# ORIGINAL **State Bar Court of California Hearing Department** Los Angeles **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar For Court use only Case Number(s): 14-O-05847-LMA **PUBLIC MATTER** Ann J. Kim **Deputy Trial Counsel** 845 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 765-1230 Bar # 259222 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE In Pro Per Respondent SAN FRANCISCO Audrey Marie Ritter 17183 Rayen Street Northridge, CA 91325 (818) 482-1094 Submitted to: Assigned Judge Bar # 212840 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: **AUDREY MARIE RITTER ACTUAL SUSPENSION**

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

□ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

Bar # 212840

(Respondent)

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted May 7, 2001.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

kwiktag ° 183 823 178

(Do no	ot write	above this line.)		
(6)		<b>Lack of Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.		
(7)	$\boxtimes$	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. (See stipulation, at page 8.)		
(8)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.		
(9)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.		
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:		
		ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(g) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.		
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline</b> : Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.		
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.		
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.		
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.		
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.		
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.		
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and reasonable.		
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		<b>Family Problems:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		<b>Good Character:</b> Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.		

(Do not write above this line.)				
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.		
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.		
Addi	tiona	al miti	gating	g circumstances:
	P	retrial	Stipu	ulation: see stipulation, at page 8.
D. D	isci	pline	): :	
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Stayed Suspension:		
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	Resp	ondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year.
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following: .
	(b)	$\boxtimes$	The a	above-referenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Prob	ation	<b>:</b>
				ust be placed on probation for a period of <b>two (2) years</b> , which will commence upon the effective reme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)
(3)	$\boxtimes$	Actual Suspension:		
	(a)	$\boxtimes$		ondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period cty (60) days.
٠		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:
E. A	\ddi1	tiona	I Co	nditions of Probation:
(1)		he/sl	ne pro	lent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until ves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the v, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
(2)	$\boxtimes$			probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of al Conduct.

(Do no	ot write	<u>above</u>	his line.)		
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.			
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probat and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must			
(5)		promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.			
			ition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than $(20)$ days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.		
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.			
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.			
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.			
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason: Respondent completed Ethics School on June 13, 2013, as a result of the discipline imposed on August 18, 2012, in case number 10-0-04445.		
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.			
(10)		The f	llowing conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:		
			Substance Abuse Conditions		
			Medical Conditions		
F. O	the	r Con	ditions Negotiated by the Parties:		
(1)		the Con	istate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National ference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without		

		further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.		
No MPRE recommended. Reason: On July 19, 2012, in respondent's prior disciplinary matter, State Bar case number 14-O-04445 (S202233), the California Supreme Court ordered respondent to take and pass the MPRE within one year of the effective date of the disciplinary order. Because respondent failed to do so, on October 7, 2013, the State Bar Court Review Department suspended Respondent pending proof of passage of the MPRE.				
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.		
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.		
(4)		<b>Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:</b> Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:		
(5)		Other Conditions:		

# ATTACHMENT TO

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

**AUDREY MARIE RITTER** 

CASE NUMBERS:

14-O-05847

## FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of the violation of the specified statute.

# Case No. 14-O-05847 (State Bar Investigation)

## **FACTS:**

- 1. On July 19, 2012, the California Supreme Court filed an order in Case No. S202233 (State Bar Court Case No. 10-O-04445), which became effective on August 18, 2012, imposing the following discipline on respondent: a one-year stayed suspension and a two-year probation subject to the conditions recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its March 12, 2012 order. On July 19, 2012, the clerk of the Supreme Court served a copy of this order on respondent at her State Bar membership records address. Respondent received the Supreme Court order and at all relevant times was aware of the terms and conditions of her disciplinary probation.
- 2. Pursuant to the Supreme Court order, respondent was ordered to comply with the following terms and conditions of probation, among others:
  - a. To submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation with a final report due on August 18, 2014; and
  - b. To attend a session of State Bar Ethics School, pass the test given at the end of the session and submit proof of same to the Office of Probation by August 18, 2013.
- 3. Respondent failed to comply with multiple conditions of her probation. Respondent's period of probation terminated on August 18, 2014.
- 4. During the period of probation, respondent failed to timely submit to the Office of Probation four quarterly reports and one final report, including the reports due by:
  - a. July 10, 2013 (Respondent tardily submitted this quarterly report on October 11, 2013);
  - b. October 10, 2013 (Respondent tardily submitted this quarterly report on October 11, 2013);
  - c. April 10, 2014 (Respondent tardily submitted this quarterly report on February 23, 2015):
  - d. July 10, 2014 (Respondent tardily submitted this quarterly report on February 23, 2015); and

- e. August 18, 2014 (Respondent tardily submitted this final report on February 23, 2015).
- 5. Respondent attended and completed Ethics School timely, but failed to timely submit proof of same to the Office of Probation by August 18, 2013. Respondent tardily submitted proof of completion of Ethics School on October 11, 2013.

