

1 STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
2 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL  
3 JAYNE KIM, No. 174614  
4 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL  
5 JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309  
6 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL  
7 MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102  
8 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL  
9 HUGH G. RADIGAN No. 94251  
10 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL  
11 845 South Figueroa Street  
12 Los Angeles, California 90017-2515  
13 Telephone: (213) 765-1206

**FILED**  
**JUL 27 2015**  
**STATE BAR COURT**  
**CLERK'S OFFICE**  
**LOS ANGELES**

10 STATE BAR COURT  
11 HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

13 In the Matter of: ) Case No. 14-O-04010  
14 M. FRANCESCA HANNAN, )  
15 No. 139170, ) NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES  
16 A Member of the State Bar )

17 **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!**

18 **IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20**  
19 **DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE**  
20 **BAR COURT TRIAL:**

- 20 (1) **YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED;**
- 21 (2) **YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU WILL**  
22 **NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW;**
- 23 (3) **YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN**  
24 **THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND**  
25 **THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND;**
- 26 (4) **YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.**  
27 **SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE OR**  
28 **VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER**  
**RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT FURTHER**  
**HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF**  
**PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.**



1 The State Bar of California alleges:

2 JURISDICTION

3 1. M. Francesca Hannan (“Respondent”) was admitted to the practice of law in the  
4 State of California on January 5, 1989, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and  
5 is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

6 COUNT ONE

7 Case No. 13-O-17663  
8 Business and Professions Code, section 6103  
9 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]

10 2. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring Respondent to do  
11 or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent’s profession which Respondent  
12 ought in good faith to do or forbear, by failing to comply with the May 24, 2010 Court Order to  
13 pay judicial sanctions of \$995 in *Ramona Anaya v. Port Hueneme School District, et. al.*, Case  
14 No. ADJ3687516 in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6103.

15 COUNT TWO

16 Case No. 13-O-17663  
17 Business and Professions Code, section 6103  
18 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]

19 3. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring Respondent to do  
20 or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent’s profession which Respondent  
21 ought in good faith to do or forbear, by failing to comply with the April 30, 2013 Court Order to  
22 pay reasonable attorney’s fees and costs to defense counsels in the amount of \$10,030.20 in  
23 *Ramona Anaya v. Port Hueneme School District, et. al.*, Case No. ADJ3687516 in willful  
24 violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6103.

25 COUNT THREE

26 Case No. 13-O-17663  
27 Business and Professions Code, section 6103  
28 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]

4. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring Respondent to do  
or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent’s profession which Respondent

1 ought in good faith to do or forbear, by failing to comply with the October 16, 2012 Court Order  
2 to pay judicial sanctions of \$2,500 in *Julie Ann Cabeza v. Mary Health of the Sick, et. al.*, Case  
3 Nos. ADJ1988796 and ADJ4225705 in willful violation of Business and Professions Code,  
4 section 6103.

5 COUNT FOUR

6 Case No. 13-O-17663  
7 Business and Professions Code, section 6103  
8 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]

9 5. Respondent disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring Respondent to do  
10 or forbear an act connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which Respondent  
11 ought in good faith to do or forbear, by failing to comply with the June 18, 2013 Court Order to  
12 pay judicial sanctions of \$1,000 in *Robert Gomez v. Greif Brothers Corporation, et. al.*, Case No.  
13 ADJ3162900 in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6103.

14 COUNT FIVE

15 Case No. 14-O-04010  
16 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(o)(3)  
17 [Failure to Report Judicial Sanctions]

18 6. Respondent failed to report to the agency charged with attorney discipline, in  
19 writing, within 30 days of the time Respondent had knowledge of the imposition of judicial  
20 sanctions against Respondent, by failing to report to the State Bar the October 16, 2012 sanctions  
21 order in *Julie Ann Cabeza v. Mary Health of the Sick, et. al.*, Case Nos. ADJ1988796 and  
22 ADJ4225705, in the amount of \$2,500 in willful violation of Business and Professions Code  
23 section, 6068(o)(3).

24 COUNT SIX

25 Case No. 14-O-04010  
26 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(o)(3)  
27 [Failure to Report Judicial Sanctions]

28 7. Respondent failed to report to the agency charged with attorney discipline, in  
writing, within 30 days of the time Respondent had knowledge of the imposition of judicial  
sanctions against Respondent, by failing to report to the State Bar the June 18, 2013 sanctions

1 order in *Robert Gomez v. Greif Brothers Corporation, et. al.*, Case No. ADJ3162900, in the  
2 amount of \$1,000 in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section, 6068(o)(3).

3 COUNT SEVEN

4 Case No. 14-O-04010  
5 Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i)  
6 [Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

7 8. Respondent failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation  
8 pending against Respondent by failing to provide a substantive response to the State Bar's letters  
9 of December 6, 2013 and August 26, 2014, and to e-mails from the State Bar dated September  
10 10, 2014, which Respondent received, that requested Respondent's response to the allegations of  
11 misconduct being investigated in case no. 14-O-04010, in willful violation of Business and  
12 Professions Code, section 6068(i).

13 NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

14 **YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR  
15 COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE  
16 SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL  
17 THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE  
18 PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE  
19 MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD  
20 BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.**

21 NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

22 **IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE,  
23 YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY  
24 THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF  
25 THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE  
26 SECTION 6086.10.**

27 Respectfully submitted,

28 THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED

July 27 '15

By:

Hugh G. Radigan

Hugh G. Radigan  
Deputy Trial Counsel

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by

U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 14-O-04010

I, the undersigned, am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017-2515, declare that:

- on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows:

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a))

- in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles.

By U.S. Certified Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a))

- in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles.

By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d))

- I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS').

By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f))

Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission, I faxed the documents to the persons at the fax numbers listed herein below. No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. The original record of the fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request.

By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6)

Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s) at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

(for U.S. First-Class Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)

(for Certified Mail) in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 9414726699042010084303 at Los Angeles, addressed to: (see below)

(for Overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, Tracking No.: addressed to: (see below)

Table with 4 columns: Person Served, Business-Residential Address, Fax Number, and Courtesy Copy via regular USPS mailed to. Row 1: M. Francesca Hannan, P. O. Box 7062 Ventura, CA 93006, Electronic Address, M. Francesca Hannan P. O. Box 7062 Ventura, CA 93006

I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.

I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: July 27, 2015

SIGNED:

Sandra Reynolds
Declarant