

## State Bar Court of California Hearing Department PUBLIC MATTER Los Angeles **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 14-0-05766 **Agustin Hernandez Deputy Trial Counsel** 845 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1713 AUG 04 2015 STATE BAR COURT Bar # 161625 CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES In Pro Per Respondent **Ronny Mor** 1205 Pacific Highway, Unit 1106 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 708-7237 Submitted to: Assigned Judge Bar # 248274 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: **RONNY MOR ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Bar # 248274 PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted January 30, 2007.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

1

P.18.

(Effective July 1, 2015)

kwiktag ° 211 096 894

(Do I	not write	e above this line.)				
<b>(0)</b>						
(6)	"Su	ne parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading Supporting Authority."				
(7)		No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)	Pay 614	rment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 0.7. (Check one option only):				
		Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.  Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: <b>two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order</b> . (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.  Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".  Costs are entirely waived.				
	Ш	Costs are entirely waived.				
1	Misc	avating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional onduct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are ired.				
(1)	□ (a)	Prior record of discipline  State Bar Court case # of prior case				
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective				
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:				
	(d)	☐ Degree of prior discipline				
	(e)	☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.				
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.				
(3)		Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.				
(4)		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment.				
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.				
(6)		<b>Uncharged Violations:</b> Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.				
(7)		<b>Trust Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.				

(Do no	ot write	above this line.)
(8)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(9)	$\boxtimes$	Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the
(10)		consequences of his or her misconduct. (See Attachment, page 10.)  Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.
(11)	$\boxtimes$	<b>Multiple Acts:</b> Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing. (See Attachment, page 10.)
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(13)	$\boxtimes$	Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution. (See Attachment, page 10.)
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.
(15)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:
		ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or `to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.

(Do no	ot write	e abovi	this line.)				
(9)		whic	ere Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress he resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and he were directly responsible for the misconduct.				
(10)			<b>Family Problems:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.				
(11)		Goo in th	<b>Good Character:</b> Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.				
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.					
(13)		No r	nitigating circumstances are involved.				
Addi	tiona	al mit	gating circumstances:				
	No	Prior	Discipline. (See Attachment, page 10.)				
	Pre	trial \$	Stipulation. (See Attachment, page 10.)				
D. D	isci	iplin	e:				
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Stay	ed Suspension:				
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of <b>one year</b> .				
		i.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.				
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.				
		iii.	and until Respondent does the following:				
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	The above-referenced suspension is stayed.				
(2)		Prob	ation:				
			ent must be placed on probation for a period of <b>two years</b> , which will commence upon the effective e Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)				
(3)	$\boxtimes$	Actual Suspension:					
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of <b>30 days</b> .				
		i.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct				
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.				

(Do not wri	(Do not write above this line.)			
	iii.  and until Respondent does the following: .			
E. Add	tional Conditions of Probation:			
(1)	If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.			
(2)	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.			
(4)	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.			
(5)	Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.			
	In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.			
(6)	Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.			
(7)	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.			
(8) 🛚	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.			
	☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .			
(9)	Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.			

(Do no	t write	above	this line.)		
(10)	$\boxtimes$	The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:			
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	$\boxtimes$	Financial Conditions
F. O	ther	Con	ditions Negotiated by the Parties	s:	
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.			
		□ !	No MPRE recommended. Reason:		
(2)		Cali	Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.		
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.			
(4)		peri	edit for Interim Suspension [conviction in its indicate interim suspension toward the interim suspension:	referra ne stipu	I cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the lated period of actual suspension. Date of
(5)		Oth	ner Conditions:		