#### CONCLUSION OF LAW:

6. By failing to timely submit to the Office of Probation four quarterly reports and one final report, and failing to timely submit to the Office of Probation proof of completion of Ethics School, respondent failed to comply with the conditions attached to respondent's disciplinary probation in State Bar Court Case No. 10-O-04445, in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(k).

### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Prior Record of Discipline (Std. 1.5(a)): Respondent has one prior record of discipline consisting of a one (1) year stayed suspension and a one (1) year probation subject to the conditions in the Disciplinary Order in case number 10-O-04445, and which became effective August 18, 2012. The violation of those probation conditions is the basis for this matter. In the prior matter, respondent violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(3), by failing to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds coming into respondent's possession, and rule 3-400(B) by attempting to settle a claim for respondent's liability to the client for respondent's professional malpractice without informing the client in writing that the client may seek the advice of an independent lawyer of the client's choice regarding the settlement and giving the client a reasonable opportunity to seek that advice. In mitigation, respondent had no prior discipline in eight years of practice prior to the misconduct, displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings, and suffered extreme emotional difficulties due to pregnancy and the birth of her child. Respondent also entered into a pre-trial stipulation. No factors in aggravation were found.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct (Std. 1.5(b)): From July 2013 to February 23, 2015, respondent committed multiple acts of misconduct by failing to timely submit four quarterly reports and one final report and by failing to timely submit proof of completion of Ethics School.

# MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

**Pretrial Stipulation:** Respondent is entitled to mitigative credit for her cooperation and willingness to resolve this matter through a stipulation as to facts, conclusions of law and disposition without the necessity of a trial. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability].)

### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to Standards are to this source.) The Standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the

courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the Standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the Standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a Standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given Standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific Standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Standard 2.10 applies to respondent's failure to comply with the conditions of probation and provides that actual suspension is appropriate for a failure to comply with the conditions of probation (Business and Professions Code section 6068(k)). The degree of sanction depends on the nature of the condition violated and the member's unwillingness or inability to comply with disciplinary orders. In the current matter, respondent tardily submitted four quarterly reports and one final report. Respondent also tardily submitted proof of completion of Ethics School. Although respondent violated several conditions of probation, respondent's belated compliance with the conditions of her probation demonstrates that respondent is willing and able to comply with disciplinary orders. Therefore, a discipline at the lower end of the range suggested by Standard 2.10 is appropriate.

Standard 1.8(a) provides that if respondent has a record of one prior discipline, the discipline imposed for the current misconduct must be greater than the previous discipline unless the prior discipline was remote in time and the offense was of minimal severity. Respondent's 2012 prior discipline is not remote. Accordingly, pursuant to Standard 1.8(a), a period of actual suspension is appropriate in this matter.

In light of the fact that respondent belatedly brought herself into compliance with all conditions of her probation, and balancing the aggravating circumstances and mitigating circumstances present, a one (1) year stayed suspension with a two (2) year probation with conditions including a sixty (60) day actual suspension will protect the public, the courts, and the legal profession; help maintain high professional standards; and preserve public confidence in the profession.

### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of May 13, 2015, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,497. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

In the Matter of: AUDREY MARIE RITTER	Case number(s): 14-O-05847	

# SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

5-24-15 Date	Réspondent's Signature	AUDREY MARIE RITTER
Date	Respondent & Signature	Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
(0/2/2015 Date		_ ANN J. KIM
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

LUCY ARM#NDARIZ

Judge of the State Bar Court

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on June 8, 2015, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

AUDREY M. RITTER 17183 RAYEN ST NORTHRIDGE, CA 91325

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ANAND KUMAR, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on June 8, 2015.

Bernadette C.O. Molina Case Administrator State Bar Court