Settlement Judge Bar # 224739 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND **DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING** In the Matter of: STEVEN KASSAM STAYED SUSPENSION: NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION Bar # 224739 ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted May 16, 2003.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

kwiktag \* 183 824 850

IR\_

(Do	not wr	ite abov	re this line.)		
(6)	Th	e parl	ies must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading ting Authority."		
(7)	No	o more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any noting investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.			
(8)	Pa	ymen	t of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & (Check one option only):		
		Co bil (H Re Co	ests are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline.  In the following membership years: Two ling cycles immediately following the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter.  If ardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If aspondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar out, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  In the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  If a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".  If a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".		
Mis		duct	ing Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional , standards 1.2(f) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are		
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline		
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case		
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective		
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:		
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline		
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.		
(2)			onesty: Respondent's misconduct was intentional, surrounded by, or followed by bad faith, pnesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional luct.		
(3)			<b>t Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account e client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or erty.		
(4)		Harn	n: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.		
(5)			ference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the equences of his or her misconduct.		
(6)			of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her onduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.		
(7)			ple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing monstrates a pattern of misconduct.		

(Do u	ot Write	e above tris line.)
(8)	$\boxtimes$	Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution. See Stipulation at page 10.
(9)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances
		ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.

## Additional mitigating circumstances

Pretrial Stipulation: see stipulation, at page 10.

No Prior Discipline: see stipulation, at page 10.

(Do	not wri	te abov	e this li	ine.)
<b>D.</b>	Disc	iplin	e:	
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Stay	ed Su	uspension:
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	Res	pondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year.
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:
	The	abov	e-refe	erenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Prot	ation	
	Res the	spond Supre	ent is eme C	placed on probation for a period of <b>one (1) year</b> , which will commence upon the effective date of court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)
E. <i>F</i>	\ddi1	tiona	l Co	nditions of Probation:
(1)	☒	Durir Profe	ng the	probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of al Conduct.
(2)	$\boxtimes$	State inform	Bar a	(10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of n, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(3)		and s cond proba	schedi itions ation d	y (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation ule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must neet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(4)		July 'whether condition are a curre	10, and ner Re tions on ny pro nt stat	nt must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, d October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state espondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there occedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and tus of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.
				to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

cooperate fully with the probation monitor.

(5)

Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must

	•		y <sup>0</sup>		<i>i</i>
(Do 1	not write	e above	e this line.)	<del></del>	
(6)	×	inqui direc	iries of the Office of Probation and	any probation n writing relatin	dent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any monitor assigned under these conditions which are g to whether Respondent is complying or has
(7)		Prob			ne herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of n of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the
			No Ethics School recommended	. Reason:	•
(8)		must			ion imposed in the underlying criminal matter and in with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office
(9)	$\boxtimes$	The	following conditions are attached h	nereto and inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	$\boxtimes$	Financial Conditions
F. C	Other	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the	Parties:	
(1)		the Cor <b>res</b>	Multistate Professional Responsible ference of Bar Examiners, to the	oility Examinati Office of Proba ut further hea	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE ring until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Procedure.
			No MPRE recommended. Reasor	n: .	
(2)		Oth	er Conditions:		