	Matter of: IY MOR	Case Nur 14-0-057		
nand	cial Conditions			-
Pes	stitution			
M	Respondent must pay restitution payee(s) listed below. If the	tion (including the principal amou Client Security Fund ("CSF") has amount(s) listed below, Respon tole interest and costs.	reimbursed one or more of the	ne payee(s) for al
n.		Dringing! Amount	Interest Accrues From	٦
	ayee	Principal Amount \$4,250	March 28, 2014	1
10	on Mayer	ψτ,230	15.4101. 20, 201.	†
$\vdash$				1
$\vdash$				1
Ins	stallment Restitution Payme	nts		
Ins	Respondent must pay the abmust provide satisfactory pro	oove-referenced restitution on the of of payment to the Office of Pro Office of Probation. No later tha val), Respondent must make any	obation with each quarterly pl n 30 days prior to the expirati	ion of the period o
Ins	Respondent must pay the ab must provide satisfactory pro as otherwise directed by the probation (or period of repro-	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of Pro Office of Probation. No later tha val), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.	n 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) i	ion of the period o
Ins	Respondent must pay the ab must provide satisfactory pro as otherwise directed by the probation (or period of repro- the payment of restitution, in	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of Pro Office of Probation. No later tha val), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.	n 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) i	ion of the period of
Ins	Respondent must pay the ab must provide satisfactory pro as otherwise directed by the probation (or period of repro- the payment of restitution, in	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of Pro Office of Probation. No later tha val), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.	n 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) i	ion of the period o
Ins	Respondent must pay the ab must provide satisfactory pro as otherwise directed by the probation (or period of repro- the payment of restitution, in	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of Pro Office of Probation. No later tha val), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.	n 30 days prior to the expiration necessary final payment(s) i	ion of the period o
Ins	Respondent must pay the abmust provide satisfactory proas otherwise directed by the probation (or period of reprothe payment of restitution, in	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of ProOffice of Probation. No later that wal), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency	ion of the period on order to comple
Ins	Respondent must pay the abmust provide satisfactory proas otherwise directed by the probation (or period of reprothe payment of restitution, in	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Probation. No later that val), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount   Minimum Payment   Minimum Payment   Minimum Payment   M	Payment Frequency	ion of the period on order to comple
	Respondent must pay the abmust provide satisfactory proas otherwise directed by the probation (or period of reprothe payment of restitution, in  Payee/CSF (as applicable  If Respondent fails to pay ar	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Probation. No later that val), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount   Minimum Payment   Minimum Payment   Minimum Payment   M	Payment Frequency	ion of the period on order to comple
	Respondent must pay the abmust provide satisfactory provide as otherwise directed by the probation (or period of reprovide payment of restitution, in  Payee/CSF (as applicable  If Respondent fails to pay are the remaining balance is due ient Funds Certificate  1. If Respondent posses report, Respondent public accountant or a. Respondent has California, at a light respondent page of the control	pove-referenced restitution on the pof of payment to the Office of Prooffice of Probation. No later that val), Respondent must make any cluding interest, in full.    Minimum Payment Amount   Minimum Payment   Minimum Payment   Minimum Payment   M	Payment Frequency  Payment Frequency  a, or as may be modified by the cortificate from Responder over by the Office of Probation bank authorized to do busines California, and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations and that such acceptains are considered by the Office of Probations are considere	required quarterly ent and/or a certifying thates in the State of the control of the certifying thates in the State of the certifying thates in the State of the certifying thates of the certifying that the certification of the certificat

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
  - i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such client;
    - 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
    - 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and.
    - 4. the current balance for such client.
  - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
    - 1. the name of such account;
    - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
    - 3. the current balance in such account.
  - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
  - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
  - i. each item of security and property held;
  - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
  - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
  - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
  - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- 2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d.	Client	Truet	Accounting	School
ч.	OHEH	LIIUSL	Accounting	JUILDUI

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of
Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School
within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

## **ATTACHMENT TO**

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

**RONNY MOR** 

CASE NUMBER:

14-0-05766

### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

### Case No. 14-O-05766 (Complainant: Jon Mayer)

### **FACTS:**

- 1. On March 27, 2014, Minnesota resident Jon Mayer employed respondent to provide mortgage loan modification services pertaining to Mayer's home located in Minnesota.
  - 2. On March 28, 2014, Mayer paid respondent \$4,250 in advanced attorney fees.
  - 3. Respondent has never been admitted to practice law in Minnesota.
- 4. From March 27, 2014, through October 8, 2014, respondent practiced law in Minnesota by providing mortgage loan modification services on behalf of Mayer when to do so was in violation of Minnesota Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 5.5; Minnesota Statutes, section 481.02; and Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 58.
- 5. Respondent was not authorized to enter into an agreement for, charge, or collect fees for legal services in Minnesota. To date, respondent has not refunded to Mayer any portion of the \$4,250 that he received from Mayer.
- 6. On October 8, 2014, a Minnesota attorney sent an email to respondent on behalf of Mayer terminating respondent's employment. Respondent received the email.
- 7. On January 29, 2015, a Minnesota attorney sent a letter to respondent on behalf of Mayer requesting that respondent return Mayer's client file to him. Respondent received the letter. To date, respondent has not returned Mayer's client file to him.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

8. By providing mortgage loan modification services in Minnesota when he was not admitted to practice law in Minnesota, respondent practiced law in a jurisdiction when to do so was in violation of the regulations of the profession in that jurisdiction, in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-300(B).

- 9. By entering into an agreement for, charging, and collecting \$4,250 in fees for legal services from Mayer, when he was not authorized to do so, respondent entered into an agreement for, charged, and collected an illegal fee, in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A).
- 10. By failing to return Mayer's client file to him upon request, respondent failed to release promptly, after termination of respondent's employment all of the client's papers and property, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1).

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)): Respondent committed three acts of misconduct by practicing law in a jurisdiction where he was not entitled, entering into an agreement for, charging and collecting an illegal fee, and failing to release the client file.

Indifference (Std. 1.5(g)): Respondent's continued failure to provide Mayer with his client file demonstrates indifference toward rectification or atonement for the consequences of his misconduct.

Failure to Make Restitution (Std. 1.5(i)): The fees that respondent accepted from Mayer were illegal and to date, respondent has not refunded any portion of the illegal fees to Mayer.

#### MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**No Prior Discipline:** Although the misconduct here is serious, at the time of the misconduct, respondent had been practicing law for seven years without any discipline. (*In the Matter of Aguiluz* (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 32, 44 [seven years of practice without discipline is entitled to only slight mitigation].)

**Pretrial Stipulation:** Respondent is entitled to mitigation for entering into this stipulation prior to trial, thereby preserving State Bar Court time and resources. (*Silva-Vidor v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

#### **AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.**

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.)

"Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; *Blair v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

In this matter, respondent admits to committing three acts of professional misconduct. Standard 1.7(a) requires that where a respondent "commits two or more acts of misconduct and the Standards specify different sanctions for each act, the most severe sanction must be imposed."

The most severe sanction applicable to respondent's misconduct is found in Standard 2.6(a), which applies to respondent's violation of rule 1-300(B), Rules of Professional Conduct. Standard 2.6(a) provides that actual suspension or disbarment is appropriate when a member engages in the unauthorized practice of law.

In addition to engaging in the unauthorized practice of law, respondent entered into an agreement for, charged, and collected an illegal fee, and failed to release the client file.

Respondent's multiple acts of misconduct, failure to make restitution, and indifference toward rectification or atonement for the consequences of his misconduct are aggravating circumstances. Respondent's seven years of practicing law without discipline are entitled to slight mitigation. Entering into this stipulation prior to trial is also entitled to mitigation. On balance, respondent's misconduct is slightly aggravated and there is no reason to not seek actual suspension under the Standards. That said, the misconduct here is limited in scope and degree. Actual suspension on the lower end is appropriate.

In consideration of respondent's relatively limited misconduct in a single client matter, the applicable standards, the aggravating circumstances, and the mitigating circumstances, it is appropriate to follow Standard 2.6(a) and impose a one-year stayed suspension with 30 days of actual suspension and two years of probation, and with restitution in the amount \$4,250 to Mayer within 90 days of the effective date of the disciplinary order.

The level of discipline is also consistent with case authority. In *In the Matter of Wells*, an attorney received a two-year stayed suspension, with six months of actual suspension and two years of probation for engaging in the unauthorized practice of law. (*In the Matter of Wells* (Review Dept. 2006) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 896.) The misconduct in *Wells* however, was significantly more egregious than the misconduct in this matter. Wells moved to South Carolina where she represented at least nine clients during the approximately five years that she lived there. The letterhead that Wells utilized did not indicate that she was not licensed in South Carolina or that she was licensed only in California. In some letters, her name was followed by "Esquire." She listed herself as "attny" in a local phone book. Wells also misrepresented to the State Bar of California that she did not practice law in South Carolina. She also made misrepresentations to the South Carolina deputy solicitor general by understating the extent of her practice of law in South Carolina and how long she had lived there. The court found that Wells violated Business and Professions Code section 6106 by making misrepresentations, and rules 1-300(B) by practicing in a jurisdiction where she not licensed (two counts), 4-200(A) by charging an illegal fee (two counts), 3-700(D)(2) by failing to refund unearned fees (two counts), and 4-100(A) by failing to

maintain funds in trust. In aggravation, Wells had a prior record of discipline consisting of a private reproval.

In this matter, Mor's misconduct warrants lesser discipline than *Wells*. The misconduct in *Wells* was much broader in scope, included moral turpitude and was aggravated by a prior record of discipline. Given that Wells received a six-month actual suspension for this misconduct, a lower actual suspension is supported here.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of July 27, 2015, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$3,584. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

### **EXCLUSION FROM MCLE CREDIT**

Pursuant to rule 3201, Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School and/or any other educational course(s) to be ordered as a condition of suspension. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of: RONNY MOR	Case number(s): 14-O-05766	

## SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

7/29/15	An h	Ronny Mor
Date /	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
July 30, 2015 Date		Agustin Hernandez
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

•	•	
(Do not write abo	ve this line.)	
In the Matter RONNY M		Case Number(s): 14-O-05766
	ACTUAL	_ SUSPENSION ORDER
Finding the st requested dis	ipulation to be fair to the parties and missal of counts/charges, if any, is 0	I that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the GRANTED without prejudice, and:
X	The stipulated facts and disposition Supreme Court.	are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the
	The stipulated facts and disposition DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED t	n are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
within 15 days stipulation. (S of the Supre	s after service of this order, is grante see rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Proc	oved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed ed; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved sedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of
Court.)	t 3, 2015	W.Ku Me Lill
Date ${\cal U}$	/	W. KEARSE MCGILL

W. KEARSE MCGILL
Judge of the State Bar Court

(Effective July 1, 2015)

Actual Suspension Order

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on August 4, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

RONNY MOR RONNY MOR & ASSOCIATES PO BOX 813 HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA 92648

RONNY MOR 1205 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, UNIT 1106 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Agustin Hernandez, Enforcement, Los Angeles Terrie Goldade, Office of Probation, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on August 4, 2015.

Julieta E. Gonzales

State Bar Court