# PUBLIC MATTERFILED

1	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	JUL 1 4 2015
2	JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
3	JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	SAN FRANCISCO
4	GREGORY P. DRESSER, No. 136532 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL	
5	SUSAN CHAN, No. 233229 SUPERVISING SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL	
6	SUSAN I. KAGAN, No. 214209 SENIOR TRIAL COUNSEL	
7	180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105-1639	
8	Telephone: (415) 538-2037	
9		
10	STATE BAR COURT	
11	HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO	
12	In the Matter of:	) Case No. 14-O-05978
13	TAM NGUYEN,	) ) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES
14	No. 159601,	) )
15	A Member of the State Bar	) )
16	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!  IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL:	
17		
18		
19		
20	(2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW;	
21	(3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITT	ED TO PARTICIPÁTE FURTHER IN
22	AND THE DEFAULT IS SET AS	
23	SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL	TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE
24	ORDER RECOMMENDING	T, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT
25	FURTHER HEARING OR PRO RULES OF PROCEDURE OF T	CEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., HE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.
26		
77	The State Bar of California alleges:	kwiktag * 197 145 116

-1-

## **JURISDICTION**

1. TAM NGUYEN ("respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on June 8, 1992, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

### **COUNT ONE**

Case No. 14-O-05978
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(1)
[Failure to Notify of Receipt of Client Funds]

2. On or about March 21, 2013, respondent received on behalf of respondent's clients, Phi Truong and Truong's minor son, Justin K., two med-pay checks from Truong's insurance company, Mercury Insurance. One check was made payable to Justin in the amount of \$1,784 and one check was made payable to Troung in the amount of \$3,294. Respondent failed to notify the clients of respondent's receipt of funds on the clients' behalf, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(B)(1).

### COUNT TWO

Case No. 14-O-05978
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A)
[Failure to Maintain Client Funds in Trust Account]

3. On or about March 21, 2013, respondent received on behalf of respondent's clients, Phi Truong and Truong's minor son, Justin K., two med-pay checks from Truong's insurance company, Mercury Insurance. One check was made payable to Justin in the amount of \$1,784 and one check was made payable to Troung in the amount of \$3,294. On or about March 21, 2013, respondent deposited the two checks totaling \$5,078 into respondent's client trust account at East West Bank, Account No. xxx1130 on behalf of the clients. Of this sum, the clients were entitled to \$5,078. Respondent failed to maintain a balance of \$5,078 on behalf of the clients in respondent's client trust account, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

26 || /

27 ||

### **COUNT THREE**

Case No. 14-O-05978
Business and Professions Code, section 6106
[Moral Turpitude - Misappropriation]

4. On or about March 21, 2013, respondent received on behalf of respondent's clients, Phi Truong and Truong's minor son, Justin K., two med-pay checks from Truong's insurance company, Mercury Insurance. One check was made payable to Justin in the amount of \$1,784 and one check was made payable to Troung in the amount of \$3,294. On or about March 21, 2013, respondent deposited the two checks totaling \$5,078 into respondent's client trust account at East West Bank, Account No. xxx1130 on behalf of the clients. On or about March 23, 2013, respondent dishonestly or grossly negligently misappropriated for respondent's own purposes \$5,078 that respondent's clients were entitled to receive, and thereby committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6106.

### **COUNT FOUR**

Case No. 14-O-05978
Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A)
[Failure to Maintain Client Funds in Trust Account]

5. On or about August 15, 2013, respondent received on behalf of respondent's clients, Phi Truong and Truong's minor son, Justin K., settlement funds from Farmers Insurance, made payable to respondent and respondent's clients in the amount of \$6,700. On or about August 15, 2013, respondent deposited the \$6,700 into respondent's client trust account at East West Bank, Account No. xxx1130 on behalf of the clients. Of this sum, the client's medical provider, namely Tuantu Bui, was entitled to \$2,234 from respondent's clients' recovery. Respondent failed to maintain a balance of \$2,234 on behalf of the clients' medical provider(s) in respondent's client trust account, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

26 |

27 ||

\_ .

### **COUNT FIVE**

Case No. 14-O-05978
Business and Professions Code, section 6106
[Moral Turpitude - Misappropriation]

6. On or about August 15, 2013, respondent received on behalf of respondent's clients, Phi Truong and Truong's minor son, Justin K., settlement funds from Farmers Insurance, made payable to respondent and respondent's clients in the amount of \$6,700. On or about August 15, 2013, respondent deposited the \$6,700 into respondent's client trust account at East West Bank, Account No. xxx1130 on behalf of the clients. On or about August 15, 2013, respondent dishonestly or grossly negligently misappropriated for respondent's own purposes \$2,234 that the clients' medical provider, namely Tuantu Bui, was entitled to receive from respondent's clients' recovery, and thereby committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6106.

### **COUNT SIX**

Case No. 14-O-05978
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a)
[Failure to Comply with Laws- Violation of Probate Code]

7. On or about August 13, 2013, respondent entered into a settlement with Farmers Insurance on behalf of respondent's clients, Phi Truong and Truong's minor son, Justin K., in a personal injury matter. Respondent did not file for or obtain court approval of the settlement for his minor client, Justin K., in violation of Probate Code section 3600, et seq., and Santa Clara County Superior Court Local Probate Rule 13, and thereby failed to support the law in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a).

22 || ///

23 | ///

24 ||/

25 | | ///

26 ||

### **COUNT SEVEN**

Case No. 14-O-05978 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200(A) [Illegal Fee]

- 8. Respondent entered into an agreement for, and charged and collected an illegal fee with client, Justin K., a minor, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-200, as follows:
  - A. On or about August 16, 2012, respondent entered into an oral agreement with his client, Justin K., a minor, to charge and collect a contingency fee of 33 percent from the settlement of a personal injury matter, in violation of Santa Clara County Superior Court Probate Local Rule 13, which requires court approval of fees over 25 percent in a minor's compromise; and
  - B. On or about August 15, 2013, respondent charged and collected a fee of more than 25 percent from funds obtained from the settlement of a personal injury matter on behalf of respondent's client, Justin K., a minor, without court approval, in violation of Santa Clara County Superior Court Probate Local Rule 13.

### **COUNT EIGHT**

Case No. 14-O-05978
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

9. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against respondent by failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's letters of December 16, 2014, and February 19, 2015, which respondent received, that requested respondent's response to the allegations of misconduct being investigated in case no. 14-O-05978 in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i).

25 ///

26 | ///

27 || ///

**NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!** 

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

# **NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!**

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: July 14, 2015

By: SUSAN I. KAGAN Senior Trial Counsel

### DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 14-O-05978

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that: - on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows: NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES By U.S. Certified Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request. By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. (for v.s. First-class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at San Francisco, addressed to: (see below) [Kin Cortified Mail] in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, 9414 7266 9904 2011 9758 22 at San Francisco, addressed to: (see below) (for overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, addressed to: (see below) Tracking No.: Courtesy Copy via regular mail to: **Business-Residential Address** Fax Number **Person Served** Tam Nguven & Associates Tam Nguyen 1091 Woodminster Dr **Electronic Address** San Jose, CA 95121 via inter-office mail regularly processed and maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to: N/A I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on the date shown below. SIGNED DATED: July 14, 2015

Declarant