	PUBLIC MATTER						
1	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	FILED					
2	JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL						
3	CHIEF TRIAL COUNSELAPR 1 0 2015JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309STATE BAR COURTDEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSELSTATE BAR COURTMELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102CLERK'S OFFICEASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSELLOS ANGELES						
4							
5	BROOKE A. SCHAFER, No. 194824 SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL ASHOD MOORADIAN, No. 194283 SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL 845 South Figueroa Street						
6							
7							
8	Los Angeles, California 90017-2515 Telephone: (213) 765-1004						
9							
10	STATE BAR COURT						
11	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES						
12							
13	3 In the Matter of:) Case No.: 14-0-05451						
14	MARILYN SUE SCHEER,	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES					
15							
16	A Member of the State Bar.						
17	Ś						
18	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!						
19		TEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE E. OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT					
20	WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:						
21	(1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU						
22	 (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND; (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE 						
23							
24							
25	OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT ORDER RECOMMENDING	r, This court will enter an					
26	FURTHER HEARING OR PRO	CEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., IE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.					
27		kwiktag * 183 824 921					
28	-						
	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES						

•

1 The State Bar of California alleges: 2 JURISDICTION 3 1. MARILYN SUE SCHEER ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of la 4 the State of California on December 11, 1987, was a member at all times pertinent to the charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California. 6 COUNT ONE 7 Case No. 14-0-05451 8 [Unauthorized Practice of Law in Another Jurisdiction] 9 2. From on or about March 8, 2010 through on or about December 29, 2 10 Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic 11 employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone 12 their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for the property loc 13 in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service 14 do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nat 16 Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and 17 Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of 18 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).	
3 1. MARILYN SUE SCHEER ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of la 4 the State of California on December 11, 1987, was a member at all times pertinent to the charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California. 6 COUNT ONE 7 Case No. 14-O-05451 8 [Unauthorized Practice of Law in Another Jurisdiction] 9 2. From on or about March 8, 2010 through on or about December 29, 2 10 Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic 11 employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone 12 their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc 13 in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service 14 do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, na 16 Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and 17 Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of	
 the State of California on December 11, 1987, was a member at all times pertinent to the charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California. <u>COUNT ONE</u> <u>Case No. 14-O-05451</u> Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B) [Unauthorized Practice of Law in Another Jurisdiction] From on or about March 8, 2010 through on or about December 29, 2 Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nar Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of 	
 charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California. <u>COUNT ONE</u> <u>Case No. 14-O-05451</u> Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B) [Unauthorized Practice of Law in Another Jurisdiction] 2. From on or about March 8, 2010 through on or about December 29, 2 Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nau Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation or 	nese
6 COUNT ONE 7 Case No. 14-O-05451 Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B) [Unauthorized Practice of Law in Another Jurisdiction] 9 2. From on or about March 8, 2010 through on or about December 29, 2 10 Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic 11 employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone 12 their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc 13 in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service 14 connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses whe 15 do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nar 16 Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and 17 Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation or	
 Case No. 14-O-05451 Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B) [Unauthorized Practice of Law in Another Jurisdiction] 2. From on or about March 8, 2010 through on or about December 29, 2 Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses whe do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nat Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of 	
Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1-300(B) [Unauthorized Practice of Law in Another Jurisdiction] 2. From on or about March 8, 2010 through on or about December 29, 2 Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses who do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nau Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of	
Respondent held herself out as entitled to practice law and practiced law by solic employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses who do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nau Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of	
employment, by advising Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jone their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses who do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nar Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of)11,
12 their legal rights and options regarding a mortgage loan modification for their property loc 13 in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service 14 connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses who 15 do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nar 16 Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and 17 Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of	ting
in Maryland, by accepting employment with the Joneses and by performing legal service connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses who do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nar Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of	s of
14 connection with negotiating and obtaining a mortgage loan modification for the Joneses who 15 do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nar 16 Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and 17 Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of	ited
 do so was in violation of the laws and regulations of the profession in Maryland, nat Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of 	s in
 Maryland Business, Occupations, & Professions Article, §§ 10-101 and 10-206 and Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of 	n to
17 Maryland Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 5.5(b)(2), in willful violation of	ely
	Гhe
18 Rules of Professional Conduct rule 1-300(B)	the
To france of Thorebolina Conduct, fair T 500(D).	
19 <u>COUNT TWO</u>	
20 20 21 Case No. 14-O-05451 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A) [Illegal Fee]	
22 3. On or about March 8, 2010, Respondent entered into an agreement for, cha	ged
23 and/or collected from Maryland residents Lisa Hairston-Jones and Winston M. Jones a fe	
24 [\$6,000 to perform legal services that were illegal because Respondent was not entitle	
25 practice law in Maryland, in willful violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, rul	
26 200(A).	
27 ////	
28 ////	1
NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES	

.

1	NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!
2	YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE
3	SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO
4 5	THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE
6	RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.
7	NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!
8	IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC
9	DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING
10	AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.
11	Respectfully submitted,
12	THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
13	
14	A A
15	DATED: April 10, 2015 By: // April 10, 2015
16	Senior Trial Counsel
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 3 - NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

DECLARATION OF SERVICE bv

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 14-0-05451

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017, declare that:

- on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows:

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

By U.S. First-Class Mail:	(CCP §§	1013 and	1013(a))
to a second a second the first second	- 4	Of the Days	10-11

By U.S. Certified Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a))

in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles.

By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d))

- I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS').

By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f))

Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.

By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6)

Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)

(for certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, 9414 7266 9904 2010 0877 48 Article No.: at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)

(for Overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, addressed to: (see below) Tracking No.:

 Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:
Marilyn Sue Scheer	5624 Ponce Ave. Woodland Hills, CA 91367	Electronic Address	

via inter-office mail regularly processed and maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.

I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: April 10, 2015

SIGNED Charles C. Bagai Declarant