	e Matter of: VEN KASSAM	Case Nu 14-0-013		
inar	ncial Conditions			
Re	estitution			
	payee(s) listed below. If the (	ion (including the principal amou Client Security Fund ("CSF") has amount(s) listed below, Respon le interest and costs.	reimbursed one or more of the	payee(s) for al
P	ayee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From	
	Cathy Thibault	4,000	12/30/2011	
	·······			
-		<del> </del>		
	Probation not later than 90 da	we after the Currence Court or	dar impacina dicciplina baca	mes effective
Ins	Respondent must pay the about the provide satisfactory procases otherwise directed by the Control of the Contro	ts  ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of ProDffice of Probation. No later than al), Respondent must make any luding interest, in full.	payment schedule set forth bel bation with each quarterly prob a 30 days prior to the expiration	low. Responde pation report, or of the period o
Ins	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory production as otherwise directed by the Coprobation (or period of reprovative payment of restitution, inclination)	ts  ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Production. No later than al), Respondent must make any luding interest, in full.	payment schedule set forth belobation with each quarterly prob n 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) in c	low. Responde pation report, or of the period o
Ins	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory production as otherwise directed by the Coprobation (or period of reprovative payment of restitution, inclination)	ts  ove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Production. No later than al), Respondent must make any luding interest, in full.	payment schedule set forth belobation with each quarterly prob n 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) in c	low. Responde pation report, or of the period o
	Respondent must pay the about must provide satisfactory process otherwise directed by the Coprobation (or period of reprovathe payment of restitution, incompage (CSF (as applicable))	by e-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Probation. No later than al), Respondent must make any luding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount	payment schedule set forth belobation with each quarterly probable 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) in compared Payment Frequency	low. Respondention report, or of the period conder to comple

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
  - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such client:
    - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
    - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
    - 4. the current balance for such client.
  - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such account;
    - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
    - 3. the current balance in such account.
  - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
  - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
  - i. each item of security and property held;
  - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
  - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
  - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
  - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d	Client	Truet	Accou	ıntina	School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of
Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School,
within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

(Effective January 1, 2011)

Page <u>8</u>

## **ATTACHMENT TO**

## STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

STEVEN KASSAM

CASE NUMBER:

14-O-01380

#### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 14-O-01380 (Complainant: Kathy Thibault)

#### **FACTS:**

- 1. On December 30, 2011, California resident Kathy Thibault entered into a retainer agreement with respondent to initiate a lawsuit in Texas concerning a fraudulent transfer of an interest in real property owned by Ms. Thibault in Texas. Ms. Thibault paid respondent a \$4,000 advanced fee.
  - 2. Respondent is not and has never been licensed to practice law in the State of Texas.
- 3. Respondent prepared a "Petition to Quiet Title, for Damages, and for Injunctive Relief" and a "Notice of Lis Pendens" to be filed in the District Court of Randall County Texas on Ms. Thibault's behalf, as a pro per plaintiff. Ms. Thibault signed these documents on March 24, 2012.
- 4. These documents were filed in the District Court of Randall County Texas on April 16, 2013, in case number 65913-C.
- 5. On May 21, 2013, counsel for the defendant in the Texas lawsuit served four discovery requests upon Thibault. Ms. Thibault thereafter forwarded these discovery requests to respondent, who prepared responses thereto.
- 6. During the litigation in the Texas lawsuit, respondent prepared an answer to the defendant's counter-claim, and prepared and served discovery requests on defendant.
  - 7. On December 12, 2013, the Texas lawsuit was dismissed for a lack of prosecution.
- 8. On January 28, 2014, respondent sent Ms. Thibault a billing statement regarding the work he performed for Ms. Thibault in the Texas lawsuit. In the letter respondent stated that he provided 44 hours of legal services in the Texas lawsuit, at a rate of \$250, for a total of \$11,000.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

9. By preparing the Petition to Quiet Title, Notice of Lis Pendens, responses to discovery, answer to the counter-claim and discovery requests on behalf of Ms. Thibault in the Texas lawsuit, when he was not admitted to practice law in Texas, respondent engaged in the practice of law in Texas in

violation of Texas Government Code Annotated, Sections 81.101 through 81.102, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B)

10. By entering into a retainer agreement for, charging and collecting \$4,000 from Ms. Thibault to perform legal service in Texas, where respondent is not licensed to practice law, respondent entered into an agreement for, charged and collected an illegal fee for legal services that constituted the unlawful practice of law, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Failure to Pay Restitution (Std. 1.5(i)): Respondent has not yet refunded to Ms. Thibault the illegal \$4,000 advanced fee that he received to handle the Texas lawsuit. However, Respondent has agreed to a condition of probation that requires him to make full restitution to Ms. Thibault, plus interest.

#### MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Pretrial Stipulation:** Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into this stipulation prior to trial, thereby preserving State Bar time and resources. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigating credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

No Prior Discipline: Respondent had been in practice for over 8 years at the time of the misconduct, which is worth slight weight in mitigation. (*In the Matter of Aguiluz* (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 32, 44.)

#### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, Std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See Std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the Standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the Standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific tandard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the

member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter respondent admits to committing two acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed." The most severe sanction applicable to respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.3(b) which applies to respondent's violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A) and Standard 2.15 which applies to respondent's violation of rule 1-300(B). Standard 2.3(b) provides that suspension or reproval is an appropriate discipline for any violation of entering into an agreement for, charging or collecting an illegal fee for legal services. Standard 2.15 provides that suspension not exceeding three years or reproval is appropriate for violations of practicing law in another jurisdiction in violation of the rules regulating the profession in the other jurisdiction. After weighing the aggravating and mitigating circumstances, as discussed below, the most appropriate discipline to impose is a one-year stayed suspension and a one-year probation with conditions, including the condition that respondent refund the \$4,000 in illegal fees to Ms. Thibault.

In mitigation, respondent had been practicing for over eight years without any prior discipline by the time the misconduct occurred, which provides only a slight amount of weight in mitigation. (In the Matter of Aguiluz (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr 32, 44). Respondent is also entitled to mitigation for entering into this stipulation. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079). Further, respondent's misconduct only involved one client matter. In aggravation, respondent has not made restitution to Ms. Thibault. However, by entering into this stipulation respondent has acknowledged his misconduct and his obligation to refund \$4,000 to Ms. Thibault and has agreed to a probation condition requiring him to make restitution. Accordingly, respondent has indicated that he is willing and able to conform to his ethical responsibilities and the one aggravating factor does not demonstrate otherwise. In light of the particular facts of this case, discipline at the lower end of the range of discipline suggested by Standards 2.3(b) and 2.15 is appropriate and actual suspension in unnecessary. Based on the standards, the imposition of a one year stayed suspension and one year of probation with conditions, including the specific condition that respondent pay restitution to Ms. Thibault within 90 days of the order imposing discipline becoming effective, is appropriate. This level of discipline would fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which are the protection of the public, the maintenance of the highest professional standards, and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession.

Case law also supports this level of discipline. The Review Department found that the unlawful practice of law, acceptance of an illegal fee, and failure to refund illegal fees warranted two years stayed suspension plus two years probation with six months of actual suspension where the respondent's misconduct involved two client matters and moral turpitude. (In the Matter of Wells (Review Dept. 2006) 4 Cal. State Bar. Ct. Rptr. 896, 917.) In this matter, respondent's misconduct only involved one client and he did not engage in acts of moral turpitude. Accordingly, less discipline that that imposed in Wells is appropriate here.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of March 16, 2015, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$7,252. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

## **EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT**

Pursuant to rule 3201, respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

Case number(s):	In the Matter of:
14-O-01380	STEVEN KASSAM
14-O-01380	
14-0-01380	STEVEN KASSAW

## SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

March 14, 2015	Sterekelow	Steven Kassam	
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name	
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name	
March <b>9</b> , 2015	Ab the	Alex Hackert	
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name	

,	
(Do not write above this line.)	
In the Matter of: STEVEN KASSAM	Case Number(s): 14-O-01380
	STAYED SUSPENSION ORDER
	e parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the es, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:
The stipulated facts and Supreme Court.	d disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	d disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the MMENDED to the Supreme Court.
All Hearing dates are va	acated.
within 15 days after service of this ord stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Ri	on as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ler, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved ules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
4-7-15	Janua Most
Date	GEORGE E. SCOTT, JUDGE PRO TEM  Judge of the State Bar Court

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on April 8, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a se	ealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
$\boxtimes$	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:
	STEVEN KASSAM LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN KASSAM 2845 MOUNT NIGUEL CIR CORONA, CA 92882
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
	Alex Hackert, Enforcement, Los Angeles
	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on 8, 2015.

Case Administrator State Bar Court