DALE I. GUSTIN, SBN 76642 1 Attorney at Law 945 Park Street, Suite 9 2 P. O. Box 764 Paso Robles, California 93447-0764 3 Phone Number (805) 238-1311 4 Fax Number (805) 238-1773 5



MAY 1 2 2016

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO

6	f I .
7	THE STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
8	HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANSICO
9	
10 11 12	In the Matter of:  (a) Case Number 14-O-00459, 14-O-05543 (b) 15-O-11167 (c) ANSWER TO COMPLAINT (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d
13	<u> </u>
15 16	COMES NOW DALE IRVING GUSTIN, without admitting that this Court has Jurisdiction and in
17	response to the Notice of Disciplinary Charges alleging as follows to those charges:
18	1. As to paragraph 1 the Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does
19	hereby denies the allegations as stated in paragraph 1 of said complaint.
20	COUNT ONE
22	2. As to paragraph 2 the Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does
23	hereby deny the allegations as stated in paragraph 2 of said complaint.
24	COUNT TWO
25    26	3. As to paragraph 3 the Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does
27	hereby deny the allegations as stated in paragraph 3 of said complaint except to state that the
28	Respondent did appear but did not commit the violations alleged.  kwiktag * 211 098 579

4. As to paragraph 4 the Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does hereby deny the allegations in said paragraph.

#### **COUNT FOUR**

5. As to paragraph 5 the Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does hereby deny the allegations as stated in paragraph 5 of said complaint.

#### **COUNT FIVE**

6. As to paragraph 6 the Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does hereby deny the allegations as stated in paragraph 6 of said complaint.

# **COUNT SIX**

7. As to paragraph 7 the Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does hereby deny the allegations as stated in paragraph 7 of said complaint.

# AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. The Respondent, without agreeing that this Court has Jurisdiction, does hereby request that the entire file in cases 13-O-10692 be incorporate as a part of this Affirmative Defense that the State Bar is not operated in conformance with the laws of California and thus this Court lacks Jurisdiction to try this matter because the State Bar Rule 1.A as written and applied to the Respondent in this and the pending cases violates the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California as to the protections afforded the Respondent by Elkins v. Superior Court, 41 Cal. 4Th 1337 and thus it is impossible for the Respondent to get a fair trial in the State Bar Courts.

9. The Respondent reserves the right to augment this Answer with additional Affirmative Defenses.

Dated: May 9, 2016

Respectfully Submitted

DALE I. GUSTIN

Dated: May 9, 2016

Respectfully Submitted

DALE I. GUSTIN

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I am a resident of the county of San Luis Obispo, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my address is; 5770 Vista Serrano Way, Paso Robles, California.

On May 10, 2016, I served the foregoing document(s) described as follows:

**ANSWER** 

on the following interested parties in this action:

ROBIN BRUNE
Assigned Counsel
180 Howard Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-1639

XX BY US Mail – I deposited said document with the U.S. Postal Service on that day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Paso Robles, California, in the ordinary course of business.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE – I caused to be delivered such envelope by hand to the offices(s) addressed above.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 10, 2016 at Paso Robles, California.

WILLEMKE BOKMA